1486. K.4. 50/

## VINDICATION

### RIGHTS OF MEN,

OF THE

### 1N 🔺

### LETTER

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

E D M U N D B U R K E;

OCCASIONED BY

HIS REFLECTIONS

ON THE

**REVOLUTION IN FRANCE.** 

By MARY WOLLSTONECRAFT.

THE SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. JOHNSON, NO. 72, ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD.

M. DCC. KC.

Digitized by GOOGLE



· · · - / · · · · ·

•

joogle Digitized by

# ADVERTISEMENT.

MR. BURKE'S Reflections on the French Revolution first engaged my attention as the transient topic of the day; and reading it more for amusement than information, my indignation was roused by the sophistical arguments, that every moment crossed me, in the questionable scale of natural feelings and common scale.

Many pages of the following letter were the effusions of the moment; but, fwelling imperceptibly to a confiderable fize, the idea was fug-5 gefted

joogle

16.4

gested of publishing a short vindication of the Rights of Men.

( iv )

Not having leifure or patience to follow this defultory writer through all the devious tracks in which his fancy has flarted fresh game, I have confined my strictures, in a great meafure, to the grand principles at which he has levelled many ingenious arguments in a very specious garb.

#### A LETTER

## LETTER

Right Honourable EDMUND BURKE.

SIR,

It is not neceffary, with courtly infincerity, to apologife to you for thus intruding on your precious time, not to profess that I think it an honour to discuss an important subject with a man whose literary abilities have raised him to notice in the state. I have not yet learned to twist my periods, nor, in the equivocal idiom of politeness, to disguise my sentiments, and imply what I should be assured to utter:

Β

if.

if, therefore, in the course of this epiftle, I chance to express contempt, and even indignation, with fome emphasis, I befeech you to believe that it is not a flight of fancy; for truth, in morals, has ever appeared to me the effence of the fublime; and, in tafte, fimplicity the only criterion of the beautiful. But I war not with an individual when I contend for the rights of men and the liberty of reason. You see I do not condescend to cull my words to avoid the invidious phrase, nor shall I be prevented from giving a manly definition of it, by the flimfy ridicule which a lively fancy has interwoven with the prefent acceptation of the term. Reverencing the rights of humanity, I shall dare to affert them; not intimidated by the horse laugh that you have raifed, or waiting till time has wiped away the compassionate tears which you have elaborately laboured to excite.

From

Digitized by Google

( 2 )

From the many just fentiments interspersed through the letter before me, and from the whole tendency of it, I should believe you to be a good, though a vain man, if some circumstances in your conduct did not render the inflexibility of your integrity doubtful; and for this vanity a knowledge of human nature enables me to discover such extenuating circumstances, in the very texture of your mind, that I am ready to call it amiable, and separate the public from the private character.

I know that a lively imagination renders a man particularly calculated to fhine in converfation and in those defultory productions where method is difregarded; and the instantaneous applause which his eloquence extorts is at once a reward and a spur. Once a wit and always a wit, is an aphorism that has received the fanction of experience; yet I am apt to conclude that the man who with forupulous anxiety endeavours to support that spining B 2 character, character, can never nourish by reflection any profound, or, if you please, metaphysical passion. Ambition becomes only the tool of vanity, and his reason, the weather-cock of unrestrained feelings, is only employed to varnish over the faults which it ought to have corrected.

Sacred, however, would the infirmities and errors of a good man be, in my eyes, if they were only difplayed in a private circle; if the venial fault only rendered the wit anxious, like a celebrated beauty, to raife admiration on every occafion, and excite emotion, inftead of the calm reciprocation of mutual effeem and unimpaffioned refpect. Such vanity enlivens focial intercourfe, and forces the little great man to be always on his guard to fecure his throne; and an ingenious man, who is ever on the watch for conqueft, will, in his eagernefs to exhibit his whole ftore of knowledge, furnifh an attentive obferver with fome ufeful

( 4

useful information, calcined by fancy and formed by tafte.

( ζ

And though fome dry reasoner might whilper that the arguments were superficial, and should even add, that the feelings which are thus oftentatioufly displayed are often the cold declamation of the head, and not the effusions of the heart-what will these shrewd remarks avail, when the witty arguments and ornamental feelings are on a level with the comprehension of the fashionable world, and a book is found very amufing? Even the Ladies, Sir, may repeat your fprightly fallies, and retail in theatrical attitudes many of your fentimental exclamations. Senfibility is the manie of the day, and compassion the virtue which is to cover a multitude of vices, whilft justice is left to mourn in fullen filence, and balance truth in vain.

In life, an honeft man with a confined understanding is frequently the flave of his habits

B 3

and

and the dupe of his feelings, whilft the man with a clearer head and colder heart makes the paffions of others bend to his intereft; but truly fublime is the character that acts from principle, and governs the inferior fprings of activity without flackening their vigour; whole feelings give vital heat to his refolves, but never hurry him into feverifh eccentricities.

However, as you have informed us that refpect chills love, it is natural to conclude, that all your pretty flights arife from your pampered fenfibility; and that, vain of this fancied pre-eminence of organs, you fofter every emotion till the fumes, mounting to your brain, difpel the fober fuggeftions of reafon. It is not in this view furprifing, that when you fhould argue you become impaffioned, and that reflection inflames your imagination, inftead of enlightening your underftanding.

Quitting now the flowers of rhetoric, let us, Sir, reafon together; and, believe me, I 3 fhould

fhould not have meddled with these troubled waters, in order to point out your inconfistencies, if your wit had not burnished up some rusty, baneful opinions, and swelled the shallow current of ridicule till it refembled the flow of reason, and presumed to be the test of truth.

I shall not attempt to follow you through "horse-wayand foot-path;" but, attacking the foundation of your opinions, I shall leave the fuperstructure to find a centre of gravity on which it may lean till some strong blass puffs it into air; or your teeming fancy, which the ripening judgment of fixty years has not tamed, produces another Chinese erection, to stare, at every turn, the plain country people in the face, who bluntly call such an airy edifice—a folly.

The birthright of man, to give you, Sir, a fhort definition of this difputed right, is fuch a degree of liberty, civil and religious, as is B 4 compatible

100gle

compatible with the liberty of every other individual with whom he is united in a focial compact, and the continued existence of that compact.

Liberty, in this fimple, unfophifticated fenfe, I acknowledge, is a fair idea that has never yet received a form in the various governments that have been eftablifhed on our beauteous globe; the demon of property has ever been at hand to encroach on the facred rights of men, and to fence round with awful pomp laws that war with juffice. But that it refults from the eternal foundation of right—from immutable truth—who will prefume to deny, that pretends to rationality—if reafon has led them to build their morality<sup>a</sup> and religion on

• As religion is included in my idea of morality, I fhould not have mentioned the term without specifying all the simple ideas which that comprehensive word generalizes; but as the charge of atheism has been very freely banded about in the letter I am confidering, I wish to guard against misrepresentation.

Digitized by Google

an

an everlasting foundation—the attributes of God?

I glow with indignation when I attempt, methodically, to unravel your flavish paradoxes, in which I can find no fixed first principle to refute; I shall not, therefore, condescend to shew where you affirm in one page what you deny in another; and how frequently you draw conclusions without any previous premises:—it would be something like cowardice to fight with a man who had never exercised the weapons with which his opponent chose to combat, and irksome to refute some after sentence in which the latent spirit of tyranny appeared.

I perceive, from the whole tenor of your Reflections, that you have a mortal antipathy to reafon; but, if there is any thing like argument, or first principles, in your wild declamation, behold the refult:—that we are to reverence the rust of antiquity, and term the unnatural

# unnatural customs, which ignorance and miftaken self-interest have consolidated, the sage fruit of experience: nay, that, if we do discover some errors, our *feelings* should lead us to excuse, with blind love, or unprincipled filial affection, the venerable vestiges of ancient days. These are gothic notions of beauty—the ivy is beautiful, but, when it infidiously destroys the trunk from which it receives support,

Further, that we ought cautionfly to remain for ever in frozen inactivity, because a thaw, whilst it nourishes the foil, spreads a temporary inundation; and the fear of risking any personal present convenience should prevent a struggle for the most estimable advantages. This is sound reasoning, I grant, in the mouth of the rich and short-sighted.

who would not grub it up?

Yes, Sir, the ftrong gained riches, the few have facrificed the many to their vices; and, to be able to pamper their appetites, and fupinely

( 10 )

fupinely exift without exercifing mind or body, they have cealed to be men.—Loft to the relifh of true pleafure, fuch beings would, indeed, deferve compaffion, if injuffice was not foftened by the tyrant's plea—neceffity; if prefcription was not raifed as an immortal boundary against innovation. Their minds, in fact, instead of being cultivated, have been fo warped by education, that it may require forme ages to bring them back to nature, and enable them to fee their true interest, with that degree of conviction which is neceffary to influence their conduct.

The civilization which has taken place in Europe has been very partial, and, like every cuftom that an arbitrary point of honour has eftablished, refines the manners at the expence of morals, by making fentiments and opinions current in conversation that have no root in the heart, or weight in the cooler resolves of the mind.—And what has stopped its progress? hereditary

3

Digitized by GOOGIC

hereditary property—hereditary honours. The man has been changed into an artificial monfler by the station in which he was born, and the confequent homage that benumbed his faculties like the torpedo's touch;—or a being, with a capacity of reasoning, would not have fuiled to discover, as his faculties unfolded, that true happiness arose from the friendship and intimacy which can only be enjoyed by equals; and that charity is not a condescending distribution of alms, but an intercourse of good offices and mutual benefits, founded on respect for justice and humanity.

Governed by these principles, the poor wretch, whose *inelegant* diffress extorted from a mixed feeling of difgust and animal sympathy present relief, would have been confidered as a man, whose misery demanded a part of his birthright, supposing him to be industrious; but should his vices have reduced him to poverty, he could only have addressed his fellow-men

Joogle

## ( 13 )

fellow-men as weak beings, fubject to like paffions, who ought to forgive, becaufe they expect to be forgiven, for fuffering the impulse of the moment to filence the fuggestions of confcience, or reason, which you will; for, in my view of things, they are fynonymous terms.

Will Mr. Burke be at the trouble to inform us, how far we are to go back to difcover the rights of men, fince the light of reafon is fuch a fallacious guide that none but fools truft to its cold inveftigation?

In the infancy of fociety, confining our view to our own country, cuftoms were eftablifhed by the lawlefs power of an ambitious individual; or a weak prince was obliged to comply with every demand of the licentious barbarous infurgents, who difputed his authority with irrefragable arguments at the point of their fwords; or the more fpecious requefts

of

of the Parliament, who only allowed him conditional fupplies.

11

(

Are these the venerable pillars of our conflitution? And is Magna Charta to rest for its chief support on a former grant, which reverts to another, till chaos becomes the base of the mighty structure—or we cannot tell what? for coherence, without some pervading principle of order, is a solecism.

Speaking of Edward the IIId. Hume obferves, that 'he was a prince of great capacity, 'not governed by favourites, not led aftray by 'any unruly paffion, fenfible that nothing could 'be more effential to his interefts than to keep 'on good terms with his people: yet, on the 'whole, it appears that the government, at 'beft, was only a barbarous monarchy, not 'regulated by any fixed maxims, or bounded 'by any certain or undifputed rights, which in 'practice were regularly obferved. The King 'conducted

# ( 15 )

\* conducted himfelf by one fet of principles;
\* the Barons by another; the Commons by a
\* third; the Clergy by a fourth. All thefe
\* fyftems of government were oppofite and
\* incompatible: each of them prevailed in its
\* turn, as incidents were favourable to it: a
\* great prince rendered the monarchical power
\* predominant: the weaknefs of a king gave
\* reins to the ariftocracy: a fuperfittious age
\* faw the clergy triumphant: the people, for
\* whom chiefly government was inftituted, and
\* weakeft of the whole.'

And just before that most auspicious æra, the fourteenth century, during the reign of Richard II. whose total incapacity to manage the reins of power, and keep in subjection his haughty Barons, rendered him a mere cypher; the House of Commons, to whom he was obliged frequently to apply, not only for substidies but affistance to quell the infurrections that

that the contempt in which he was held naturally produced, gradually role into power; for whenever they granted fupplies to the King, they demanded in return, though it bore the name of petition, a confirmation, or the renewal of former charters, which had been infringed, and even utterly difregarded by the King and his feditious Barons, who principally held their independence of the crown by force of arms, and the encouragement which they gave to robbers and villains, who infefted the country, and lived by rapine and violence.

To what dreadful extremities were the poorer fort reduced, their property, the fruit of their industry, being entirely at the disposal of their lords, who were so many petty tyrants!

In return for the fupplies and affiftance which the king received from the commons, they demanded privileges, which Edward, in his diffrefs for money to profecute the numerous

ous wars in which he was engaged during the greater part of his reign, was constrained to grant them; fo that by degrees they role to power, and became a check on both king and Thus was the foundation of our nobles. liberty established, chiefly through the preffing neceffities of the king, who was more intent on being fupplied for the moment, in order to carry on his wars and ambitious projects, than aware of the blow he gave to kingly power, by thus making a body of men feel their importance, who afterwards might ftrenuoufly oppofe tyranny and oppreffion, and effectually guard the subject's property from feizure and confiscation: Richard's weakness completed what Edward's ambition began.

. (

17 )

At this period, it is true, Wickliffe opened a vista for reason by attacking some of the most pernicious tenets of the church of Rome; fill the prospect was sufficiently misty to authorize

# authorize the question—Where was the dignity of thinking of the fourteenth century?

A Roman Catholic, it is true, enlightened by the reformation, might, with fingular propriety, celebrate the epoch that preceded it, to turn our thoughts from former atrocious enormities; but a Protestant must acknowledge that this faint dawn of liberty only made the fubfiding darkness more visible; and that the boafted virtues of that century all bear the stamp of stupid pride and headstrong barbarism. Civility was then called conde-Icenfion, and oftentatious almfgiving humanity; and men were content to borrow their virtues, or, to speak with more propriety, their confequence, from posterity, rather than undertake the arduous talk of acquiring it for themfelves.

The imperfection of all modern governments must, without waiting to repeat the trite

## ( 18 )

trite remark, that all human inflitutions are unavoidably imperfect, in a great measure have arisen from this simple circumstance, that the constitution, if such an heterogeneous mass deferve that name, was settled in the dark days of ignorance, when the minds of men were shackled by the groffest prejudices and most immoral superstition. And do you, Sir, a fagacious philosopher, recommend night as the fittest time to analyze a ray of light?

Are we to feek for the rights of men in the ages when a few marks were the only penalty imposed for the life of a man, and death for death when the property of the rich was touched? when—I blush to discover the depravity of our nature—when a deer was killed! Are these the laws that it is natural to love, and facrilegious to invade?—Were the rights of men understood when the law authorized or tolerated murder?—or is power and right the fame in your creed ?

C 2

But

( 20 )

But in fact all your declamation leads fo directly to this conclusion, that I befeech you to ask your own heart, when you call yourfelf a friend of liberty, whether it would not be more confistent to style yourself the champion of property, the adorer of the golden image which power has fet up?-And, when you are examining your heart, if it would not be too much like mathematical drudgery, to which a fine imagination very reluctantly ftoops, enquire further, how it is confiftent with the vulgar notions of honefty, and the foundation of morality-truth; for a man to boaft of his virtue and independence, when he cannot forget that he is at the moment enjoying the wages of falsehood,<sup>b</sup>; and that, in a skulking, unmanly way, he has fecured himfelf a penfion of fifteen hundred pounds per annum on the Irish establishment? Do honest men, Sir, for I am not riling to the refined principle of

• See Mr. Burke's Bills for æconomical reform.

honour

honour, ever receive the reward of their public fervices, or fecret affistance, in the name of *another*?

But to return from a digreffion which you will more perfectly understand than any of my readers-on what principle you, Sir, can justify the reformation, which tore up by the roots an old establishment, I cannot guessbut, I beg your pardon, perhaps you do not with to justify it-and have fome mental refervation to excuse you, to yourself, for not openly avowing your reverence. Or, to go further back;—had you been a Jew-you would have joined in the cry, crucify him !-crucify him! The promulgator of a new doctrine, and the violator of old laws and cuftoms, that not melting, like ours, into darkness and ignorance, rested on Divine authority, must have been a dangerous innovator, in your eyes, particularly if you had not been informed that the Carpenter's Son was of the C 3 flock

ftock and lineage of David. But there is no end to the arguments which might be deduced to combat fuch palpable abfurdities, by fhewing the manifest inconfistencies which are neceffarily involved in a direful train of false opinions.

It is neceffary emphatically to repeat, that there are rights which men inherit at their birth, as rational creatures, who were raifed above the brute creation by their improvable faculties; and that, in receiving these, not from their forefathers but, from God, prescription can never undermine natural rights.

A father may diffipate his property without his child having any right to complain;—but fhould he attempt to fell him for a flave, or fetter him with laws contrary to reason; nature, in enabling him to discern good from evil, teaches him to break the ignoble chain, and not to believe that bread becomes flesh, and

# and wine blood, because his parents swallowed the Eucharist with this blind persuasion.

23

(

There is no end to this implicit fubmiffion to authority—fome where it must stop, or we return to barbarism; and the capacity of improvement, which gives us a natural sceptre on earth, is a cheat, an ignis-fatuus, that leads us from inviting meadows into bogs and dunghills. And if it be allowed that many of the precautions, with which any alteration was made, in our government, were prudent, it rather proves its weakness than substantiates an opinion of the soundness of the stamina, or the excellence of the constitution.

But on what principle Mr. Burke could defend American independence, I cannot conceive; for the whole tenor of his plaufible arguments fettles flavery on an everlafting foundation. Allowing his fervile reverence for antiquity, and prudent attention to felfintereft, to have the force which he infifts on,

C 4

the

the flave trade ought never to be abolifhed; and, because our ignorant forefathers, not understanding the native dignity of man, fanctioned a traffic that outrages every fuggeftion of reason and religion, we are to submit to the inhuman cuftom, and term an atrocious infult to humanity the love of our country, and a proper submission to the laws by which our property is fecured.—Security of property! Behold, in a few words, the definition of English liberty. And to this selfish principle every nobler one is facrificed.-The Briton takes place of the man, and the image of God is loft in the citizen! But it is not that enthufiaftic flame which in Greece and Rome confumed every fordid paffion; no, felf is the focus; and the difparting rays rife not above our foggy atmosphere. But foftly-it is only the property of the rich that is fecure; the man who lives by the fweat of his brow has no afylum from oppreffion; the ftrong man may

( 24 )

may enter—when was the caftle of the poor facred? and the base informer steal him from the family that depend on his industry for subfistence.

Fully fenfible as you must be of the baneful confequences that inevitably follow this notorious infringement on the dearest rights of men, and that it is an infernal blot on the very face of our immaculate constitution. I cannot avoid expressing my surprise that when you recommended our form of government as a model, you did not caution the French against the arbitrary custom of preffing men for the fea fervice. You should have hinted to them, that property in England is much more fecure than liberty, and not have concealed that the liberty of an honeft mechanic-his all -is often facrificed to fecure the property of the rich. For it is a farce to pretend that a man fights for bis country, bis bearth, or bis altars, when he has neither liberty nor property,

Digitized by GOOGLC

( 25 )

perty.—His property is in his nervous arms and they are compelled to pull a ftrange rope at the furly command of a tyrannic boy, who probably obtained his rank on account of his family connections, or the profituted vote of his father, whose interest in a borough, or voice as a fenator, was acceptable to the minister.

Our penal laws punish with death the thief who steals a few pounds; but to take by violence, or trepan, a man, is no such heinous offence.—For who shall dare to complain of the venerable vestige of the law that rendered the life of a deer more facred than that of a man? But it was the poor man with only his native dignity who was thus oppressed—and only metaphysical sophists and cold mathematicians can discern this insubstantial form; it is a work of abstraction—and a gentleman of lively imagination must borrow some drapery from fancy before he can love or pity a man.— Misfery, Mifery, to reach your heart, I perceive, muft have its cap and bells; your tears are referved, very *naturally* confidering your character, for the declamation of the theatre, or for the downfall of queens, whofe rank alters the nature of folly, and throws a graceful veil over vices that degrade humanity; whilft the diftrefs of many induftrious mothers, whofe *belpmates* have been torn from them, and the hungry cry of helplefs babes, were vulgar forrows that could not move your commiferation, though they might extort an alms. ' The tears that are 'fhed for fictitious forrow are admirably ' adapted,' fays Rouffeau, ' to make us proud ' of all the virtues which we do not poffefs.'

( 27 )

The baneful effects of the defpotic practice of preffing we shall, in all probability, soon seel; for a number of men, who have been taken from their daily employments, will shortly be let loose on society, now that there is no longer any apprehension of a war.

The

The vulgar, and by this epithet I mean not only to defcribe a class of people, who, working to support the body, have not had time to cultivate their minds; but likewise those who, born in the lap of affluence, have never had their invention sharpened by necessity are, nine out of ten, the creatures of habit and impulse.

If I were not afraid to derange your nervous fyftem by the bare-mention of a metaphyfical enquiry, I fhould obferve, Sir, that felf-prefervation is, literally fpeaking, the firft law of nature; and that the care neceffary to fupport and guard the body is the firft ftep to unfold the mind, and infpire a manly fpirit of independence. The mewing babe in fwaddlingclothes, who is treated like a fuperior being, may perchance become a gentleman; but nature muft have given him uncommon faculties if, when pleafure hangs on every bough, he has fufficient fortitude either to exercife his 8 mind mind or body in order to acquire perfonal merit. The paffions are neceffary auxiliaries of reafon: a prefent impulse pushes us forward, and when we discover that the game did not deferve the chace, we find that we have gone over much ground, and not only gained many new ideas, but a habit of thinking. The exercise of our faculties is the great end, though not the goal we had in view when we started with fuch eagerness.

20

It would be ftraying ftill further into metaphyfics to add, that this is one of the ftrongeft arguments for the natural immortality of the foul.—Every thing looks like a means, nothing like an end, or point of reft, when we can fay, now let us fit down and enjoy the prefent moment; our faculties and wifnes are proportioned to the prefent scene; we may return without repining to our fifter clod. And, if no confcious dignity whisper that we are capable of relifning more refined pleasures, the thirft

thirst of truth appears to be allayed; and thought, the faint type of an immaterial energy, no longer bounding it knows not where. is confined to the tenement that affords it fufficient variety. - The rich man may then thank his God that he is not like other menbut when is retribution to be made to the miferable, who cry day and night for help, and there is no one at hand to help them? And not only mifery but immorality proceeds from this firetch of arbitrary authority. The vulgar have not the power of emptying their mind of the only ideas they imbibed whilft their hands were employed; they cannot quickly turn from one kind of life to another. Preffing them entirely unhinges their minds; they acquire new habits, and cannot return to their old occupations with their former readinefs; confequently they fall into idlenefs, drunkenness, and the whole train of vices which you stigmatife as gross.

A government

Digitized by Google

3

A government that acts in this manner cannot be called a good parent, nor infpire natural (habitual is the proper word) affection, in the breafts of children who are thus difregarded.

The game laws are almost as opprefive to the peafantry as prefs-warrants to the mechanic. In this land of liberty what is to fecure the property of the poor farmer when his noble landlord choofes to plant a decoy field near his little property? Game devour the fruit of his labour; but fines and imprisonment await him if he dare to kill any-or lift up his hand to interrupt the pleasure of his lord. How many families have been plunged, in the *porting* countries, into mifery and vice for fome paltry tranfgreffion of these coercive laws, by the natural confequence of that anger which a man feels when he fees the reward of his industry laid wafte by unfeeling luxury?-when his children's bread is given to dogs!

You

JOOGLE

( 31 )

- You have shewn, Sir, by your filence on these subjects, that your respect for rank has fwallowed up the common feelings of humanity; you feem to confider the poor as only the live flock of an effate, the feather of hereditary nobility. When you had fo little respect for the filent majefty of milery, I am not furprised at your manner of treating an individual whole brow a mitre will never grace, and whofe popularity may have wounded your vanity-for vanity is ever fore. Even in France, Sir, before the revolution, literary celebrity procured a man the treatment of a gentleman; but you are going back for your credentials of politenefs to more distant times.—Gothic affability is the mode you think proper to adopt, the condescension of a Baron, not the civility of a liberal man. Politeness is, indeed, the only substitute for humanity; or what diffinguishes the civilifed man from the unlettered favage? and he who is not governed by reafon should **fquare** 

# fquare his behaviour by an arbitrary ftandard; but by what rule your attack on Dr. Price was regulated we have yet to learn.

( 33 )

I agree with you, Sir, that the pulpit is not the place for political difcuffions, though it. might be more excufable to enter on fuch a fubject, when the day was fet apart merely to commemorate a political revolution, and no ftated duty was encroached upon. I will, however, wave this point, and allow that Dr. Price's zeal may have carried him further than found reason can justify. I do also most cordially coincide with you, that till we can fee the remote confequences of things, prefent calamities must appear in the ugly form of evil, and excite our commiferation. The good. that time flowly educes from them may be hid from mortal eye, or dimly feen; whilft fympathy compels man to feel for man, and almost restrains the hand that would amputate a limb to fave the whole body. But, after making

Digitized by GOOGLE

making this conceffion, allow me to expostulate with you, and calmly hold up the glass which will shew you your partial feelings.

In reprobating Dr. Price's opinions you might have spared the man; and if you had had but half as much reverence for the grey hairs of virtue as for the accidental diffinctions of rank, you would not have treated with fuch indecent familiarity and supercilious contempt, a member of the community whole talents and modest virtues place him high in the scale of moral excellence. I am not accustomed to look up with vulgar awe, even when mental fuperiority exalts a man above his fellows; but still the fight of a man whole habits are fixed by piety and reafon, and whole virtues are confolidated into goodnefs, commands my homage—and I should touch his errors with a tender hand when I made a parade of my fenfibility. Granting, for a moment, that Dr. Price's political opinions are Utopian reveries, and

#### ( 35 )

and that the world is not yet fufficiently civilized to adopt fuch a fublime fystem of morality; they could, however, only be the reveries of a benevolent mind. Tottering on the verge of the grave, that worthy man in his whole life never dreamt of struggling for power or riches; and, if a glimpse of the glad dawn of liberty rekindled the fire of youth in his veins, you, who could not stand the fascinating glance of a great Lady's eyes, when neither virtue nor sense beamed in them, might have pardoned his unsembly transport,—if fuch it must be deemed.

I could almost fancy that I now fee this respectable old man, in his pulpit, with hands classed, and eyes devoutly fixed, praying with all the simple energy of unaffected piety; or, when more erect, inculcating the dignity of virtue, and enforcing the doctrines his life adorns; benevolence animated each feature, and perfusion attuned his accents; the preacher

D 2

grew

grew eloquent, who only laboured to be clear; and the refpect that he extorted, feemed only the refpect due to perfonified virtue and matured wifdom.—Is this the man you brand with fo many opprobrious epithets? he whofe private life will ftand the teft of the ftricteft enquiry—away with fuch unmanly farcafins, and puerile conceits.—But, before I clofe this part of my animadverfions, I must convict you of wilful milreprefentation and wanton abufe.

Dr. Price, when he reafons on the neceffity of men attending fome place of public worfhip, concilly obviates an objection that has been made in the form of an apology, by advifing those, who do not approve of our Liturgy, and cannot find any mode of worfhip out of the church, in which they can confcientioufly join, to establish one for themselves. This plain advice you have tortured into a very different meaning, and represented the preacher

as

joogle

( 37 )

as actuated by a diffenting phrenfy, recommending diffentions, ' not to diffuse truth, Sbut to fpread contradictions<sup>c</sup>.' A fimple queftion will filence this impertinent declamation. -What is truth? A few fundamental truths meet the first enquiry of reason, and appear as clear to an unwarped mind, as that air and bread are neceffary to enable the body to fulfil its vital functions; but the opinions which men discuss with so much heat must be simplified and brought back to first principles; or who can diferiminate the vagaries of the imagination, or fcrupulofity of weaknefs, from the verdict of reafon? Let all these points be demonstrated, and not determined by arbitrary authority and dark traditions, left a dangerous fupineness should take place; for probably, in ceafing to enquire, our reafon would remain dormant, and delivered up, without a curb, to every impulse of passion, we might

> • Page 15. D 3

foon

foon lofe fight of the clear light which the exercife of our understanding no longer kept alive. To argue from experience, it should feem as if the human mind, averfe to thought, could only be opened by neceffity; for, when it can take opinions on truft, it gladly lets the fpirit lie quiet in its gross tenement. Perhaps the most improving exercise of the mind, confining the argument to the enlargement of the understanding, is the refless enquiries that hover on the boundary, or firetch over the dark abyfs of uncertainty. These lively conjectures are the breezes that preferve the still lake from stagnating. We should be aware of confining all moral excellence to one channel, however capacious; or, if we are fo narrow-minded, we should not forget how much we owe to chance that our inheritance was not Mahometifm; and that the iron hand of deftiny, in the fhape of deeply rooted authority, has not suspended the sword of defruction

ftruction over our heads. But to return to the misrepresentation.

<sup>d</sup>Blackstone, to whom Mr. Burke pays great deference, seems to agree with Dr. Brice, that the succession of the King of Great Britain depends on the choice of the people, or that they

" 'The doctrine of hereditary right does by no means ' imply an indefealible right to the throne. No man will, " I think, affert this, that has confidered our laws, confti-" tution, and hiftory, without prejudice, and with any de-' gree of attention. It is unquestionably in the breast of the fupreme legislative authority of this kingdom, the King and both Houses of Parliament, to defeat this he-" reditary right; and, by particular entails, limitations, " and provisions, to exclude the immediate heir, and veft • the inheritance in any one elfe. This is frictly confo-' nant to our laws and conftitution; as may be gathered ' from the expression fo frequently used in our statute 'books, of "the King's Majefty, his heirs, and fuccef-" fors." In which we may observe that, as the word " heirs" neceffarily implies an inheritance, or hereditary ' right, generally fubfifting in " the royal perfon;" fo the " word fucceffors, diffinctly taken, must imply that this · inheritance may fometimes be broken through; or, that D4 <sup>4</sup> there

they have a power to cut it off; but the power, as you have fully proved, has been<sup>3</sup> cautioufly exerted, and might with more propriety be termed a *right* than a power. Be it fo!—yet when you elaborately cited precedents to fhew that our forefathers paid great refpect to hereditary claims, you might have gone back to your favourite epoch, and fhewn their refpect for a church that fulminating laws have fince loaded with opprobrium. The preponderance of inconfiftencies, when weighed with precedents, fhould leffen the most bigotted veneration for antiquity, and force men

( 40 )

• there may be a fucceffor, without being the heir of the • king.'

I fhall not, however, reft in fomething like a fubterfuge, and quote, as partially as you have done, from Aristotle. Blackstone has fo cautiously fenced round his opinion with provisos, that it is obvious he thought the letter of the law leaned towards your fide of the question—but a blind respect for the law is not a part of my creed.

of

( 41 )

• of the eighteenth century to acknowledge, that our *canonized forefathers* were unable, or afraid, to revert to reafon, without refting on the crutch of authority; and should not be brought as a proof that their children are never to be allowed to walk alone.

When we doubt the infallible wifdom of our anceftors, it is only advancing on the fame ground to doubt the fincerity of the law, and the propriety of that fervile appellation—OUR SOVEREIGN LORD THE KING. Who were the dictators of this adulatory language of the law? Were they not courtly parafites and worldly priefts? Befides, whoever at divine fervice, whofe feelings were not deadened by habit, or their understandings quiefcent, ever repeated without horror the fame epithets applied to a man and his Creator? If this is confufed jargon — fay what are the dictates of fober reason, or the criterion to distinguish nonfense?

You

#### ( 42 )

You further farcastically animadvert on the . confistency of the democratists, by wresting the obvious meaning of a common phrase, the dregs of the people; or your contempt for poverty may have led you into an error. Be that as it may, an unprejudiced man would have directly perceived the single sense of the word, and an old Member of Parliament could scarcely have missed it. He who had so often felt the pulse of the electors needed not have gone beyond his own experience to difcover that the dregs alluded to were the vicious, and not the lower class of the community.

Again, Sir, I must doubt your fincerity or your difcernment.—You have been behind the curtain; and, though it might be difficult to bring back your sophisticated heart to nature and make you feel like a man, yet the awestruck confusion in which you were plunged must have gone off when the vulgar emotion of wonder,

#### ( 43 )

wonder, excited by finding yourfelf a Senator, had fubfided. Then you muft have feen the clogged wheels of corruption continually oiled by the fweat of the laborious poor, fqueezed out of them by unceafing taxation. You muft have difcovered that the majority in the Houfe of Commons was often purchafed by the crown, and that the people were opprefied by the influence of their own money, extorted by the venal voice of a packed reprefentation.

You must have known that a man of merit cannot rife in the church, the army, or navy, unless he has some interest in a borough; and that even a paltry exciseman's place can only be secured by electioneering interest. I will go further, and affert that few Bishops, though there have been learned and good Bishops, have gained the mitre without submitting to a fervility of dependence that degrades the man.—All these circumstances you must have known, yet you talk of virtue and liberty,

as

as the vulgar talk of the letter of the law; and the polite of propriety. It is true that these ceremonial observances produce decorum; the sequences are white-washed, and do not offend the squeamiss eyes of high rank; but virtue is out of the quession when you only worship a shadow, and worship it to secure your property.

( 44 )

Man has been termed, with ftrict propriety, a microcofm, a little world in himfelf.—He is fo; — yet muft, however, be reckoned an ephemera, or, to adopt your figure of rhetoric, a fummer's fly. The perpetuation of property in our families is one of the privileges you moft warmly contend for; yet it would not be very difficult to prove that the mind muft have a very limited range that thus confines its benevolence to fuch a narrow circle, which, with great propriety, may be included in the fordid calculations of blind felflove.

A brutal

#### (45)

A brutal attachment to children has appeared most conspicuous in parents who have treated them like flaves, and demanded due homage for all the property they transferred to them, during their lives. It has led them to force their children to break the most facred ties; to do violence to a natural impulse, and run into legal proftitution to increase wealth or fhun poverty; and, still worfe, the dread of parental malediction has made many weak characters violate truth in the face of Heaven; and, to avoid a father's angry curfe, the most facred promises have been broken. It appears to be a natural fuggestion of reafon, that a man should be freed from implicit obedience to parents and private punifhments, when he is of an age to be fubject to the jurifdiction of the laws of his country; and that the barbarous cruelty of allowing parents to imprison their children, to prevent their contaminating their noble blood by following the dictates

dictates of nature when they choic to marry, or for any mildemeanor that does not come under the cognizance of public justice, is one of the most arbitrary violations of liberty.

( 46 )

Who can recount all the unnatural crimes which the *laudable*, *interefting* defire of perpetuating a name has produced? The younget children have been facrificed to the eldeft fon; fent into exile, or confined in convents, that they might not encroach on what was called, with fhameful falfehood, the *family* eftate. Will Mr. Burke call this parental affection reafonable or virtuous?—No; it is the fpurious offspring of over-weening, miftaken pride —and not that firft fource of civilization, natural parental affection, that makes no difference between child and child, but what reafon juftifies by pointing out fuperior merit.

Another pernicious consequence which unavoidably arises from this artificial affection is, the infuperable bar which it puts in the way

of

5

ized by Google

of early marriages. It would be difficult to determine whether the minds or bodies of our youth are most injured by this impediment. Our young men become felfish coxcombs, and gallantry with modest women, and intrigues with those of another description, weaken both mind and body, before either has arrived at maturity. The character of a mafter of a family, a husband, and a father, forms the citizen imperceptibly, by producing a fober manliness of thought, and orderly behaviour but, from the lax morals and depraved affections of the libertine, what refults?-a finical man of taffe, who is only anxious to fecure his own private gratifications, and to maintain his rank in fociety.

( 47 )

The fame fystem has an equally pernicious effect on female morals.—Girls are facrificed to family convenience, or elfe marry to fettle themfelves in a fuperior rank, and coquet, without restraint, with the fine gentleman whom

Digitized by GOOGLE

whom I have already defcribed. And to fuch lengths has this vanity, this defire of fhining, carried them, that it is not now neceffary to guard girls againft imprudent love matches; for if fome widows did not now and then *fall* in love, Love and Hymen would feldom meet, unlefs at a village church.

( 48 )~

I do not intend to be farcastically paradoxical when I fay, that women of fashion take hufbands that they may have it in their power to coquet, the grand business of genteel life, with a number of admirers, and thus flutter the fpring of life away, without laying up any ftore for the winter of age, or being of any use to fociety. Affection in the marriage state can only be founded on respect—and are these weak beings respectable? Children are neglected for lovers, and we express surprise that adulteries are so common! A woman never forgets to adorn herself to make an impression on the senses of the other sex, and to extort the

Google

the homage which it is gallant to pay, and , yet we wonder that they have fuch confined underftandings!

( 49 )

Have ye not heard that we cannot ferve two mafters? an immoderate defire to pleafe contracts the faculties, and immerges, to borrow the idea of a great philosopher, the foul in matter, till it becomes unable to mount on the wing of contemplation.

It would be an arduous tafk to trace all the vice and mifery that arife in fociety from the middle class of people apeing the manners of the great. All are aiming to procuse respect on account of their property; and most places are confidered as finecures that enable men: to start into notice. The grand concern of three parts out of four is to contrive to live above their equals, and to appear to be richer than they are. How much domestic comfort and private fatisfaction is facificed to this irrational ambition! It is a destructive mildew

E

Digitized by GOOGLC

that

that blights the faireft virtues; benevolence, friendship, generofity, and all those endearing charities which bind human hearts together, and the pursuits which raise the mind to higher contemplations, all that were not cankered in the bud by the false notions that ' grew with its growth and strengthened with ' its strength,' are crushed by the iron hand of property !

( 50 )

Property, I do not fcruple to aver it, should be fluctuating, which would be the case, if it were more equally divided amongst all the children of a family; else it is an everlasting rampart, in consequence of a barbarous feudat institution, that enables the elder fon to overpower talents and depress virtue.

Befides, an unmanly fervility, most inimical to true dignity of character is, by this means, fostered in fociety. Men of fome abilities play on the follies of the rich, and mounting to fortune as they degrade themselves, they stand in

Joogle

in the way of men of fuperior talents, who cannot advance in fuch crooked paths, or wade through the filth which *parafites* never boggle at. Purfuing their way ftraight forward, their fpirit is either bent or broken by the rich man's contumelies, or the difficulties they have to encounter.

The only fecurity of property that nature authorizes and reason fanctions is, the right a man has to enjoy the acquisitions which his talents and industry have acquired; and to bequeath them to whom he chooses. Happy would it be for the world if there were no other road to wealth or honour; if pride, in the shape of parental affection, did not absorb the man, and prevent friendship from having the same weight as relationship. Luxury and effeminacy would not then introduce so much idiotism into the noble families which form one of the pillars of our state: the ground would not lie fallow, nor would undirected activity

E 2

of

of mind fpread the contagion of reftless idleness, and its concomitant, vice, through the whole mass of society.

( 52 )

Instead of gaming they might nourish a virtuous ambition, and love might take place of the gallantry which you, with knightly fealty, venerate. Women would probably then act like mothers, and the fine lady, become a rational woman, might think it necessary to fuperintend her family and fuckle her children, in order to fulfil her part of the focial compact. But vain is the hope, whilf great maffes of property are hedged round by hereditary honours; for numberless vices, forced in the hot-bed of wealth, affume a fightly form to dazzle the fenfes and cloud the understanding. The respect paid to rank and fortune damps every generous purpose of the foul, and stifles the natural affections on which human contentment ought to be built. Who will venturoufly afcend the fteeps of virtue,

virtue, or explore the great deep for knowledge, when *the one thing needful*, attained by lefs arduous exertions, if not inherited, procures the attention man naturally pants after, and vice ' lofes half its evil by lofing all its ' groffnefs'.' — What a fentiment to come from a moral pen !

A furgeon would tell you that by fkinning over a wound you fpread difeafe through the whole frame; and, furely, they indirectly aim at deftroying all purity of morals, who poifon the very fource of virtue, by fmearing a fentimental varnish over vice, to hide its natural deformity. Stealing, whoring, and drunkenness, are gross vices, I presume, though they may not obliterate every moral fentiment, and have a vulgar brand that makes them appear with all their native deformity; but overreaching, adultery, and coquetry, are venial offences, though they reduce virtue to an

> • Page 113. E 3

empty

empty name, and make wifdom confift in faving appearances.

• On this fcheme of things f a king is but a 'man; a queen is but a woman; a woman is but an animal, and an animal not of the ' higheft order.'-All true, Sir; if the is not moré attentive to the duties of humanity than queens and fashionable ladies in general are. I will further accede to the opinion you have so justly conceived of the spirit which begins to animate this age .-- ' All homage paid to the fex in general, as fuch, and with-• out diffinct views, is to be regarded as ro-"mance and folly.' Undoubtedly; becaufe fuch homage vitiates them, prevents their endeavouring to obtain folid perfonal merit; and, in thort, makes those beings vain inconfiderate dolls, who ought to be prudent mothers and useful members of fociety. ' Regi-· cide and facrilege are but fictions of supersti-

f As you ironically observe, p. 114.

• tion

### (54)

tion corrupting jurifprudence, by deftroying
its fimplicity. The murder of a king,
or a queen, or a bifhop, are only common homicide.'—Again I agree with you;
but you perceive, Sir, that by leaving out the word *father*, I think the whole extent of the comparison invidious.

You further proceed grofsly to mifreprefent Dr. Price's meaning; and, with an affectation of holy fervour, express your indignation at his profaning a beautiful rapturous ejaculation, when alluding to the King of France's fubmission to the National Affembly<sup>g</sup>; he rejoiced to hail a glorious revolution, which promised an universal diffusion of liberty and happiness.

Observe, Sir, that I called your piety affectation.—A rant to enable you to point your

<sup>8</sup> In July, when he first submitted to his people; and not the mobbing triumphal cataftrophe in October, which you chose, to give full scope to your declamatory powers.

Ε4

venomous

300gle

venomous dart, and round your period. I speak with warmth, because, of all hypocrites, my foul most indignantly spurns a religious one;----and I very cautioufly bring forward fuch a heavy charge, to strip you of your cloak of fanctity. Your fpeech at the time the bill for a regency was agitated now lies before me.-Then you could in direct terms, to promote ambitious or interested views, exclaim without any pious qualms--- ' Ough't they to make a ' mockery of him, putting a crown of thorns on his head, a reed in his hand, and dreffing him in a raiment of purple, cry, Hail! "King of the British!" Where was your fenfibility when you could utter this cruel mockery, equally infulting to God and man? Go hence, thou flave of impulse, look into the private receffes of thy heart, and take not a mote from thy brother's eye, till thou haft removed the beam from thine own.

Of

# ( 57 )

· Of your partial feelings I shall take another view, and fhew that ' following na-'ture, which is,' you fay, 'wildom with-'out reflection, and above it'-has led you into great inconfistences, to use the foftest When, on a late melancholy ocphrafe. casion, a very important question was agitated, with what indecent warmth did you treat a woman, for I shall not lay any stress on her title, whole conduct in life has deferved praise, though not, perhaps, the fervile elogiums which have been lavished on the queen. But fympathy, and you tell us that you have a heart of flesh, was made to give way to party spirit and the feelings of a man, not to allude to your romantic gallantry, to the views of the statesman. When you descanted on the horrors of the 6th of October, and gave a glowing, and, in fome inftances, a most exaggerated description of that

that infernal night, without having troubled yourself to clean your palette, you might have returned home and indulged us with a sketch of the misery you personally aggravated.

With what eloquence might you not have infinuated, that the fight of unexpected mifery and ftrange reverfe of fortune makes the mind recoil on itfelf; and, pondering, traced the uncertainty of all human hope, the frail foundation of fublunary grandeur! What a climax lay before you. A father torn from his children,—a huíband from an affectionate wife, a man from himfelf! And not torn by the refiftlefs ftroke of death, for time would then have lent its aid to mitigate remedilefs forrow; but that living death, which only kept hope alive in the corroding form of fufpenfe, was a calamity that called for all your pity,

The fight of august ruins, of a depopulated country—what are they to a disordered soul! when

Diaitized by

when all the faculties are mixed in wild confusion. It is then indeed we tremble for humanity-and, if fome wild fancy chance to crofs the brain, we fearfully flart, and preffing our hand against our brow, ask if we are yet men ?---if our reafon is undifturbed ?----if judg-ment hold the helm? Marius might fit with dignity on the ruins of Carthage, and the wretch in the Bastille, who longed in vain to fee the human face divine, might yet view the operations of his own mind, and vary the leaden prospect by new combinations of thought: poverty, shame, and even flavery, may be endured by the virtuous man-he has still a world to range in-but the loss of reason appears a monstrous flaw in the moral world, that eludes all investigation, and humbles without enlightening.

In this state was the King, when you, with unfeeling disrespect, and indecent haste, wished to strip him of all his hereditary honours.—You were

were to eager to tafte the fweets of power, that you could not wait till time had determined, whether a dreadful delirium would fettle into a confirmed madnefs; but, prying into the fecrets of Omnipotence, you thundered out . that God had burled bim from his throne, and that it was the most infulting mockery to recollect that he had been a king, or to treat him with any particular respect on account of his former dignity. - And who was the monfter whom Heaven had thus awfully deposed, and finitten with fuch an angry blow? Surely as harmless a character as Lewis XVIth; and the queen of Great Britain, though her heart may not be enlarged by generofity, who will prefume to compare her character with that of the queen of France?

Where then was the infallibility of that extolled inftinct which rifes above reason? was it warped by vanity, or *hurled* from its throne by felf-interest? To your own heart answer these

( 60 )

thefe questions in the sober hours of reflection — and, after reviewing this gust of passion, learn to respect the sovereignty of reason.

I have, Sir, been reading, with a ferutinizing, comparative eye, feveral of your infenfible and profane speeches during the King's illnefs. I difdain to take advantage of a man's weak fide, or draw confequences from an unguarded transport - A lion preys not on carcaffes! But on this occasion you acted fystematically. It was not the paffion of the moment, over which humanity draws a veil: no; what but the odious maxims of Machiavelian policy could have led you to have fearched in the very dregs of milery for forcible arguments to support your party? Had not vanity or interest steeled your heart, you would have been shocked at the cold infenfibility which could carry a man to those dreadful manfions, where human weaknefs appears in its most awful form to calculate the chances

( 6r )

chances against the King's recovery. Imprefied as you are with respect for royalty, I am astonished that you did not tremble at every step, left Heaven should avenge on your guilty head the infult offered to its vicegerent. But the conscience that is under the direction of transfient ebullitions of feeling, is not very tender or consistent, when the current runs another way.

( 62 )

- Had you been in a philosophizing mood, had your heart or your reason been at home, you might have been convinced, by ocular demonstration, that madness is only the abfence of reason.—The ruling angel leaving its feat, wild anarchy ensues. You would have feen that the uncontrouted imagination often pursues the most regular course in its most daring flight; and that the eccentricities are boldly relieved when judgment no longer officiously arranges the fentiments, by bringing them to the test of principles. You would have

have feen every thing out of nature in that ftrange chaos of levity and ferocity, and of all? forts of follies jumbled together. You would have feen in that monstrous tragi-comic scene the most opposite paffions necessarily fucceed, and sometimes mix with each other in themind; alternate contempt and indignation; alternate laughter and tears; alternate fcorn and horror<sup>h</sup>.—This is a true picture of that chaotic state of mind, called madness; when reafon gone, we know not where, the wild elements of paffion clash, and all is horror and confusion. You might have heard the best turned conceits, flash following flash, and doubted whether the rhapfody was not eloquent, if it had not been delivered in an equivocal language, neither verse nor profe, if the sparkling periods had not stood alone,

( 63 )

<sup>h</sup> This quotation is not marked with inverted commas, because it is not exact. P. 11.

wanting

wanting force because they wanted concatenation.

64

(

It is a proverbial observation, that a very thin partition divides wit and madnefs. Poetry therefore naturally addreffes the fancy, and the language of paffion is with great felicity borrowed from the heightened picture which the imagination draws of fenfible objects concentred by impassioned reflection. And, during this 'fine phrenfy,' reason has no right to rein-in the imagination, unlefs to prevent the introduction of fupernumerary images; if the paffion is real, the head will not be ranfacked for stale tropes and cold rodomontade. Inow fpeak of the genuine enthufialm of genius, which, perhaps, feldom appears, but in the infancy of civilization; for as this light becomes more luminous reason clips the wing of fancy-the youth becomes a man.

Whether the glory of Europe is fet, I shall not now enquire; but probably the spirit of romance

romance and chivalry is in the wane; and reafon will gain by its extinction.

From observing feveral cold romantic characters I have been led to confine the term romantic to one definition-falle, or rather artificial, feelings, Works of genius are read with a prepoffeilion in their favour, and fentiments imitated, because they were fashionable and pretty, and not because they were forcibly felt.

In modern poetry the understanding and memory often fabricate the pretended effusions of the heart, and romance deftroys all fimplicity; which, in works of taste, is but a synonymous word for truth. This romantic spirit has extended to our profe, and feattered artificial flowers over the most barren heath; or a mixture of verse and prose producing the strangest incongruities. The turgid bombaft of fome of your periods fully proves these affertions; for F

when

( 65 )

when the heart fpeaks we are feldom shocked by hyperbole, or dry raptures.

( 66 )

I fpeak in this decided tone, becaufe from turning over the pages of your late publication, with more attention than I did when I first read it curforily over; and comparing the fentiments it contains with your conduct on many important occasions, I am led very often to doubt your fincerity, and to suppose that you have faid many things merely for the sake of faying them well; or to throw some pointed obloquy on characters and opinions that jostled with your vanity.

It is an arduous tafk to follow the doublings of cunning, or the fubterfuges of inconfiftency; for in controverfy, as in battle, the brave man wifhes to face his enemy, and fight on the fame ground. Knowing, however, the influence of a ruling paffion, and how often it affumes the form of reason when there is much

# ( 67 )

much fenfibility in the heart, I respect an opponent, though he tenaciously maintains opinions in which I cannot coincide; but, if I once discover that many of those opinions are empty rhetorical flourishes, my respect is soon changed into that pity which borders on contempt; and the mock dignity and haughty stalk, only reminds me of the ass in the lion's stkin.

A fentiment of this kind glanced across my mind when I read the following exclamation. <sup>6</sup> Whilft the royal captives, who followed in <sup>6</sup> the train, were flowly moved along, amidft <sup>6</sup> the horrid yells, and shrilling foreams, and <sup>6</sup> frantic dances, and infamous contumelies, <sup>6</sup> and all the unutterable abominations of the <sup>6</sup> furies of hell, in the abused shape of the <sup>6</sup> vilest of women<sup>1</sup>.<sup>2</sup> Probably you mean women who gained a livelihood by felling vegetables or fish, who never had had any advan-

<sup>1</sup> Page 106.

F 2

Digitized by GOOQ

tages

tages of education; or their vices might have lost part of their abominable deformity, by lofing part of their groffnefs. The queen of France-the great and fmall vulgar, claim our pity; they have almost insuperable obstacles to furmount in their progrefs towards true dignity of character; still I have such a plain downright understanding that I do not like to make a distinction without a difference. But it is not very extraordinary that you should, for throughout your letter you frequently advert to a fentimental jargon, which has long been current in conversation, and even in books' of morals, though it never received the regal ftamp of reason. A kind of mysterious infinct is *supposed* to refide in the foul, that inftantaneoufly difcerns truth, without the tedious labour of ratiocination. This inftinct, for I know not what other name to give it, has been termed common fense, and more frequently sensibility; and, by a kind of indefeasible

ble right, it has been *fuppofed*, for rights of this kind are not eafily proved, to reign paramount over the other faculties of the mind, and to be an authority from which there is no appeal.

This fubtle magnetic fluid, that runs round the whole circle of fociety, is not fubject to any known rule, or, to use an obnoxious phrafe, in spite of the sneers of mock humility, or the timid fears of some well-meaning Christians, who shrink from any freedom of thought, less they should rouse the old serpent, to the *eternal fitness of things*. It dips, we know-not-why, granting it to be an infallible instinct, and, though supposed always to point to truth, its pole-ftar, the point is always shifting, and feldom stands due north.

It is to this inftinct, without doubt, that you allude, when you talk of the 'moral 'conftitution of the heart.' To it, I allow, for I confider it as a congregate of fenfations ,

F 3

and

JOQGIG

### ( 70 )

and paffions, Poets must apply, " who have to ' deal with an audience not yet graduated in : ' the fchool of the rights of men.' They muft, it is clear, often cloud the understanding, whilft they move the heart by a kind of mechanical fpring; but that ' in the theatre the first intuitive glance' of feeling should difcriminate the form of truth, and fee her fair proportion, I must beg leave to doubt. Sacred be the feelings of the heart! concentred in a glowing flame, they become the fun of life; and, without his invigorating imprégnation, reason would probably lie in helples inactivity, and never bring forth her only legitimate offfpring-virtue. But to prove that virtue is really an acquifition of the individual, and not the blind impulse of unerring instinct, the baftard vice has often been begotten, by the fame father.

In what respect are we superior to the brute creation, if intellect is not allowed to be the guide

guide of paffion? Brutes hope and fear, love and hate; but, without a capacity to improve, a power of turning these passions to good or evil, they neither acquire virtue nor wisdom. ---Why? Because the Creator has not given them reason<sup>k</sup>.

( 71)

But the cultivation of reason is an arduous task, and men of lively fancy, finding it easier to follow the impulse of passion, endeavour to persuade themselves and others that it is most *natural*. And happy is it for those, who indolently let that heaven-lighted spark rest like the ancient lamps in sepulchres, that some virtuous habits, with which the reason of others shackled them, supplies its place.— ' Affection for parents,' reverence for superiors or antiquity, notions of honour, or that worldly

\* I do not now mean to discuss the intricate subject of their mortality; reason may, perhaps, be given to them' in the next stage of existence, if they are to mount in the scale of life, like men, by the medium of death.

F 4

Digitized by Google

felf-

felf-interest that shrewdly shews them that honesty is the best policy: all proceed from the reason for which they serve as substitutes; but it is reason at second-hand.

Children are born ignorant, confequently innocent; the paffions, are neither good nor evil difpofitions, till they receive a direction, and either bound over the feeble barrier raifed by a faint glimmering of unexercifed reafon, called confcience, or ftrengthen her wavering. dictates till found principles are deeply rooted, and able to cope with the headftrong paffions that often affume her awful form. What moral purpole can be answered by extolling good dispositions, as they are called, when these good dispositions are described as inftincts: for inftinct moves in a direct line to its ultimate end, and afks not for guide or fupport. But if virtue is to be acquired by experience, or taught by example, reason, perfected by reflection, must be the director of the whole host of passions,

Digitized by Google

### ( 72 )

## ( 73 )

passions, which produce a fructifying heat, but no light, that you would exalt into her place.----She must hold the rudder, or, let the wind blow which way it lift, the veffel will never advance finoothly to its defined port; for the time loft in tacking about would dreadfully impede its progress.

In the name of the people of England, you fay, ' that we know we have made no difco-• veries; and we think that no difcoveries are to be made in morality; nor many in the great principles of government, nor in the · ideas of liberty, which were underftood long • before we were born, altogether as well as • they will be after the grave has heaped its • mould upon our prefumption, and the filent tomb shall have imposed its law on our pert · loquacity. In England we have not yet been completely emboweled of our natural en-• trails; we still feel within us, and we cherish and cultivate those inbred fentiments which are

5

Digitized by GOOGLE

# ( 74 )

sare the faithful guardians, the active moni-· tors of our duty, the true supporters of all "liberal and manly morals"."---What do you mean by inbred fentiments? From whence do they come? How were they bred? Are they the brood of folly, which fwarm like the infects on the banks of the Nile, when mud and putrefaction have enriched the languid foil? Were these inbred sentiments faithful guardians of our duty when the church was an afylum for murderers, and men worshipped bread as a God? when flavery was authorized by law to fasten her fangs on human flesh, and the iron eat into the very foul? If these fentiments are not acquired, if our paffive difpolitions do not expand into virtuous affections and paffions, why are not the Tartars in the first rude horde endued with fentiments white and *elegant* as the driven fnow? Why is paffion or heroism the child of reflection, the

<sup>1</sup> Page 128.

Digitized by Google

confequence

## (75)

confequence of dwelling with intent contemplation on one object? The appetites are the only perfect inbred powers that I can difcern; and they like inftincts have a certain aim, they can be fatisfied—but improveable reafon has not yet difcovered the perfection it may arrive at—God forbid!

First, however, it is neceffary to make what we know practical. Who can deny, that has marked the flow progress of civilization, that men may become more virtuous and happy without any new discovery in morals? Who will venture to affert that virtue would not be promoted by the more extensive cultivation of reason? If nothing more is to be done, let us eat and drink, for to-morrow we die — and die for ever ! Who will pretend to fay, that there is as much happiness diffused on this globe as it is capable of affording ? as many focial virtues as reason would foster, if the could gain the ftrength the is able to acquire even

even in this imperfect flate; if the voice of nature was allowed to fpeak audibly from the bottom of the heart, and the native unalienable rights of men were recognized in their full force; if factitious merit did not take place of genuine acquired virtue, and enable men to build their enjoyment on the mifery of their fellow creatures ; if men were more under the dominion of reason than opinion, and did. not cherish their prejudices 'because they were • prejudices "?' I am not, Sir, aware of your fneers, hailing a millennium, though a state of greater purity of morals may not be a mere poetic fiction; nor did my fancy ever create a heaven on earth, fince reason threw off her fwaddling clothes. I perceive, but too forcibly, that happiness, literally speaking, dwells not here; - and that we wander to and fro in a vale of darkness as well as tears. I perceive that my passions pursue objects that the ima-

m Page 129.

Diaitized by 🕻

gination

gination enlarges, till they become only a fublime idea that shrinks from the enquiry of fenfe, and mocks the experimental philofophers who would confine this fpiritual phlogiston in their material crucibles. I know that the human understanding is deluded with vain shadows, and that when we eagerly purfue any study, we only reach the boundary set to human enquires.—Thus far shalt thou go, and no further, fays fome ftern difficulty; and the caule we were purfuing melts into utter darknels. But these are only the trials of contemplative minds, the foundation of virtue remains firm. - The power of exercifing our underflanding raifes us above the brutes; and this exercife produces that ' primary morality,' which you term ' untaught feelings.'

( 77 )

If virtue be an inftinft, I renounce all hope of immortality; and with it all the fublime reveries and dignified fentiments that have finoothed the rugged path of life: it is all a cheat,

## ( 78 )

cheat, a lying vision; I have disquieted myself in vain; for in my eye all feelings are false and spurious, that do not rest on justice as their foundation, and are not concentred by universal love.

I reverence the rights of men. — Sacred rights! for which I acquire a more profound refpect, the more I look into my own mind; and, profeffing these heterodox opinions, I still preferve my bowels; my heart is human, beats quick with human sympathies—and I FEAR God!

I bend with awful reverence when I enquire on what my fear is built.—I fear that fublime power, whofe motive for creating me must have been wife and good; and I submit to the moral laws which my reason deduces from this view of my dependence on him.— It is not his power that I fear—it is not to an arbitrary will, but to unerring *reason* I submit. —Submit—yes; I difregard the charge of arrrogance,

# ( 79 )

arrogance, to the law that regulates his just refolves; and the happines I pant after must be the fame in kind, and produced by the fame exertions as his—though unfeigned humility overwhelms every idea that would prefume to compare the goodness which the most exalted created being could acquire, with the grand fource of life and blis.

This fear of God makes me reverence myself.—Yes, Sir, the regard I have for honeft fame, and the friendship of the virtuous, falls far short of the respect which I have for myfelf. And this, enlightened felf-love, if an epithet the meaning of which has been grossly perverted will convey my idea, forces me to fee; and, if I may venture to borrow a prostituted term, to *feel*, that happines is reflected, and that, in communicating good, my foul receives its noble aliment.—I do not trouble myself, therefore, to enquire whether this is the fear the *people* of England feel: and,

## ( 80 )

and, if it be *natural* to include all the modifications which you have annexed—it is not<sup>n</sup>.

Befides, I cannot help fuspecting that, if you had the enlightened respect for yourself, which you affect to despise, you would not have faid that the constitution of our church and state, formed, like most other modern ones, by degrees, as Europe was emerging out of barbarism, was formed ' under the auspices, and ' was confirmed by the fanctions, of religion ' and piety.' You have turned over the historic page; have been hackneyed in the ways of men, and must know that private cabals and public feuds, private virtues and vices, religion and superstition, have all concurred to foment the mass and swell it to its prefent form; nay more, that it in part owes

" Vide Reflections, p. 128. "We fear God; we look up with awe to kings; with affection to parliaments; with duty to magistrates; with reverence to priest; and with respect to nobility."

its

its fightly appearance to bold rebellion and infidious innovation. Factions, Sir, have been the leaven, and private interest has produced public good.

These general reflections are not thrown out to infinuate that virtue was a creature of yesterday: No; she had her share in the grand drama. I guard against misrepresentation; but the man who cannot modify general affertions, has fcarcely learned the first rudiments of reafoning. I know that there is a great portion of virtue in the Romith church, yet I should not choose to neglect clothing myfelf with a garment of my own righteouf. nefs, depending on a kind donative of works of fupererogation. I know that there are many clergymen, of all denominations, wife and virtuous; yet I have not that respect for the whole body, which, you fay, characterizes our nation, ' emanating from a certain ' plainness and directness of understanding.'-G

Now

Now we are flumbling on *inbred* feelings and fecret lights again—or, I beg your pardon, it may be the furbished up face which you choose to give to the argument.

( 82

It is a well-known fact, that when we, the people of England, have a fon whom we fcarcely know what to do with-we make a clergyman of him. When a living is in the gift of a family, a fon is brought up to the church; but not always with hopes full of immortality. ' Such fublime principles are not ' constantly infused into perfons of exalted • birth;' they fometimes think of • the pal-' try pelf of the moment "----and the vulgar care of preaching the gofpel, or practiling felfdenial, is left to the poor curates, who, arguing on your ground, cannot have, from the fcanty stipend they receive, 'very high and " worthy notions of their function and deftina-' tion.' This confectation for ever; a word,

• Page 137.

that

that from lips of flesh is big with a mighty nothing, has not purged the *facred temple* from all the impurities of fraud, violence, injustice, and tyranny. Human passions still lurk in her *fantium fantiorum*; and, without the profane exertions of reason, vain would be her ceremonial ablutions; morality would still stand aloof from this national religion, this ideal confectation of a state; and men would rather choose to give the goods of their body, when on their death beds, to clear the narrow way to heaven, than restrain the mad career of passions during life.

Such a curious paragraph occurs in this part of your letter, that I am tempted to transcribe it<sup>p</sup>, and must beg you to elucidate it, if I misconceive your meaning.

#### The

• When the people have emptied themfelves of all the • luft of felfifh will, which without religion it is utterly • impoffible they ever fhould; when they are confcious that • they exercife, and exercife perhaps in an higher link of the G 2 • order

The only way in which the people interfere in government, religious or civil, is in electing representatives. And, Sir, let me ask you, with manly plainness—are these boly nominations? Where is the booth of religion? Does the mix her awful mandates, or lift her persualive voice, in those scenes of drunken tiot and beastly gluttony? Does the preside over those nocturnal abominations which so

<sup>6</sup> order of delegation, the power, which to be legitimate muft <sup>6</sup> be according to that eternal immutable law, in which will <sup>6</sup> and reafon are the fame, they will be more careful how <sup>6</sup> they place power in bafe and incapable hands. In their <sup>6</sup> nomination to office, they will not appoint to the exercife <sup>6</sup> of authority as to a pitiful job, but as to an holy func-<sup>6</sup> tion; not according to their fordid felfish interess, nor to <sup>6</sup> their wanton caprice, nor to their arbitrary will; but <sup>6</sup> they will confer that power (which any man may well <sup>6</sup> tremble to give or to receive) on those only, in whom they <sup>6</sup> may diferm that predominant proportion of active virtue <sup>6</sup> and wisdom, taken together and fitted to the charge, <sup>6</sup> fuch, as in the great and inevitable mixed mass of hu-<sup>6</sup> man imperfections and infirmities, is to be found.<sup>7</sup> P. 140.

#### evidently

## ( 85 )

evidently tend to deprave the manners of the lower class of people? The pestilence stops not here—the rich and poor have one common nature, and many of the great families, which, on this fide adoration, you venerate, date their misery, I speak of stubborn matters of fact, from the thoughtless extravagance of an electioneering frolic.—Yet, after the effervescence of spirits, raised by opposition, and all the little and tyrannic arts of canvassing are over-quiet souls! they only intend to march rank and file to fay YES--or NO.

Experience, I believe, will fhew that fordid intereft, or licentious thoughtleffnefs, is the fpring of action at most elections.—Again, I beg you not to lose fight of my modification of general rules. So far are the people from being habitually convinced of the fanctity of the charge they are conferring, that the venality of their votes must admonish them that they have no right to expect difinterested con-

G<sub>3</sub>

Digitized by Google

duct.

duct. But to return to the church, and the habitual conviction of the people of England.

So far are the people from being ' habitually ' convinced that no evil can be acceptable, ei-' ther in the act or the permiffion, to him whole ' effence is good ';' that the fermons which they hear are to them almost as unintelligible as if they were preached in a foreign tongue. The language and fentiments rifing above their capacities, very orthodox Christians are driven to fanatical meetings for amulement, if not for edification. The clergy, I fpeak of the body, not forgetting the respect and affection which I have for individuals, perform the duty of their profession as a kind of fee-fimple, to entitle them to the emoluments accruing from it; and their ignorant flock think that merely going to church is meritorious.

So defective, in fact, are our laws, respecting religious establishments, that I have heard

9 Page 140.

many

many rational pious clergymen complain, that they had no method of receiving their flipend that did not clog their endeavours to be useful; whilft the lives of many less confcientious rectors are passed in litigious disputes with the people they engaged to instruct, or in distant cities, in all the ease of luxurious idlenes.

But you return to your old firm ground, -Art thou there, True-penny? Must we swear to fecure property, and make affurance doubly fure, to give your perturbed spirit rest? Peace, peace to the manes of thy patriotic phrenfy, which contributed to deprive fome of thy fellow-citizens of their property in America: another spirit now walks abroad to fecure the property of the church.-The tithes are fafe !--- We will not fay for ever---because the time may come, when the traveller may ask where proud London stood? when its temples, its laws, and its trade, may be buried in one common ruin, and only ferve G 4

ferve as a by-word to point a moral, or furnish fenators, who wage a wordy war, on the other fide of the Atlantic, with tropes to swell their thundering bursts of eloquence.

Who shall dare to accuse you of inconsistency any more, when you have fo flaunchly fupported the defpotic principles which agree fo perfectly with the unerring interest of a large body of your fellow-citizens; not the largest-for when you venerate parliaments-I presume it is not the majority, as you have had the prefumption to diffent, and loudly explain your reasons.-But it was not my intention, when I began this letter, to defcend to the minutiæ of your conduct, or to weigh your infirmities in a balance; it is only fome of your pernicious opinions that I with to hunt out of their lurking holes; and to fhew you to yourfelf, stripped of the gorgeous drapery in which you have enwrapped your tyrannic principles.

#### That'

( 89 )

That the people of England respect the national establishment I do not deny; I recollect the melancholy proof which they gave, in this very century, of their *enlightened* zeal and reafonable affection. I likewise know that, according to the dictates of a *prudent* law, in a commercial state, truth is reckoned a libel; yet I acknowledge, having never made my humanity give place to Gothic gallantry, that I should have been better pleased to have heard that Lord George Gordon was confined on account of the calamities which he brought on his country, than for a *libel* on the queen of France.

But one argument which you adduce to Arengthen your affertion, appears to carry the preponderancy towards the other fide.

You observe that ' our education is so formed ' as to confirm and fix this impression, (respect ' for the religious establishment); and that our ' education is in a manner wholly in the hands ' of

( 90 )

of ecclefiaftics, and in all stages from in-' fancy to manhood'.' Far from agreeing with you, Sir, that these regulations render the clergy a more uleful and respectable body, experience convinces me that the very contrary In fchools and colleges they may, is the fact. in fome degree, fupport their dignity within the monastic walls; but, in paying due respect to the parents of the young nobility under their tutorage, they do not forget, obsequiously, to respect their noble patrons. The little refpect paid, in great houses, to tutors and chaplains proves, Sir, the fallacy of your reafon-It would be almost invidious to remark, ing. that they fometimes are only modern fubftitutes for the jefters of Gothic memory, and ferve as whetstones for the blunt wit of the noble peer who patronizes them; and what respect a boy can imbibe for a butt, at which the shaft of ridicule is daily glanced, I leave

\* Page 148.

thofe

Digitized by GOOGLC

those to determine who can diffinguish depravity of morals under the specious mask of refined manners.

Befides, the cuftom of fending clergymen to travel with their noble pupils, as humble companions, inftead of exalting, tends inevitably to degrade the clerical character: it is notorious that they meanly fubmit to the most fervile dependence, and gloss over the most capricious follies, to use a soft phrase, of the boys to whom they look up for preferment. An airy mitre dances before them, and they wrap their fheep's clothing more clofely about them, and make their spirits bend till it is prudent to claim the rights of men and the honeft freedom of fpeech of an Englishman. How, indeed, could they venture to reprove for his vices their patron: the clergy only give the true feudal emphasis to this word. It has been observed, by men who have not superficially investigated the human heart, that when

( 92 )

when a man makes his fpirit bend to any power but reason, his character is soon degraded, and his mind shackled by the very prejudies to which he fubmits with reluctance. The observations of experience have been carried still further; and the fervility to superiors, and tyranny to inferiors, faid to characterize our clergy, have rationally been supposed to arife naturally from their affociating with the nobility. Among unequals there can be no fociety ;-giving a manly meaning to the term; from fuch intimacies friendship can never grow; if the basis of friendship is mutual respect, and not a commercial treaty. Taken thus out of their fphere, and enjoying their tithes at a distance from their flocks, is it not natural for them to become courtly parafites, and intriguing dependents on great patrons, or the treafury? Observing all this-for these things have not been transacted in the dark-our young men of fashion, by a common, though erroneous,

### ( 93 )

erroneous, affociation of ideas, have conceived a contempt for religion, as they fucked in with their milk a contempt for the clergy.

The people of England, Sir, in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, I will not go any further back to infult the afhes of departed popery, did not fettle the eftablifhment, and endow it with princely revenues, to make it proudly rear its head, as a part of the conflitutional body, to guard the liberties of the community; but, like fome of the laborious commentators on Shakespeare, you have affixed a meaning to laws that chance, or, to speak more philosophically, the interested views of men, fettled, not dreaming of your ingenious elucidations.

What, but the rapacity of the only men who exercifed their reason, the priests, secured such vast property to the church, when a man gave his perishable substance to save himself from the dark torments of purgatory; and found found it more convenient to indulge his depraved appetites, and pay an exorbitant price for abfolution, than liften to the fuggestions of reason, and work out his own falvation: in a word, was not the separation of religion from morality the work of the priest, and partly achieved in those *bonourable* days which you so piously deplore?

That civilization, that the cultivation of the understanding, and refinement of the affections, naturally make a man religious, I am proud to acknowledge.—What else can fill the aching void in the heart, that human pleafures, human friendships can never fill? What else can render us refigned to live, though condemned to ignorance?—What but a profound reverence for the model of all perfection, and the mysterious tie which arises from a love of goodness? What can make us reverence ourfelves, but a reverence for that Being, of whom we are a faint image? That mighty

mighty Spirit moves on the waters-confusion hears his voice, and the troubled heart ceafes to beat with anguish, for trust in Him bade it be still. Confcious dignity may make us rife fuperior to calumny, and sternly brave the winds of adverse fortune,---railed in our own efteem by the very florms of which we are the fportbut when friends are unkind, and the heart has not the prop on which it fondly leaned, where can a tender fuffering being fly but to the Searcher of hearts? and, when death has defolated the prefent scene, and torn from us the friend of our youth-when we walk along the accultomed path, and, almost fancying nature dead, afk, Where art thou who gave life to these well-known scenes? when memory heightens former pleasures to contrast our prefent prospects-there is but one source of comfort within our reach; --- and in this sublime folitude the world appears to contain only the · Creator

-

Creator and the creature, of whole happines he is the fource.—Thele are human feelings; but I know not of any common nature or common relation amongst men but what refults from reason. The common affections and passions equally bind brutes together; and it is only the continuity of those relations that entitles us to the denomination of rational creatures; and this continuity arises from reflection —from the operations of that reason which you contemn with flippant diffespect.

If then it appears, arguing from analogy, that reflection must be the natural foundation of *rational* affections, and of that experience which enables one man to rife above another, a phenomenon that has never been seen in the brute creation, it may not be stretching the argument further than it will go to suppose, that those men who are obliged to exercise their reason have the most reason, and are the

## ( 97 )

the perfons pointed out by Nature to direct the fociety of which they make a part, on any extraordinary emergency.

Time only will shew whether the general censure, which you afterwards qualify, if not contradict, and the unmerited contempt that you have oftentatiously displayed of the National Assembly, be founded on reason, the offspring of conviction, or the spawn of envy. Time may shew, that this obscure throng knew more of the human heart and of legislation than the profligates of rank, emasculated by hereditary effeminacy.

It is not, perhaps, of very great confequence who were the founders of a flate; favages, thieves, curates, or practitioners in the law. It is true, you might farcaftically remark, that the Romans had always a *fmack* of the old leaven, and that the private robbers, fuppofing the tradition to be true, only became

Η

public

Digitized by

### ( 98 )

public depredators. You might have added. that their civilization must have been very partial, and had more influence on the manners than motals of the people; or the amufements of the amphitheatre would not have remained an everlasting blot not only on their humanity, but on their refinement, if a vicióus elegance of behaviour and luxurious mode of life is not a proftitution of the term. However, the thundering cenfures which you have caft with a ponderous arm, and the more playful bushfiring of ridicule, are not arguments that will ever depreciate the National Affembly, for applying to their understanding rather than to their imagination, when they met to fettle the newly acquired liberty of the flate on a folid foundation.

If you had given the fame advice to a young hiftory painter of abilities, I fhould have admired your judgment, and re-echoed your fentiments. timents<sup>5</sup>. Study, you might have faid, the noble models of antiquity, till your imagination is inflamed; and, rifing above the vulgar practice of the hour, you may imitate without copying those great originals. A glowing picture, of fome interesting moment, would probably have been produced by these natural means; particularly if one little circumstance is not overlooked, that the painter had noble models to revert to, calculated to excite admiration and stimulate exertion.

But, in fettling a conflictution that involved the happiness of millions, that stretch beyond the computation of science, it was, perhaps,

• Page 51. 'If the laft generations of your country ap-• peared without much luftre in your eyes, you might have • paffed them by, and derived your claims from a more • early race of anceftors. Under a pious predilection to • those anceftors, your imaginations would have realized • in them .. ftandard of virtue and wifdom, beyond the vul-• gar practice of the hour : and you would have rifen with • the example to whose imitation you afpired. Respecting • your forefathers, you would have been taught to respect • yourfelves.'

H 2

neceffary

neceffary for the Affembly to have a higher model in view than the imagined virtues of their forefathers; and wife to deduce their respect for themselves from the only legitimate fource, respect for justice. Why was it a duty to repair an ancient castle, built in barbarous ages, of Gothic materials? Why were the legiflators obliged to rake amongst heterogeneous ruins; to rebuild old walls, whose foundations could fcarcely be explored, when a fimple ftructure might be railed on the foundation of experience, the only valuable inheritance our forefathers could bequeath? Yet of this bequeft we can make little use till we have gained a flock of our own; and even then, their inherited experience would rather ferve as lighthoufes, to warn us against dangerous rocks or fand-banks, than as finger-posts that stand at every turning to point out the right road.

Nor was it absolutely necessary that they should be diffident of themselves when they

were

Digitized by Google

5

were diffatisfied with, or could not discern the almost obliterated constitution of their anceftors<sup>t</sup>. They should first have been convinced that our conflictution was not only modern, but the best possible the best one; and that our focial compact was the furest foundation of all the possible liberty a mass of men could enjoy, that the human understanding could form. They should have been certain that our representation answered all the purposes of representation; and that an establifhed inequality of rank and property fecured the liberty of the whole community, instead of rendering it a founding epithet of fubjection, when applied to the nation at large. They

\* Page 53. • If diffident of yourfelves, and not clearly • difcerning the almost obliterated constitution of your an-• ceftors, you had looked to your neighbours in this land, • who had kept alive the ancient principles and models of • the old common law of Europe meliorated and adapted • to its prefent state—by following wife examples you would • have given new examples of wisdom to the world.

H 3

**fhould** 

fhould have had the fame respect for our House of Commons that you, vauntingly, intrude on us, though your conduct throughout life has spoken a very different language; before they made a point of not deviating from the model which first engaged their attention.

That the British House of Commons is filled with every thing illustrious in rank, in descent, in hereditary, and acquired opulence, may be true,—but that it contains every thing respectable in talents, in military, eivil, naval, and political diffinction, is very problematical. Arguing from natural causes, the very contrary would appear to the speculatist to be the fact; and let experience say whether these speculations are built on fure ground.

It is true you lay great stress on the effects produced by the bare idea of a liberal descent"; but

" Page 49. 'Always acting as if in the prefence of canonized forefathers, the fpirit of freedom, leading in 'itfelf but from the conduct of men of rank, men of difcernment would rather be led to conclude, that this idea obliterated inftead of infpiring native dignity, and fubfituted a factitious pride that difemboweled the man. The liberty of the rich has its enfigns armorial to puff the individual out with infubftantial honours; but where are blazoned the ftruggles of virtuous poverty? Who, indeed, would dare to blazon what would blur the pompous monumental infcription you boaft of, and make us view with horror, as monfters in human fhape, the fuperb gallery of portraits proudly fet in battle array?

But to examine the subject more closely. Is it among the list of possibilities that a man

itfelf to mifrule and excefs, is tempered with an awful
gravity. This idea of a liberal defcent infpires us with
a fenfe of habitual native dignity, which prevents that
upftart infolence almost inevitably adhering to and difgracing those who are the first acquirers of any diffinction !'

H 4

Digitized by

of

10000

## ( 104 )

of rank and fortune *can* have received a good education? How can he difcover that he is a man, when all his wants are inftantly fupplied, and invention is never fharpened by neceffity? Will he labour, for every thing valuable muft be the fruit of laborious exertions, to attain knowledge and virtue, in order to merit the affection of his equals, when the flattering attention of fycophants is a more lufcious cordial?

Health can only be fecured by temperance; but is it eafy to perfuade a man to live on plain food even to recover his health, who has been accuftomed to fare fumptuoufly every day? Can a man relifh the fimple food of friendship, who has been habitually pampered by flattery? And when the blood boils, and the fenses meet allurements on every fide, will knowledge be pursued on account of its abftract beauty? No; it is well known that talents are only to be unfolded by industry, and that that we must have made fome advances, led by an inferior motive, before we difcover that they are their own reward.

( 105 )

But *full blown* talents *may*, according to your fystem, be hereditary, and as independent of ripening judgment, as the inbred feelings that, rifing above reason, naturally guard Englishmen from error. Noble franchises! what a grovelling mind must that man have, who can pardon his step-dame Nature for not having made him at least a lord?

And who will, after your defcription of fenatorial virtues, dare to fay that our Houfe of Commons has often refembled a bear-garden; and appeared rather like a committee of ways and means than a dignified legiflative body, though the concentrated wifdom and virtue of the whole nation blazed in one fuperb conftellation? That it contains a dead weight of benumbing opulence I readily allow, and of ignoble ambition; nor is there any thing furpaffing

paffing belief in a fuppolition that the raw recruits, when properly drilled by the minister, would gladly march to the Upper House to unite hereditary honours to fortune. But talents, knowledge, and virtue, must be a part of the man, and cannot be put, as robes of state often are, on a servant or a block, to render a pageant more magnificent.

Our Houfe of Commons, it is true, has been celebrated as a fchool of eloquence, a hot-bed for wit, even when party intrigues narrow the understanding and contract the heart; yet, from the few proficients it has accomplished, this inferior praise is not of great magnitude: nor of great confequence, Mr. Locke would have added, who was ever of opinion that eloquence was oftener employed to make ' the worse appear the better ' part,' than to support the dictates of cool judgment. However, the greater number who have gained a feat by their fortune and hereditary

-000

# ditary rank, are content with their pre-eminence, and ftruggle not for more hazardous But you are an exception; you honours. have raifed yourfelf by the exertion of abilities, and thrown the automatons of rank into the back ground. Your exertions have been a generous contest for fecondary honours, or a grateful tribute of respect due to the noble ashes that lent a hand to raise you into notice, by introducing you into the house of which you have ever been an ornament, if not a fupport. But, unfortunately, you have lately loft a great part of your popularity : members were tired of liftening to declamation, or had not fufficient tafte to be amufed when you ingenioully wandered from the question, and faid certainly many good things, if they were not to the prefent purpose. You were the Cicero of one fide of the house for years; and then to fink into oblivion, to fee your blooming honours fade before you, was enough to roufe

( 107 )

all

, Digitized by GOOD all that was human in you — and make you produce the impaffioned *Reflections* which have been a glorious revivification of your fame. — Richard is himfelf again ! He is ftill a great man, though he has deferted his post, and buried in elogiums, on church establishments, the enthusias that forced him to throw the weight of his talents on the fide of liberty and natural rights, when the will x of the nation oppressed the Americans.

There appears to be fuch a mixture of real fenfibility and fondly cherisched romance in your composition, that the present crisis carries you out of yourself; and fince you could not be one of the grand movers, the next *best* thing that dazzled your imagination was to be a conspicuous opposer. Full of yourself, you make as much noise to convince the world

\* Page 6. ' Being a citizen of a particular state, and ' bound up in a confiderable degree, by its *public will*,' &c.

that

Digitized by GOOGLE

## ( 109 )

that you defpife the revolution, as Rouffeau did to perfuade his contemporaries to let him live in obscurity.

Reading your Reflections warily over, it has continually and forcibly ftruck me, that had you been a Frenchman, you would have been, in fpite of your respect for rank and antiquity, a violent revolutionist; and deceived, as you now probably are, by the paffions that cloud your reason, have termed your romantic enthusiasm an enlightened love of your country, a benevolent respect for the rights of men. Your imagination would have taken fire, and have found arguments, full as ingenious as those you now offer, to prove that the conflicution. of which fo few pillars remained, that conflitution which time had almost obliterated, was not a model fufficiently noble to deferve clofe adherence. And, for the English constitution, you might not have had fuch a profound veneration as you have lately acquired; nay, it

is not impossible that you might have entertained the fame opinion of the English Parliament, that you professed to have during the American war.

Another observation which, by frequently occurring, has almost grown into a conviction, is fimply this, that had the English in general reprobated the French revolution, you would have ftood forth alone, and been the avowed Goliah of liberty. But, not liking to fee fo many brothers near the throne of fame, you have turned the current of your paffions, and confequently of your reasoning, another way. Had Dr. Price's fermon not lighted fome fparks very like envy in your bosom, I shrewdly suspect that he would have been treated with more candour; nor is it charitable to suppose that any thing but perfonal pique and hurt vanity could have dictated fuch bitter farcafms and reiterated expreffions of contempt as occur in your Reflections.

But

TOODE

( 110 )

## ( 111 )

But without fixed principles even goodnefs of heart is no fecurity from inconfiftency, and mild affectionate fenfibility only renders a man more ingenioufly cruel, when the pangs of hurt vanity are miftaken for virtuous indignation, and the gall of bitternefs for the milk of Chriftian charity.

Where is the dignity, the infallibility of fenfibility, in the fair ladies, whom, if the voice of rumour is to be credited, the captive negroes curfe in all the agony of bodily pain, for the unheard of tortures they invent? It is probable that fome of them, after the fight of a flagellation, compose their ruffled spirits and exercife their tender feelings by the perusal of the last imported novel.—How true these tears are to nature, I leave you to determine. But these ladies may have read your Enquiry concerning the origin of our ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful, and, convinced by your arguments, may

inogle

may have laboured to be pretty, by counterfeiting weaknefs.

You may have convinced them that littlenels and weaknels are the very effence of beauty; and that the Supreme Being, in giving women beauty in the most supereminent degree, feemed to command them, by the powerful voice of Nature, not to cultivate the moral virtues that might chance to excite respect, and interfere with the pleasing sensations they were created to infpire. Thus confining truth, fortitude, and humanity, within the rigid pale of manly morals, they might juftly argue, that to be loved, woman's high end and great diffinction! they should ' learn to · lifp, to totter in their walk, and nick-name 'God's creatures.' Never, they might repeat after you, was any man, much less a woman, rendered amiable by the force of those exalted qualities, fortitude, justice, wisdom, and

1000

15

# and truth; and thus forewarned of the facrifice they must make to those austere, unnatural virtues, they would be authorized to turn all their attention to their perfons, fystematically neglecting morals to fecure beauty .-Some rational old woman indeed might chance to stumble at this doctrine, and hint, that in avoiding atheifm you had not fleered clear of the muffulman's creed; but you could readily exculpate yourfelf by turning the charge on Nature, who made our idea of beauty independent of reason. Nor would it be necessary for you to recollect, that if virtue has any other foundation than worldly utility, you have clearly proved that one half of the human fpecies, at least, have not fouls; and that Nature, by making women little, smooth, delicate, fair creatures, never defigned that they should exercife their reason to acquire the virtues that produce oppofite, if not contradictory, feel-T

112

ſ

ings.

ings. The affection they excite, to be uniform and perfect, should not be tinctured with the respect which moral virtues inspire, left pain should be blended with pleasure, and admiration disturb the foft intimacy of love. This laxity of morals in the female world is certainly more captivating to a libertine imagination than the cold arguments of reafon, that give no fex to virtue. If beautiful weakness be interwoven in a woman's frame, if the chief business of her life be (as you infinuate) to infpire love, and Nature has made an eternal distinction between the quaities that dignify a rational being and this animal perfection, her duty and happines in this life must clash with any preparation for a more exalted state. So that Plato and Milton were grossly mistaken in afferting that human love led to heavenly, and was only an exaltation of the fame affection; for the love of the Deity, which

which is mixed with the most profound reverence, must be love of perfection, and not compassion for weakness.

115)

(

To fay the truth, I not only tremble for the fouls of women, but for the good natured man, whom every one loves. The *amiable* weaknefs of his mind is a ftrong argument against its immateriality, and feems to prove that beauty relaxes the *folids* of the foul as well as the body.

It follows then immediately, from your own reafoning, that refpect and love are antagonift principles; and that, if we really wifh to render men more virtuous, we muft endeavour to banifh all enervating modifications of beauty from civil fociety. We muft, to carry your argument a little further, return to the Spartan regulations, and fettle the virtues of men on the ftern foundation of mortification and felf-denial; for any attempt to civilize the heart, to make it humane by im-

12

Digitized by Google

planting

planting reafonable principles, is a mere philofophic dream. If refinement inevitably leffens refpect for virtue, by rendering beauty, the grand tempter, more feductive; if thefe relaxing feelings are incompatible with the nervous exertions of morality, the fun of Europe is not fet; it begins to dawn, when cold metaphyficians try to make the head give laws to the heart.

But should experience prove that there is a beauty in virtue, a charm in order, which necessfarily implies exertion, a depraved senfual taste may give way to a more manly one —and melting feelings to rational satisfactions. Both may be equally natural to man; the test is their moral difference, and that point reafon alone can decide.

Such a glorious change can only be produced by liberty. Inequality of rank must ever impede the growth of virtue, by vitiating the mind that submits or domineers; that

is

is ever employed to procure nourifhment for the body, or amufement for the mind. And if this grand example be fet by an affembly of unlettered clowns, if they can produce a crifis that may involve the fate of Europe, and ' more than Europe<sup>y</sup>,' you muft allow us to refpect unfophifticated reafon, and reverence the active exertions that were not relaxed by a faftidious refpect for the beauty of rank, or a dread of the deformity produced by any void in the focial ftructure.

After your contemptuous manner of speaking of the National Assembly, after descanting on the coarse vulgarity of their proceedings, which, according to your own definition of virtue, is a proof of its genuineness; was it not a little inconsistent, not to say ab-

Y Page 11. ' It looks to me as if I were in a great crifis,
' not of the affairs of France alone but of all Europe, per' haps of more than Europe. All circumftances taken
' together, the French revolution is the most aftonishing
' that has hitherto happened in the world.'

Ι3

furd,

### ( 118 )

furd, to affert, that a dozen people of quality were not a fufficient counterpoile to the vulgar mob with whom they condefcended to affociate? Have we half a dozen leaders of eminence in our Houfe of Commons, or even in the fashionable world? yet the sheep obsequiously pursue their steps with all the undeviating fagacity of instinct.

In order that liberty fhould have a firm foundation, an acquaintance with the world would naturally lead cool men to conclude that it must be laid, knowing the weakness of the human heart, and the ' deceitfulness of ' riches,' either by *poor* men, or philosophers, if a fufficient number of men, difinterested from principle, or truly wise, could be found. Was it natural to expect that sensual prejudices should give way to reason, or present feelings to enlarged views?—No; I am afraid that human nature is still in such a weak state, that the abolition of titles, the corner-stone of desposifient.

Digitized by

### ( 119 )

defpotifm, could only have been the work of men who had no titles to facrifice. The National Affembly, it is true, contains fome honourable exceptions; but the majority had not fuch powerful feelings to ftruggle with, when reafon led them to refpect the naked dignity of virtue.

Weak minds are always timid. And what can equal the weakness of mind produced by fervile flattery, and the vapid pleasures that neither hope nor fear feasoned? Had the conflitution of France been new modelled, or more cautiously repaired, by the lovers of elegance and beauty, it is natural to suppose that the imagination would have erected a fragile temporary building; or the power of one tyrant, divided amongst a hundred, might have rendered the struggle for liberty only a choice of masters. And the glorious *chance* that is now given to human nature of attaining more virtue and happiness than has hitherto blessed

I 4

our

## ( 120 )

our globe, might have been facrificed to a meteor of the imagination, a bubble of paffion. The ecclefiaftics, indeed, would probably have remained in quiet possession of their finecures; and your gall might not have been mixed with your ink on account of the daring facrilege that brought them more on a level. The nobles would have had bowels for their younger fons, if not for the mifery of their fellow-creatures. An august mass of property would have been transmitted to posterity to guard the temple of fuperstition, and prevent reafon from entering with her officious light. And the pomp of religion would have continued to impress the senses, if she were unable to fubjugate the paffions.

Is hereditary weakness necessary to render religion lovely? and will her form have lost the smooth delicacy that inspires love, when stripped of its Gothic drapery? Must every grand model be placed on the pedestal of property?

## ( 121 )

perty? and is there no beauteous proportion in virtue, when not clothed in a fenfual garb?

Of these questions there would be no end, though they lead to the same conclusion; that your politics and morals, when simplified, would undermine religion and virtue to set up a spurious, sensual beauty, that has long debauched your imagination, under the specious form of natural seelings.

And what is this mighty revolution in property? The prefent incumbents only are injured, or the hierarchy of the clergy, an ideal part of the conftitution, which you have perfonified, to render your affection more tender. How has posterity been injured by a distribution of the property fnatched, perhaps, from innocent hands, but accumulated by the most abominable violation of every fentiment of justice and piety? Was the monument of former ignorance and iniquity to be held facred, to enable the prefent poffestions

fessors of enormous benefices to diffalve in indolent pleasures? Was not their convenience, for they have not been turned adrift on the world, to give place to a just partition of the land belonging to the flate? And did not the respect due to the natural equality of man require this triumph over Monkish rapacity? Were those monsters to be reverenced on account of their antiquity, and their unjust claims perpetuated to their ideal children, the clergy, merely to preferve the facred majefty of Property inviolate, and to enable the Church to retain her priftine fplendor?. Can posterity be injured by individuals lofing the chance of obtaining great wealth, without meriting it, by its being diverted from a narrow channel, and difembogued into the fea that affords clouds to water all the land? Befides, the clergy not brought up with the expectation of great revenues will not feel the loss; and if bishops should happen to be chosen on account

count of their perfonal merit, religion may be benefited by the vulgar nomination.

The fophiftry of afferting that Nature leads us to reverence our civil inftitutions from the fame principle that we venerate aged individuals, is a palpable fallacy ' that is fo like truth, ' it will ferve the turn as well.' And when you add, ' that we have chosen our nature ' rather than our speculations, our breafts ra-' ther than our inventions <sup>z</sup>,' the pretty jargon feems equally unintelligible.

But it was the downfall of the visible power and dignity of the church that roused your ire;

<sup>2</sup> Page 50. 'We procure reverence to our civil infti-<sup>6</sup> tutions on the principle upon which nature teaches us to <sup>6</sup> revere individual men; on account of their age; and on <sup>6</sup> account of those from whom they are descended. All your <sup>6</sup> solution of those from whom they are descended. All your <sup>6</sup> forphisters cannot produce any thing better adapted to pre-<sup>6</sup> ferve a rational and manly freedom than the course that <sup>6</sup> we have pursued; who have chosen our nature rather than <sup>6</sup> our speculations, our breasts rather than our inventions, <sup>6</sup> for the great conservatories and magazines of our rights <sup>6</sup> and privileges.'

you

200E

#### 123)

you could have excufed a little fqueezing of the individuals to fupply prefent exigencies; the actual poffeffors of the property might have been oppreffed with fomething like impunity, if the church had not been fpeiled of its gaudy trappings. You love the church, your country, and its laws, you repeatedly tell us, becaufe they deferve to be loved; but from you this is not a panegyric: weaknefs and indulgence are the only incitements to love and confidence that you can difern, and it cannot be denied that the tender mother you venerate deferves, on this fcore, all your affection.

It would be as vain a tafk to attempt to obviate all your paffionate objections, as to unravel all your plaufible arguments, often illustrated by known truths, and rendered forcible by pointed invectives. I only attack the foundation. On the natural principles of justice I build my plea for diffeminating the property artfully faid to be appropriated to religious purposes, but, in reality,

ality, to support idle tyrants, amongst the fociety whole anceftors were cheated or forced into illegal grants. Can there be an opinion more subversive of morality, than that time fanctifies crimes, and filences the blood that calls out for retribution, if not for vengeance? If the revenue annexed to the Gallic church was greater than the most bigoted protestant would now allow to be its reasonable share, would it not have been trampling on the rights of men to perpetuate fuch an arbitrary appropriation of the common flock, because time had rendered the fraudulent feizure venerable? Befides, if Reafon had fuggefted, as furely the mult, if . the imagination had not been allowed to dwell on the fascinating pomp of ceremonial grandeur, that the clergy would be rendered both more virtuous and ufeful by being put more on a par with each other, and the mais of the people it was their duty to inftruct ;--where was there room for hefitation? The charge of prefumption,

125

٢

fumption, thrown by you on the most reasonable innovations, may, without any violence to truth, be retorted on every reformation that has meliorated our condition, and even on the improvable faculty that gives us a claim to the pre-eminence of intelligent beings.

Plaufibility, I know, can only be unmafked by fhewing the abfurdities it gloffes over, and the fimple truths it involves with fpecious errors. Eloquence has often confounded triumphant villany; but it is probable that it has more frequently rendered the boundary that feparates virtue and vice doubtful.— Poifons may be only medicines in judicious hands; but they fhould not be administered by the ignorant, because they have fometimes seen great cures performed by their powerful aid.

The many fenfible remarks and pointed obfervations which you have mixed with opinions that strike at our dearest interests, fortify

tify those opinions, and give them a degree of strength that render them formidable to the wife, and convincing to the fuperficial. It is impoffible to read half a dozen pages of your book without admiring your ingenuity, or indignantly fpurning your fophifms. Words are heaped on words, till the understanding is confused by endeavouring to difentangle the fense, and the memory by tracing contradictions. After observing a host of these contradictions, it can fcarcely be a breach of charity to think that you have often facrificed your fincerity to enforce your favourite arguments, and called in your judgment to adjust the arrangement of words that could not convey its dictates.

A fallacy of this kind, I think, could not have escaped you when you were treating the subject that called forth your bitterest animadversions, the confiscation of the ecclesiastical revenue. Who of the vindicators of the rights

of

of men ever ventured to affert, that the clergy of the prefent day fhould be punifhed on account of the intolerable pride and inhuman cruelty of many of their predeceffors<sup>a</sup>? No; fuch a thought never entered the mind of thofe who warred with inveterate prejudices. A defperate difeafe required a powerful remedy. Injuffice had no right to reft on prefeription; nor has the character of the prefent clergy any weight in the argument.

You find it very difficult to separate policy from justice: in the political world they have frequently been separated with shameful dexterity. To mention a recent instance. According to the limited views of timid, or interested politicians, an abolition of the infernal flave trade would not only be unfound policy, but a flagrant infringement of the laws (which are allowed to have been infamous) that induced the planters to purchase their estates.

\* Vide Page 210.

But

But is it not confonant with juffice, with the common principles of humanity, not to mention Christianity, to abolish this abominable mischief? <sup>b</sup> There is not one argument, one invective, levelled by you at the confiscators of the church revenue, which could not, with the strictest propriety, be applied by the planters and negro-drivers to our Parliament, if it gloriously dared to shew the world that British fenators were men: if the natural feelings of humanity filenced the cold cautions of timidity, till-this stigma on our nature was wiped off, and all men were al-

• When men are encouraged to go into a certain • mode of life by the exifting laws, and protected in that • mode as in a lawful occupation—when they have ac-• commodated all their ideas, and all their habits to it, &c.—• I am fure it is unjust in legislature, by an arbitrary • act, to offer a fudden violence to their minds and their • feelings; forcibly to degrade them from their state and • condition, and to stigmatize with shame and infamy that • character and those customs which before had been made • the measure of their happines.' Page 230.

K

lowed

### ( 130 )

lowed to enjoy their birth-right—liberty, till by their crimes they had authorized fociety to deprive them of the bleffing they had abufed.

The fame arguments might be used in India, if any attempt were made to bring back things to nature, to prove that a man ought never to quit the cast that confined him to the profession of his lineal forefathers. The Bramins would doubtless find many ingenious reasons to justify this debasing, though venerable prejudice; and would not, it is to be supposed, forget to observe that time, by interweaving the oppreffive law with many ufeful cultoms, had rendered it for the prefent very convenient, and confequently legal. Almost every vice that has degraded our nature might be justified by shewing that it had been productive of fome benefit to fociety: for it would be as difficult to point out pofitive evil as unallayed good, in this imperfect state. What indeed would become of morals,

## ( íżi )

morals, if they had no other teft than prefcription? The manners of men may change without end; but, wherever reafon receives the leaft cultivation—wherever men rife above brutes, morality muft reft on the fame bafe. And the more man difcovers of the nature of his mind and body, the more clearly he is convinced, that to act according to the dictates of reafon is to conform to the law of God.

The teft of honour may be arbitrary and fallacious, and, retiring into fubterfuge, elude clofe enquiry; but true morality fluns not the day, nor fhrinks from the ordeal of inveftigation. Moft of the happy revolutions that have taken place in the world have happened when weak princes held the reins they could not manage; but are they, on that account, to be canonized as faints or demi-gods, and pufhed forward to notice on the throne of ignorance? Pleafure wants a zeft, if experience cannot compare it with pain; but who courts pain to K 2 heighten

heighten his pleafures? A transient view of fociety will further illustrate arguments which appear to obvious that I am almost ashamed to produce illustrations. How many children have been taught œconomy, and many other virtues, by the extravagant thoughtleffness of their parents; yet a good education is allowed to be an ineftimable bleffing. The tendereft mothers are often the most unhappy wives; but can the good that accrues from the private distress that produces a fober dignity of mind justify the inflictor? Right or wrong may be estimated according to the point of fight, and other adventitious circumstances; but, to difcover its real nature, the enquiry must go deeper than the furface, and beyond the local confequences that confound good and evil together. The rich and weak, a numerous train, will certainly applaud your fystem, and loudly celebrate your pious reverence for authority and establishments-they find it pleafanter

# ( 133 )

fanter to enjoy than to think; to justify opprefion than correct abuses.-The rights of men are grating founds that fet their teeth on edge; the impertinent enquiry of philosophic meddling innovation. If the poor are in diftrefs, they will make fome benevolent exertions to affift them; they will confer obligations, but not do justice. Benevolence is a very amiable specious quality; yet the aversion which men feel to accept a right as a favour, should rather be extolled as a veftige of native dignity, than fligmatized as the odious offfpring of ingratitude. The poor confider the rich as their lawful prey; but we ought not too feverely to animadvert on their ingratitude. When they receive an alms they are commonly grateful at the moment; but old habits quickly return, and cunning has ever been a substitute for force.

That both physical and moral evil were not only foreseen, but entered into the scheme of

K 3

Providence,

JQOQLC

## ( 134 )

Providence, when this world was contemplated in the Divine mind, who can doubt, without robbing Omnipotence of a most exalted attribute? But the business of the life of a good man should be, to separate light from darkness; to diffuse happiness, whilst he submits to unavoidable misery. And a conviçtion that there is much unavoidable wretchednefs, appointed by the grand Dispofer of all events, should not flacken his exertions: the extent of what is possible can only be difcerned by God. The justice of God may be vindicated by a belief in a future state; but, only by believing that evil is educing good for the individual, and not for an imaginary whole. The happiness of the whole must arise from the happinels of the conflituent parts, or the effence of justice is facrificed to a fuppofed grand arrangement. And that may be good for the whole of a creature's existence, that disturbs the comfort of a small portion. The evil which

an

Digitized by GOOGLC

an individual fuffers for the good of the community is partial, it must be allowed, if the account is fettled by death.-But the partial evil which it fuffers, during one stage of existence, to render another stage more perfect, is frictly juft. The Father of all only can regu-- late the education of his children. To fuppofe that, during the whole or part of its existence, the happiness of any individual is facrificed to promote the welfare of ten, or ten thousand, other beings-is impious. But to suppose that the happiness, or animal enjoyment, of one portion of existence is facrificed to improve and ennoble the being itfelf, and render it capable of more perfect happines, is not to reflect on either the goodness or wifdom of God.

It may be confidently afferted that no man chooses evil, because it is evil; he only mistakes it for happines, the good he seeks. And the defire of rectifying these mistakes, is the  $K_4$  noble

### ( 136 )

noble ambition of an enlightened understanding, the impulse of feelings that Philosophy invigorates. To endeavour to make unhappy men refigned to their fate, is the tender endeavour of short-fighted benevolence, of transient yearnings of humanity; but to labour to increase human happiness by extirpating error, is a masculine godlike affection. This remark may be carried kill further. Men who poffess uncommon fenfibility, whole quick emotions fhew how closely the eye and heart are connected, foon forget the most forcible fensa-Not tarrying long enough in the brain tions. to be fubject to reflection, the next fenfations, of courfe, obliterate them. Memory, however, treasures up these proofs of native goodness; and the being who is not fpurred on to any virtuous act, still thinks itself of confequence, and boafts of its feelings. Why? Becaufe the fight of distress, or an affecting narrative, made its blood flow with more velocity, and the heart,

## 137 )

heart, literally speaking, beat with sympathetic emotion. We ought to beware of confounding mechanical instinctive sensations with emotions that reason deepens, and justly terms the feelings of *bumanity*. This word discriminates the active exertions of virtue from the vague declamation of sensibility.

The declaration of the National Affembly, when they recognized the rights of men, was calculated to touch the humane heart—the downfall of the clergy, to agitate the pupil of impulse. On the watch to find fault, faults met your prying eye; a different prepossefion might have produced a different conviction.

When we read a book that fupports our favourite opinions, how eagerly do we fuck in the doctrines, and fuffer our minds placidly to reflect the images that illustrate the tenets we have previously embraced. We indolently acquiesce in the conclusion, and our spirit animates and corrects the various subjects. But when,

when, on the contrary, we peruse a skilful writer, with whom we do not coincide in opinion, how attentive is the mind to detect fallacy. And this suspicious coolness often prevents our being carried away by a ftream of natural eloquence, which the prejudiced mind terms declamation-a pomp of words! We never allow ourfelves to be warmed; and, after contending with the writer, are more confirmed in our opinion; as much, perhaps, from a fpirit of contradiction as from reason. A lively imagination is ever in danger of being betrayed into error by favourite opinions, which it almost personifies, the more effectually to intoxicate the understanding. Always tending to extremes, truth is left behind in the heat of the chace, and things are viewed as politively good, or bad, though they wear an equivocal face.

Some celebrated writers have fupposed that wit and judgment were incompatible; opposite qualities,

qualities, that, in a kind of elementary strife, destroyed each other; and many men of wit have endeavoured to prove that they were mistaken. Much may be adduced by wits and metaphyficians on both fides of the quef-But, from experience, I am apt to tion. believe that they do weaken each other, and that great quickness of comprehension, and facile affociation of ideas, naturally preclude profundity of refearch. Wit is often a lucky hit; the refult of a momentary infpiration. We know not whence it comes, and it blows where it lifts. The operations of judgment, on the contrary, are cool and circumspect; and coolness and deliberation are great enemies to enthusiasm. If wit is of io fine a spirit, that it almost evaporates when translated into another language, why may not the temperature have an influence over it? This remark may be thought derogatory to the inferior qualities of the mind: but it is not a hafty one; and I mention it as a prelude

### ( 140 )

a prelude to a conclusion I have frequently drawn, that the cultivation of reason damps fancy. The bleffings of Heaven lie on each fide; we must choose; if we wish to attain any degree of fuperiority, and not lofe our lives in laborious idlenefs. If we mean to build our knowledge or happiness on a rational basis, we must learn to distinguish the possible, and not fight against the stream. And if we are careful to guard ourfelves from imaginary forrows and vain fears, we must also refign many enchanting illusions: for shallow must be the discernment which fails to discover that raptures and ecitalies arife from error.-Whether it will always be fo, is not now to be difcuffed; fuffice it to observe, that Truth is seldom arrayed by the Graces; and if the charms, it is only by infpiring a fober fatisfaction, which takes its rife from a calm contemplation of proportion and fimplicity. But, though it is allowed that one man has by nature more fancy

fancy than another, in each individual there is a fpring-tide when fancy should govern and amalgamate materials for the understanding; and a graver period, when those materials should be employed by the judgment. For example, I am inclined to have a better opinion of the heart of an old man, who speaks of Sterne as his favourite author, than of his understanding. There are times and feafons for all things: and moralists appear to me to err, when they would confound the gaiety of youth with the feriousness of age; for the virtues of age look not only more imposing, but more natural, when they appear rather rigid. He who has not exercised his judgment tocurb his imagination during the meridian of life, becomes, in its decline, too often the prey of childish feelings. Age demands refpect; youth love: if this order is diffurbed, the emotions are not pure; and when love for a man in his grand climacteric takes place of

Digitized by

14I

## ( 142 )

of respect, it, generally speaking, borders on contempt. Judgment is sublime, wit beautiful; and, according to your own theory, they cannot exist together without impairing each other's power. The predominancy of the latter, in your endless Reflections, such a great degree, exclude the former.

But, among all your plaufible arguments, and witty illuftrations, your contempt for the poor always appears confpicuous, and roufes my indignation. The following paragraph in particular ftruck me, as breathing the most tyrannic spirit, and displaying the most factitious feelings. Good order is the 'foundation of all good things. To be enabled to acquire, the people, without being fervile, must be tractable and obedient. The magistrate must have his reverence, the laws their authority. The 'body

Digitized by GOOGLC

# ( 143 )

· body of the people must not find the prin-· ciples of natural fubordination by art rooted • out of their minds. They must respect that \* property of which they cannot partake. They " must labour to obtain what by labour can be • obtained; and when they find, as they commonly · do, the fuccess disproportioned to the endeavour, • they must be taught their confolation in the final · proportions of eternal justice. Of this confo-' lation, whoever deprives them, deadens their ' industry, and strikes at the root of all acqui-• fition as of all confervation. He that does • this, is the cruel oppreffor, the mercilefs enemy, of the poor and wretched; at the fame time that, by his wicked speculations, • he exposes the fruits of fuccessful industry, ' and the accumulations of fortune, (ah! there's the rub) ' to the plunder of the negligent, the " difappointed, and the unprofperous"."

· Page 351.

This

Digitized by GOOGLE

### ( 144 )

This is contemptible hard-hearted fophiftry, in the fpecious form of humility, and fubmiffion to the will of Heaven.-It is, Sir, poffible to render the poor happier in this world, without depriving them of the confolation which you gratuitoufly grant them in the next. They have a right to more comfort than they at prefent enjoy; and more comfort might be afforded them, without encroaching on the pleafures of the rich: not now waiting to enquire whether the rich have any right to exclusive pleasures. What do I fay?-encroaching! No; if an intercourse were established between them, it would impart the only true pleafure that can be fnatched in this land of shadows, this hard school of moral difcipline.

I know, indeed, that there is often fomething difgufting in the diftreffes of poverty, at which the imagination revolts, and ftarts back to exercise itself in the more attractive

attractive Arcadia of fiction. The rich man builds a houfe, art and tafte give it the highest finish. His gardens are planted, and the trees grow to recreate the fancy of the planter, though the temperature of the climate may rather force him to avoid the dangerous damps they exhale, than feek the umbrageous retreat. Every thing on the estate is cherished but man;-yet, to contribute to the happiness of man, is the most sublime of all enjoyments. But if, inftead of fweeping pleafure-grounds, obelifks, temples, and elegant cottages, as objects for the eye, the heart was allowed to beat true to nature, decent farms would be fcattered over the eftate, and plenty fmile around. Instead of the poor being subject to the griping hand of an avaricious steward, they would be watched over with fatherly folicitude, by the man whose duty and pleasure it was to guard their happiness, and shield from rapa-

145

)

,

city

eity the beings who, by the sweat of their brow, exalted him above his fellows.

I could almost imagine I see a man thus gathering bleffings as he mounted the hill of life; or confolation; in those days when the fpirits lag, and the tired heart finds no pleafure in them. It is not by fquandering alms that the poor can be relieved, or improvedit is the fostering sun of kindness, the wifdom that finds them employments calculated to give them habits of virtue, that meliorates their condition. Love is only the fruit of love; condefcention and authority may produce the obedience you applaud; but he has loft his heart of flesh who can fee a fellow-creature humbled before him, and trembling at the frown of a being, whole heart is supplied by the fame vital current, and whofe pride ought to be checked by a confcioufness of having the fame infirmities.

8

What

Digitized by Google

What falutary dews might not be shed to refresh this thirsty land, if men were more enlightened ! Smiles and premiums might encourage cleanlinefs, industry, and emulation. -A garden more inviting than Eden would then meet the eye, and fprings of joy murmur on every fide. The clergyman would superintend his own flock, the shepherd would then love the sheep he daily tended; the school might rear its decent head, and the buzzing tribe, let loofe to play, impart a portion of their vivacious spirits to the heart that longed to open their minds, and lead them to take the pleasures of men. Domestic comfort, the civilizing relations of hufband, brother, and father, would foften labour, and render life contented.

Returning once from a defpotic country to a part of England well cultivated, but not very picturefque—with what delight did I not obferve the poor man's garden 1—The homely L 2 palings

#### ( 148 )

palings and twining woodbine, with all the ruftic contrivances of fimple, unlettered tafte, was a fight which relieved the eye that had wandered indignant from the ftately palace to the peftiferous hovel, and turned from the awful contraft into itfelf to mourn the fate of man, and curfe the arts of civilization!

尝

Why cannot large effates be divided into fmall farms? these dwellings would indeed grace our land. Why are huge forests still allowed to stretch out with idle pomp and all the indolence of Eastern grandeur? Why does the brown waste meet the traveller's view, when men want work? But commons cannot be enclosed without acts of parliament to increase the property of the rich ! Why might not the industrious peasant be allowed to steal a farm from the heath? This sight I have feen;—the cow that supported the children grazed near the hut, and the cheerful poultry were fed by the chubby babes, who breathed a bracing

a bracing air, far from the difeafes and the vices of cities. Domination blafts all thefe profpects; virtue can only flourish amongst equals, and the man who submits to a fellow-creature, because it promotes his worldly interest, and he who relieves only because it is his duty to lay up a treasure in heaven, are much on a par, for both are radically degraded by the habits of their life.

In this great city, that proudly rears its head, and boafts of its population and commerce, how much mifery lurks in peftilential corners, whilft idle mendicants affail, on every fide, the man who hates to encourage impoftors, or reprefs, with angry frown, the plaints of the poor ! How many mechanics, by a flux of trade or fashion, lose their employment; whom misfortunes, not to be warded off, lead to the idleness that vitiates their character and renders them afterwards averse to honest labour ! Where is the eye that  $L_3$  marks

marks these evils, more gigantic than any of the infringements of property, which you pioufly deprecate? Are these remediless evils? And is the humane heart fatisfied with turning the poor over to another world, to receive the bleffings this could afford? If fociety was regulated on a more enlarged plan; if man was contented to be the friend of man, and did not feek to bury the fympathies of humanity in the fervile appellation of mafter; if, turning his eyes from ideal regions of tafte and elegance, he laboured to give the earth he inhabited all the beauty it is capable of receiving, and was ever on the watch to shed abroad all the happiness which human nature can enjoy;-he who, respecting the rights of men, withes to convince or perfuade fociety that this is true happiness and dignity, is not the cruel oppreffer of the poor, nor a shortfighted philosopher-HE fears God and loves his fellow-creatures.—Behold the whole duty of

### ( 191 )

of man 1-the citizen who acts differently is a sophisticated being.

Surveying civilized life, and feeing, with undazzled eye, the polished vices of the rich, their infincerity, want of natural affections, with all the specious train that luxury introduces, I have turned impatiently to the poor, to look for man undebauched by riches or power—but, alas! what did I fee? a being fcarcely above the brutes, over which he tyrannized; a broken spirit, worn-out body, and all those gross vices which the example of the rich, rudely copied, could produce. Envy built a walt of separation, that made the poor hate, whils they bent to their superiors; who, on their part, stepped as a broken fight of human misery.

What were the outrages of a day<sup>4</sup> to these continual miseries? Let those forrows hide their diminisched head before the tremend-

d The 6th of October,

L 4

ous

### ( \*152. )

ous mountain of woe that thus defaces our globe! Man preys on man; and you mourn for the idle tapestry that decorated a gothic pile, and the dronish bell that summoned the fat prieft to prayer. You mourn for the empty pageant of a name, when flavery flaps her wing, and the fick heart retires to die in lonely wilds, far from the abodes of men. Did the pangs you felt for infulted nobility, the anguish that rent your heart when the gorgeous robes were torn off the idol human weakness had fet up, deferve to be compared with the long-drawn figh of melancholy reflection, when mifery and vice are thus feen to haunt our steps, and swim on the top of every cheering prospect? Why is our fancy to be appalled by terrific perspectives of a hell beyond the grave ? --- Hell stalks abroad ; --- the lash resounds on the flave's naked fides; and the fick wretch, who can no longer earn the four bread of unremitting labour, steals to a ditch

ditch to bid the world a long good night—or, neglected in fome oftentatious hofpital, breathes his laft amidst the laugh of mercenary attendants.

Such mifery demands more than tears — I paufe to recollect myfelf; and fmother the contempt I feel rifing for your rhetorical flourishes and infantine fensibility.

Taking a retrospective view of my hasty anfwer, and casting a curfory glance over your *Reflections*, I perceive that I have not alluded to feveral reprehensible passages, in your elaborate work; which I marked for censure when I first perused it with a steady eye. And now I find it almost impossible candidly to refute your sophisms, without quoting your own words, and putting the numerous contradictions I observed in opposition to each other. This would be an effectual refutation; but,

but, after fuch a tedious drudgery, I fear I should only be read by the patient eye that fcarcely wanted my affiftance to detect the flagrant errors. It would be a tedious process to thew, that often the most just and forcible illustrations are warped to colour over opinions you must *(ometimes* have fecretly despifed; or, at least, have discovered, that what you afferted without limitation, required the greatest. Some subjects of exaggeration may have been superficially viewed: depth of judgment is, perhaps, incompatible with the predominant features of your mind. Your reafon may have often been the dupe of your imagination; but fay, did you not fometimes angrily bid her be still, when she whifpered that you were departing from frict truth? Or, when affuming the awful form of confcience, and only fmiling at the vagaries of vanity, did she not austerely bid you recollect your own errors, before you lifted the avenging

ing ftone? Did fhe not fometimes wave her hand, when you poured forth a torrent of fhining fentences, and befeech you to concatenate them—plainly telling you that the impaffioned eloquence of the heart was calculated rather to affect than dazzle the reader, whom it hurried along to conviction? Did fhe not anticipate the remark of the wife, who drink not at a fhallow fparkling ftream, and tell you that they would difcover when, with the dignity of fincerity, you fupported an opinion that only appeared to you with one face; or, when fuperannuated vanity made you torture your invention?—But I forbear.

I have before animadverted on our method of electing representatives, convinced that it debauches both the morals of the people and the candidates, without rendering the member really responsible, or attached to his constituents; but, amongst your other contradictions, you blame the National Assembly for expecting

#### ( 156 )

ing any exertions from the fervile principle of responsibility, and afterwards infult them for not rendering themselves responsible. Whether the one the French have adopted will answer the purpose better, and be more than a shadow of representation, time only can shew. In theory it appears more promising.

Your real or artificial affection for the English constitution seems to me to resemble the brutal affection of some weak characters. They think it a duty to love their relations with a blind, indolent tenderness, that will not see the faults it might affiss to correct, if their affection had been built on rational grounds. They love they know not why, and they will love to the end of the chapter.

Is it absolute blasphemy to doubt of the omnipotence of the law, or to suppose that religion might be more pure if there were fewer baits for hypocrites in the church? But our manners, you tell us, are drawn from the French,

## ( 157 )

French, though you had before celebrated our native plainnefs. If they were, it is time we broke loofe from dependance——Time that Englifhmen drew water from their own fprings; for, if manners are not a painted fubftitute for morals, we have only to cultivate our reafon, and we fhall not feel the want of an arbitrary model. Nature will fuffice; but I forget myfelf:—Nature and Reafon, according to your fyftem, are all to give place to authority; and the gods, as Shake-

• Page 118. • It is not clear, whether in England we • learned those grand and decorous principles, and manners, • of which confiderable traces yet remain, from you, or • whether you took them from us. But to you, I think, • we trace them best. You seem to me to be—gentis incu-• nabula nostræ. France has always more or less influenced • manners in England; and when your fountain is choaked • up and polluted, the stream will not run long, or not • run clear with us, or perhaps with any nation. This • gives all Europe, in my opinion, but too close and con-• nected a concern in what is done in France.\*

#### **f**peare

### ( 158 )

fpeare makes a frantic wretch exclaim, feem to kill us for their sport, as men do flies.

Before I conclude my curfory remarks, it is but just to acknowledge that I coincide with you in your opinion respecting the fincerity of many modern philosophers. Your confistency in avowing a veneration for rank and riches deserves praise; but I must own that I have often indignantly observed that some of the enlightened philosophers, who talk most vehemently of the native rights of men, borrow many noble fentiments to adorn their converfation, which have no influence on their conduct. They bow down to rank, and are careful to fecure property; for virtue, without this adventitious drapery, is feldom very respectable in their eyes-nor are they very quick-fighted to difcern real dignity of character when no founding name exalts the man above his fellows.-But neither open enmity nor

nor hollow homage deftroys the intrinsic value of those principles which rest on an eternal foundation, and revert for a standard to the immutable attributes of God.

#### THE END.

