A

LETTER

CONCERNING

Toleration:

Humbly Submitted, &c.

LICENSED, Octob. 3. 1689.

LONDON,

Printed for Awnsham Churchill, at the Black Swan at Amen-Corner. 1689.

Markette and the second

500000

A Committee to the territory

Ball

TO THE

READER.

\Hie Ensuing Letter concerning Toleration, siest Frinted in Latin this very Year, in Holland, bas already been Translated both into Dutch and French So general and speedy an Approbation may therefore bespeak its favourable Reception in England. I think indeed there is no Nation under Heaven, in which so much has already been said upon that Subject, as Ours. But yet certainly there is no People that stand in more need of baving something further both said and done amongst them, in this Point, than We do.

Our

To the Reader.

Our Government has not only been partial in Matters of Religion; but those also who have suffered under that Partiality, and have therefore endeavoured by their Writings to vindicate their own Rights and Liberties, have for the most part done it upon narrow Principles, suited only to the Interests of their own Seets.

This narrowness of Spirit on all sides bas undoubtedly been the principal Occasion of our Miseries and Consustions. But whatever have been the Occasion, it is now high time to seek for a thorow Cure. We have need of more generous Remedies than what have yet been made use of in our Distemper. It is neither Declarations of Indulgence, nor Acts of Comprehension, such as have yet been practised or projected amongst us, that can do the Work. The first will but palliate, the second encrease our Evil.

Absolute

To the Reader.

Absolute Liberty, Just and True Liberty, Equal and Impartial Liberty, is the thing that we stand in need of. Now tho this has indeed been much talked of, I doubt it has not been much understood; I am sure not at all practifed, either by our Governours towards the People in general, or by any Dissenting Parties of the People towards one another.

I cannot therefore but hope that this Discourse, which treats of that Subject, however briefly, yet more exactly than any we have yet seen, demonstrating both the Equitableness and Practicableness of the thing, will be esteemed highly seasonable, by all Men that have Souls large enough to prefer the true Interest of the Publick before that of a Party.

It is for the use of such as are already so spirited, or to inspire that Spirit into those that are not, that I have Translated

iţ

To the Reader.

it into our Language. But the thing it self is so short, that it will not bear a longer Presace. I leave it therefore to the Consideration of my Countrymen, and heartily wish they may make the use of it that it appears to be designed for.

A Letter

A

LETTER

CONCERNING

TOLERATION.

Henoured Sir,

are pleased to inquire what are my Thoughts about the mutual Toleration of Christians in their different Professions of Religion, I must needs answer you freely, That I esteem that Toleration to be the chief Characteristical Mark of the True Church. For whatfoever some People boast of the Antiquity of Flaces and Names, or of the Pomp of their Outward Worship; Others, of the Reformation of their Difeipline; All, of the Orthodoxy of their Faith; (for every one is Orthodox to himself:) These things, and all others of this nature, are much rather Marks of Men striving for Power and Empire over one another, than of the Church of Christ. Let any one have never so true a Claim to all these things, yet if he be destitute of Charity, Meekness, and Good-will in general towards all Mankinds even to those that are not Christians, he is certainly yet thort of being a true Christian himself. The Kings of the Luk, 22. Gentiles exercise Lordship over them, said our Saviour to his 25. Disciples, but ye shall not be so. The Butiness of True Re-

ligion

19.

32.

ligion is quite another thing. It is not instituted in order to the creeting of an external Pomp, nor to the obtaining of Ecclefiaftical Dominion, nor to the exercifing of conrullive Force; but to the regulating of Mens Lives according to the Rules of Vertue and Piety. Whofoever will life himfelf under the Eanner of Christ, must in the first place, and above all things, make War upon his own Lults and Vices. It is in vain for any Man to usurp the Name of Christian, without Holiness of Life, Purity of Manners, and Benignity and Meekness of Spirit. Let every one that nameth the Name of Christ, depart from iniquity. Thou, when thou art converted, strengthen thy Brethren, fail our Lord to Peter. It would indeed be very hard for one that appears careless about his own Salvation, to perfuade me that he were extreamly concern'd for mine. For it is impossible that those should sincerely and heartily apply themselves to make other People Christians, who have not really embraced the Christian Religion in their own Hearts. If the Gosrel and the Apostles may be credited, no Man can be a Christian without Charity, and without that Faith which works, not by Force, but by Love. Now I appeal to the Confeiences of those that persecute, torment, destroy, and kill other Men upon pretence of Religion, whether they do it out of Friendship and Kindness towards them, or no: And I shall then indeed, and not till then, believe they do fo, when I shall see those fiery Zealots correcting, in the same manner, their Friends and familiar Aconaintance, for the manifest Sins they commit against the Frecepts of the Gospel; when I shall see them prosecute with Fire and Sword the Members of their own Communion that are tainted with enormous Vices, and without Amendment are in danger of eternal Perdition; and when I thall be them thus express their Love and Defire of the Salvation of their Souls, by the infliction of Torments,

and exercise of all manner of Cruelties. For if it be out

of

of a Principle of Charity, as they pretend, and Love to Mens Souls, that they deprive them of their Estates, maim them with corporal Punishments, starve and torment them in noison Prisons, and in the end even take away their Lives; I say, if all this be done meerly to make Men Christians, and procure their Salvation, Why then do they suffer Whoredom, Frand, Malice, and such like enormities, Rom. 1. which (according to the Apostle) manifestly rellish of Heathenish Corruption, to predominate so much and abound amongst their Flocks and People? These, and such like things, are certainly more contrary to the Glery of God, to the Purity of the Church, and to the Salvation of Souls, than any conscientious Dissent from Ecclesiastical Decisions. or Separation from Publick Worship, whilst accompanied with Innocency of Life. Why then does this burning Zeal for God, for the Church, and for the Salvation of Souls; burning, I fay, literally, with Fire and Faggot; pals by those moral Vices and Wickednesses, without any Chastifement, which are acknowledged by all Men to be diametrically opposite to the Profession of Christianity; and bend all its Nerves either to the introducing of Ceremomies, or to the establishment of Opinions, which for the most part are about nice and intricate Matters, that exceed the Capacity of ordinary Understandings? Which of the Parties contending about these things is in the right, which of them is guilty of Schism or Heresie, whether those that domineer or those that suffer, will then at last be manifest. when the Cause of their Separation comes to be judged of. He certainly that follows Christ, embraces his Doctrine, and bears his Yoke, tho' he forfake both Father and Mother, separate from the Publick Assemblies and Ceremonies of his Country, or whomfoever, or whatfoever elfe he relinquishes, will not then be judged an Heretick.

Now, tho' the Divisions that are amongst Sects should be allowed to be never so obstructive of the Salvation of B 2 Souls.

Sculs; yet nevertheless Adultery, Fornication, Unchannels, Lasciviousness, Idolatry, and such like things, cannot be denied to be Works of the Flesh; concerning which the Apofile has expresly declared, that they who do them shall not inherit the Kingdom of God. Whofoever therefore is fincerely follicitous about the Kingdom of God, and thinks it his Duty to endeavour the Enlargement of it amongst Men, ought to apply himself with no less care and induftry to the rooting out of these Immoralities, than to the Extirpation of Sects. But if any one do otherwise, and whilft he is cruel and implacable towards those that differ from him in Opinion, he be includent to fuch Iniquities and Immoralities as are unbecoming the Name of a Christian, let such a one talk never so much of the Church, he plainly demonstrates by his Actions, that 'tis another Kingdom he aims at, and not the Advancement of the Kingdom of God.

That any Man should think fit to cause another Man, whose Salvation he heartily desires, to expire in Torments, and that even in an unconverted estate, would, I confess, feem very strange to me, and, I think, to any other also. But no body, furely, will ever believe that fuch a Carriage can proceed from Charity, Love, or Good-will. If any one maintain that Men ought to be compelled by Fire and Sword to profess certain Dostrines, and conform to this or that exteriour Worship, without any regard had unto their Morals; if any one endeavour to convert these that are Erroncous unto the Faith, by forcing them to profess things that they do not believe, and allowing them to practife things that the Gospel does not permit; it cannot be doubted indeed but fuch a one is defirous to have a numerous Affembly joyned in the same Profession with himself; but that he principally intends by those means to compose a truly Christian Church, is altogether incredible. It is not therefore to be wondred at, if those who do do not really contend for the Advancement of the true Religion, and of the Church of Christ, make use of Arms that do not belong to the Christian Warfare. If, like the Captain of our Salvation, they fincerely defired the Good of Souls, they would tread in the Steps, and follow the perfect Example of that Prince of Peace, who fent out his Soldiers to the fubduing of Nations, and gathering them into his Church, not armed with the Sword, or other Inftruments of Force, but prepared with the Gospel of Peace, and with the Exemplary Holiness of their Converfation. This was his Method. Tho' if Infidels were to be converted by force, if those that are either blind or obstinate were to be drawn off from their Errors by Armed Soldiers, we know very well that it was much more easie for Him to do it with Armies of Heavenly Legions, than for any Son of the Church, how potent foever, with all his Dragoons:

The Toleration of those that differ from others in Matters of Religion, is so agreeable to the Gospel of Jesus Christ, and to the genuine Reason of Mankind, that it feems monstrous for Men to be so blind, as not to perceive the Necessity and Advantage of it, in so clear a Light. will not here tax the Pride and Ambition of some, the Passion and uncharitable Zeal of others. These are Faults from which Humane Affairs can perhaps scarce ever be perfectly freed; but yet fuch as no body will bear the plain Imputation of, without covering them with some specious Colour; and so pretend to Commendation, whilst they are carried away by their own irregular Passions. But however, that some may not colour their Spirit of Persecution and unchristian Cruelty with a Pretence of Care of the Publick Weal, and Observation of the Laws; and that others, under pretence of Religion, may not feek Impunity for their Libertinisin and Licentiousness; in a word, that none may impose either upon himself or others, by the the Pretences of Loyalty and Obedience to the Prince, or of Tenderness and Sincerity in the Worship of God; I esteem it above all things necessary to distinguish exactly the Business of Civil Government from that of Religion, and to settle the just Bounds that lie between the one and the other. If this be not done, there can be no end put to the Controversies that will be always assising, between those that have, or at least pretend to have, on the one side, a Concernment for the Interest of Mens Souls, and on the other side, a Care of the Commonwealth.

The Commonwealth scens to me to be a Society of Men constituted only for the procuing, preserving, and advancing of their own Givil Interests.

Civil Interests I call Life, Liberty, Health, and Indolency of Body; and the Possession of outward things, such as

Money, Lands, Houses, Furniture, and the like.

It is the Duty of the Civil Magistrate, by the impartial Execution of equal Laws, to secure unto all the People in general, and to every one of his Subjects in particular, the just Possession of these things belonging to this Life. If any one presume to violate the Laws of Publick sustice and Equity, established for the Preservation of those things, his Presumption is to be check'd by the sear of Punishment, consisting of the Deprivation or Diminution of those Civil Interests, or Goods, which otherwise he might and ought to enjoy. But seeing no Man does willingly suffer himself to be punished by the Deprivation of any part of his Goods, and much less of his Liberty or Life, therefore is the Magistrate armed with the Force and Strength of all his Subjects, in order to the punishment of those that violate any other Man's Rights.

Now that the whole Jurisdiction of the Magistrate reaches only to these Civil Concernments; and that all Civil Power, Right and Dominion, is bounded and confined to the only care of promoting these things; and that it neither

Digitized by Google

ther can nor ought in any manner to be extended to the Salvation of Souls, these following Confiderations feem unto me abundantly to demonstrate.

First, Because the Care of Souls is not committed to the Civil Magistrate, any more than to other Men. It is not committed unto him, I say, by God; because it appears not that God has ever given any fuch Authority to one Man over another, as to compell any one to his Religion. Nor can any such Power be vested in the Magistrate by the consent of the People; because no man can so far abandon the care of his own Salvation, as blindly to leave it to the choice of any other, whether Prince or Subject, to prefcribe to him what Faith or Worthip he thall embrace. For no Man can, if he would, conform his Faith to the Dictates of another. All the Life and Power of true Religion confifts in the inward and full perswasion of the mind; and Faith is not Faith without believing. Whatever Profeffion we make, to whatever outward Worship we conform, if we are not fully satisfied in our own mind that the one is true, and the other well pleasing unto God, such Profellion and fuch Practice, far from being any furtherance, are indeed great Obstacles to our Salvation. For in this manner, instead of explating other Sins by the exercise of Religion, I say in offering thus unto God Almighty such a Worthip as we esteem to be displeasing unto him, we add unto the number of our other fins, those also of Hypocrifie, and Contempt of his Divine Majesty.

In the fecond place. The care of Souls cannot belong to the Civil Magistrate, because his Power consists only in outward force; but true and saving Religion consists in the inward perswasion of the Mind, without which nothing can be acceptable to God. And such is the nature of the Understanding, that it cannot be compelled to the belief of any thing by outward force. Consistation of Estate, Imprisonment, Torments, nothing of that

nature

nature can have any fuch Efficacy as to make Men change the inward Judgment that they have framed of things.

It may indeed be alledged, that the Magistrate may make use of Arguments, and thereby draw the Heterodox into the way of Truth, and procure their Salvation. I grantit; but this is common to him with other Men. In teaching, instructing, and redressing the Erroneous by Reafon, he may certainly do what becomes any good Man to do. Magistracy does not oblige him to put of either Humanity or Christianity. But it is one thing to perswade, another to command; one thing to press with Arguments, another with Penalties. This Civil Power alone has a right to do; to the other Good-will is Authority enough. Every Man has Commission to admonish, exhort, convince another of Error, and by realening to draw him into Truth: but to give Laws, receive Obedience, and compel with the Sword, belongs to none but the Magistrate. And upon this ground I affirm, that the Magistrate's Power extends not to the establishing of any Articles of Faith, or Forms of Worthip, by the force of his Laws. For I aws are of no force at all without Penalties, and Penalties in this case are absolutely impertinent; because they are not proper to convince the mind. Neither the Profession of any Articles of Fairly. nor the Conformity to any outward Form of Worship (as has already been faid) can be available to the Salvation of Souls, unless the truth of the one, and the acceptableness of the other unto God, be thoroughly believed by those that so profess and practise. But Penalties are no ways capable to produce such Belief. It is only Light and Evidence that can work a change in Mens Opinions; which Light can in no manner proceed from corporal Sufferings, or any other outward Penalties.

In the third place. The care of the Salvation of Mens Souls cannot belong to the Magistrate; because, though the rigour of Laws and the force of Penalties were capable to con-

vince

vince and change Mens minds, yet would not that help at all to the Salvation of their Souls. For there being but one Truth, one way to Heaven; what Hopes is there that more Men would be led into it, if they had no Rule but the Religion of the Court, and were put under a necesfiry to quit the Light of their own Reason, and oppose the Dictates of their own Consciences, and blindly to refign up themselves to the Will of their Governors, and to the Religion, which either Ignorance, Ambition, or Superfittion had chanced to establish in the Countries where they were born? In the variety and contradiction of Opinions in Religion, wherein the Princes of the World are as much divided as in their Secular Interests, the narrow way would be much strained; one Country alone would be in the right, and all the rest of the World put under an obligation of following their Princes in the ways that lead to Destruction; and that which heightens the absurdity, and very ill suits the Notion of a Deity, Men would owe their eternal Happiness or Misery to the places of their Nativity.

These Considerations, to omit many others that might have been urged to the same purpose, seem unto me sufficient to conclude that all the Power of Civil Government relates only to Mens Civil Interests, is confined to the care of the things of this World, and hath nothing to do with the World to come.

Let us non confider what a Church is. A Church then I take to be a voluntary Society of Men, joining them-felves together of their own accord, in order to the publick worthipping of God, in such a manner as they judge acceptable to him, and effectual to the Salvation of their Souls.

I say it is a free and voluntary Society. No body is born a Member of any Church; otherwise the Religion of Parents would descend unto Children, by the same C right right of Inheritance as their Temporal Estates, and every one would hold his Faith by the same Tenure he does his Lands; than which nothing can be imagined more abfurd. Thus therefore that matter stands. No Man by nature is bound unto any particular Church or Sect, but every one joins himself voluntarily to that Society in which he believes he has found that Profession and Worship which is truly acceptable to God. The hopes of Salvation, as it was the only cause of his entrance into that Communion, so it can be the only reason of his stay there. For if afterwards he discover any thing either erroncous in the Doctrine, or incongruous in the Worship of that Society to which he has join'd himself, Why should it not be as free for him to go out as it was to enter? No Member of a Religious Society can be tied with any other Bonds but what preceed from the certain expectation of eternal Life. A Church then is a Society of Members voluntarily uniting to this

. It follows now that we consider what is the Power of this Church, and unto what Laws it is subject.

Forasmuch as no Society, how free soever, or upon whatfoever flight occasion instituted, (whether of Philophers for Learning, of Merchants for Commerce, or of men of leisure for mutual Conversation and Discourse.) No Church or Company, I say, can in the least subsist and hold together, but will prefently diffolve and break to pieces, unless it be regulated by some Laws, and the Members all consent to observe some Order. Place, and time of meeting must be agreed on; Rules for admitting and excluding Members must be establisht; Distinction of Officers, and putting things into a regular Course, and such like.cannot be omitted. But fince the joyning together of several Members into this Church-Society, as has already been demonstrated, is absolutely free and spontaneous, it necellarily follows, that the Right of making its Laws can belong long to none but the Society it self, or at least (which is the same thing) to those whom the Society by common consent has authorised thereunto.

Some perhaps may object, that no fuch Society can be faid to be a true Church, unless it have in it a Bishop, or Presbyter, with Ruling Authority derived from the very Apostles, and continued down unto the present times by an uninterrupted Succession.

To these I answer. In the first place, Let them shew me the Edict by which Christ has imposed that Law upon his Church. And let not any man think me impertinent if, in a thing of this consequence, I require that the Terms of that Edict be very express and positive. For the Promise he has made us, that wheresever two or three are gathered to-Matth. 18. gether in his Name, he will be in the midst of them, seems to 200 imply the contrary. Whether such an Assembly want any thing necessary to a true Church, pray do you consider. Certain I am, that nothing can be there wanting unto the Salvation of Souls; Which is sufficient to our purpose.

Next, Pray observe how great have always been the Divisions amongst even those who lay so much stress upon the Divine Institution, and continued Succession of a certain Order of Rulers in the Church. Now their very Dissention unavoidably puts us upon a necessity of deliberating, and consequently allows a liberty of choosing that, which upon consideration, we prefer.

And in the last place, I consent that these men have a Ruler of their Church, established by such a long Series of Succession as they judge necessary; provided I may have liberty at the same time to join my self to that Society, in which I am perswaded those things are to be found which are necessary to the Salvation of my Soul. In this manner Ecclesiastical Liberty will be preserved on all sides, and no man will have a Legislator imposed upon him, but whom himself has chosen.

C 2

But

But fince men are so sollicitous about the true Church, I would only ask them, here by the way, if it be not more agreeable to the Church of Christ, to make the Conditions of her Communion confift in such things, and such things only, as the Holy Spirit has in the Holy Scriptures declared, in express Words, to be necessary to Salvation 1 I ask, I say, whether this be not more agreeable to the Church of Christ, than for men to impose their own Inventions and Interpretations upon others, as if they were of Divine Authority, and to establish by Ecclesiastical Laws, as absolutely necessary to the Profession of Christianies, such things as the Holy Scriptures do either not mention, or at least not expresly command. Whosoever requires those things in order to Ecclefiaftical Communion, which Christ does not require in order to Life Eternal, he may perhaps indeed conflitute a Society accommodated to his own Opinion and his own Advantage, but how that can be called the Church of Christ, which is established upon Laws that are not his, and which excludes fuch Persons from its Communion as he will one day receive into the Kingdom of Heaven, I understand not. But this being not a proper place to enquire into the marks of the true Church, I will only mind those that contend so earnestly for the Decrees of their own Society, and that cry our continually the Church, the Church, with as much noise, and perhaps upon the same Principle, as the Ephofian Silversiniths did for their Diana; this, I say, I desire to mind them of, That the Gospel frequently declares that the true Disciples of Christ must suffer Persecution; but that the Church of Christ should perfecute others, and force others by Fire and Sword, to embrace her Faith and Doctrine, I could never yet find in any of the Books of the New Teltament.

The End of a Religious Society (as has already been faid) is the Publick Worthip of God, and by means thereof the acquisition of Eternal Life. All Discipline ought
therefore

therefore to tend to that End, and all Ecclesiassical Caws to be thereunto confined. Nothing ought, nor can be transacted in this Society, relating to the Possettion of Civil and Worldly Goods. No Force is here to be made use of, upon any occasion whatsoever: For Force belongs wholly to the Civil Magistrate, and the Possetsion of all outward Goods is subject to his Jurisdiction.

But it may be asked, By what means then shall Ecclesiaftical Laws be established, if they must be thus destitute of all Compulsive Power? I answer, They must be established by Means suitable to the Nature of such Things, whereof the external Profession and Observation, if not proceeding from a thorow Conviction and Approbation of the Mind, is altogether ufcless and unprofitable. The Arms by which the Members of this Society are to be kept within their Duty, are Exhortations, Admonitions, and Advices. If by these means the Offenders will not be reclaimed, and the Erroneous convinced, there remains nothing further to be done, but that fuch stubborn and obstinate Persons, who give no ground to hope for their Reformation, should be cast out and separated from the Society. This is the last and utmost Force of Ecclesiastical Authority: No other Punishment can thereby be inflicted, than that, the Relation cealing between the Body and the Member which is cut off, the Person so condemned conses to be a Part of that Church. .

These things being thus determined, let us inquire in the next place, how far the Duty of Toleration extends; and what is required from every one by it.

And first, I hold, That no Church is bound by the Duty of Toleration to retain any such Person in her Boson, as, after Admonition, continues obstinately to offend against the Laws of the Society. For these being the Condition of Communion, and the Eond of the Society, if the Breach of them were permitted without any Animal-version,

version, the Society would immediately be thereby dissol-But nevertheless, in all such Cases care is to be taken that the Sentence of Excommunication, and the Execution thereof, carry with it no rough usage, of Word or Action, whereby the ejected Person may any wise be dammilied in Body or Estate. For all Force (as has often been faid) belongs only to the Magistrate, nor ought any private Persons, at any time, to use Force; unless it be in selfdefence against unjust Violence. Excommunication neither does, nor can, deprive the excommunicated Person of any of those Civil Goods that he formerly possessed. All those things belong to the Civil Government, and are under the Magiltrate's Protection. The whole Force of Excommunication confifts only in this, that, the Resolution of the Society in that respect being declared, the Union that was between the Body and some Member comes thereby to be disfolved; and that Relation ceasing, the participation of some certain things, which the Society communicated to its Members, and unto which no Man has any Civil Right, comes also to cease. For there is no Civil Injury done unto the excommunicated Person, by the Church-Minister's refusing him that Bread and Wine, in the Celebration of the Lord's Supper, which was not bought with his, but other mens Money.

Secondly, No private Person has any Right, in any manner, to prejudice another Person in his Civil Enjoyments, because he is of another Church or Religion. All the Rights and Franchises that belong to him as a Man, or as a Denison, are inviolably to be preserved to him. These are not the Business of Religion. No Violence nor Injury is to be offered him, whether he be Christian or Pagan. Nay, we must not content our selves with the narrow Measures of bare Justice: Charity, Bounty, and Liberality must be added to it. This the Gospel enjoyns, this Reason directs, and this that natural Fellowship we are born into

into requires of us. If any man err from the right way, it is his own misfortune, no injury to thee: Nor therefore art thou to punish him in the things of this Life, because thou supposed he will be miserable in that which is to come.

... What I say concerning the mutual Toleration of private Persons differing from one another in Religion, I understand also of particular Churches; which stand as it were in the fame Relation to each other as private Perfons among themselves, nor has any one of them any manner of Turifdiction over any other, no not even when the Civil Magistrate (as it sometimes happens) comes to be of this or the other Communion. For the Civil Government can give no new Right to the Church, nor the Church to the Civil Government. So that whether the Magistrate joyn himself, to any Church, or separate from it, the Church remains always as it was before, a free and voluntary Society. It neither acquires the Power of the Sword by the Magistrate's coming to it, nor does it lose the Right of Instruction and Excommunication by his going from it. This is the fundamental and immutable Right of a frontaneous Society, that it has power to remove any of its Members who transgress the Rules of its Institution: But it cannot, by the accession of any new Members, acquire any Right of Jurisdiction over those that are not joined with it. And therefore Peace, Equity, and Friendship, are always mutually to be observed by particular Churches, in the same manner as by private Persons, without any pretence of Superiority or Jurisdiction over one another.

Let us suppose two Churches, the one of Arminians, the other of Calvinists, residing in the City of Constantinople. Will any one say, that either of these Churches has Right to deprive the Members of the other of their Estates and Liberty, (as we see practised essewhere) because of their distering

differing from it in some Doctrines or Ceremonies; whill the Turks in the mean while filently stand by, and laugh to fee with what inhumane Cruelty Christians thus rage against Christians? But if one of these Churches hath this Power of treating the other ill, I ask which of them it is to whom 'that Power belongs, and by what Right? It will be answered, undoubtedly, That it is the Orthodox Chrich which has the Right of Authority over the Erroneous or Heretical. This is, in great and specious Words, to sav just nothing at all. For every Church is Orthodox to it felf; to others, Erroncous or Heretical. For whatsoever any Church believes, it believes to be true; and the contrary unto those things, it pronounces to be Error. So that the Controversie between these Churches about the Trath of their Doctrines, and the Purity of their Worship, is on both fides equal; nor is there any Judge, either at Constantinople, or essewhere upon Earth, by whose Sentence it can be determined. The Decision of that Question belongs only to the Supream Judge of all men, to whom also alone belongs the Punishment of the Erroneous. In the mean while, let those men consider how hamously they fin, Who, adding Injustice, if not to their Error yet certainly to their Pride, do rashly and arrogantly take upon them to misuse the Servants of another Master, who are not at all accountable to them.

Nay, further: If it could be manifest which of these two dissenting Churches were in the right, there would not accrue thereby unto the Orthodox any Right of destroying the other. For Churches have neither any Jurisdiction in Worldly matters, nor are Fire and Sword any proper Instruments wherewith to convince meus nands of Error, and inform them of the Truth. Let us suppose, nevertheless, that the Civil Magistrate inclined to favour one of them, and to put his Sword into their Hands, that (by his Consent) they might chastise the Dissenters as they pleased.

pleased. Will any man say, that any Right can be derived unto a Christian Church, over its Brethren, from a Turkith Emperor? An Insidel, who has himself no Authority to punish Christians for the Articles of their Faith, cannot confer such an Authority upon any Society of Christians, nor give unto them a Right which he has not himself. This would be the Case at Constantinople. And the Reason of the thing is the same in any Christian Kingdom. The Civil Power is the same in every place: nor can that Power, in the Hands of a Christian Prince, confer any greater Authority upon the Church, than in the Hands of a Heathen; which is to say, just none at all.

Nevertheless, it is worthy to be observed, and lamented. that the most violent of these Defenders of the Truth. the Opposers of Errors, the Exclaimers against Schisin, do hardly ever let loose this their Zeal for God, with which they are so warmed and inflamed, unless where they have the Civil Magistrate on their side. But so soon as ever Court-favour has given them the better end of the Sraff, and they begin to feel themselves the stronger, then prefently Peace and Charity are to be laid aside: Otherwise, they are religiously to be observed. Where they have not the Power to carry on Persecution, and to become Mafters, there they defire to live upon fair Terms, and preach up Toleration. When they are not strengthned with the Civil Power, then they can bear most patiently, and unmovedly, the Contagion of Idolatry, Superfittion, and Herefie, in their Neighbourhood; of which, in other Occasions, the Interest of Religion makes them to be extreamly appreheniive. They do not forwardly attack those Errors which are in failtion at Court, or are countenanced by the Government. Here they can be content to spare their Arguments: which yet (with their leave) is the only right Method of propagating Truth, which has no fuch way of prevailing, as when strong Arguments and good Reafon, Reason, are joined with the softness of Civility and good

Ulage.

No body therefore, in fine, neither fingle Persons, nor Churches, nay, nor even Commonwealths, have any just Title to invade the Civil Rights and Worldly Goods of each other, upon pretence of Religion. Those that are of another Opinion, would do well to consider with themselves how pernicious a Seed of Discord and War, how powerful a provocation to endless Hatreds, Rapines, and Slaughters, they thereby furnish unto Mankind. No Peace and Security, no not so much as Common Friendship, can ever be established or preserved amongst Men, so long as this Opinion prevails, That Dominion is sounded in Grace, and that Religion is to be propagated by sorce of Arms.

In the third place: Let us see what the Duty of Teleration requires from those who are distinguished from the rest of Mankind, (from the Laity, as they please to call us) by some Ecclesiastical Character, and Office; whether they be Bilhops, Priests, Presbyters, Ministers, or however else dignified or diffinguished. It is not my Business to inquire here into the Original of the Power or Dignity of the Clergy. This only I fay, That Whence-foever their Authority be forung, fince it is Ecclefiaffical, it ought to be confined within the Bounds of the Church, nor can it in any manner be extended to Civil Affairs; because the Church it felf is a thing absolutely separate and distinct from the Commonwealth. The Boundaries on both fides are fixed and immovable. He jumbles Heaven and Earth . together, the things most remote and opposite, who mixes these two Societies; which are in their Original, End, Business, and in every thing, perfectly distinct, and infinitely different from each other. No man therefore, with whatfoever Ecclefiaftical Office he be dignified, can deprive another man that is not of his Church and Faith, either of Liberty, or of any part of his Worldly Goods, upon the the account of that difference between them in Religion. For whatfoever is not lawful to the whole Church, cannot, by any Ecclefiastical Right, become lawful to any of its Members.

But this is not all. It is not enough that Ecclefialtical men abstain from Violence and Rapine, and all manner of Persecution. He that pretends to be a Successor of the Apostles, and takes upon him the Office of Teaching, is obliged also to admonish his Hearers of the Duties of Peace, and Good-will towards all men; as well towards the Erroneous as the Orthodox; towards those that differ from them in Faith and Worship, as well as towards those that agree with them therein: And he ought industriously to exhort all men, whether private Persons or Magistrates, (if any fuch there be in his Church) to Charity, Meekness, and Toleration; and diligently endeavour to allay and temper all that Heat, and unreasonable averseness of mind, which either any mans fiery Zeal for his own Sect, or the Craft of others, has kindled against Dissenters. I will not undertake to represent how happy and how great would be the Fruit, both in Church and State, if the Pulpits every where founded with this Doctrine of Peace and Toleration; left I should seem to reslect too severely upon those Men whose Dignity I desire not to detract from, nor would have it diminished either by others or themselves. But this I say, That thus it ought to be. And if any one that professes himself to be a Minister of the Word of God, a Preacher of the Gospel of Peace, teach otherwise, he either understands not, or neglects the Business of his Calling, and shall one day give account thercof unto the Prince of Peace. If Christians are to be admonished that they abstain from all manner of Revenge, even after repeated Provocations and multiplied Injuries, how much more ought they who fuffer nothing, who have had no harm done them, forbear Violence, and abstain

abiliain from all manner of ill usage towards those from when they have received none. This Caution and Temper they ought certainly to use towards those who mind only their own Bulinels, and are follicitous for nothing but that (whatever Men think of them) they may worthip God in that manner which they are perfuaded is acceptable to him, and in which they have the strongest hopes of Eternal Salvation. In private domestick Affairs, in the management of Estates, in the confervation of Rodily Health, every man may confider what fuits his own conveniency, and follow what course he likes best. No man complains of the ill management of his Neighbour's Affairs. No man is angry with another for an Error committed in fowing his Land, or in marrying his Daughter. No body corrects a Spendthrift for confuming his Substance in Taverns. Let any man pull down, or build, or make whatfoever Expences he pleafes, no body murmurs, no body controub him; he has his Liberty. But if any man do not frequent the Church, if he do not there conform his Behaviour exactly to the accustomed Ceremonies, or if he brings not his Children to be initiated in the Sacred Myferies of this or the other Congregation, this immediately causes an Uproar. The Neighbourhood is filled with Noise and Clamour. Every one is ready to be the Avenger of fo great a Crime. And the Zealots hardly have the patience to refrain from Violence and Rapine, fo long till the Canse be heard, and the poor man be, according to Form, condemned to the loss of Liberty, Goods, or Life. that our Ecclefialtical Orators, of every Seft, would apply themselves with all the strength of Arguments that they are able, to the confounding of mens Frrors! But let them spare their Persons. Let them not supply their want of Reasons with the Inftruments of Force, which belong to another Juisdiction, and do ill become a Churchman's Hands. Let them not call in the Magistrate's Authority rity to the aid of their Eloquence, or Learning; lest, perhaps, whilst they pretend only Love for the Truth, this their intemperate Zeal, breathing nothing but Fire and Sword, betray their Ambition, and shew that what they desire is Temporal Dominion. For it will be very difficult to persuade men of Sense, that he, who with dry Eyes, and farisfaction of mind, can deliver his Brother unto the Executioner, to be burnt alive, does sincerely and heartily concern himself to save that Brother from the Flames of Hell in the World to come.

In the last place. Let us now confider what is the Magistrate's Duty in the Business of Toleration: which certain-

ly is very confiderable.

We have already proved, That the Care of Souls does not belong to the Magistrate: Not a Magisterial Care, I mean, (if I may so call it) which consists in prescribing by Laws, and compelling by Punishments. But a charitable Care, which confilts in teaching, admonifling, and perfunding, cannot be denied unto any man. The Care therefore of every man's Soul belongs unto himself, and is to be left unto himself. But what if he neglect the Care of his Soul? I answer, What if he neglect the Care of his Health, or of his Estate, which things are nearlier related to the Government of the Magistrate than the other? Will the Magistrate provide by an express Law, That such an ene shall not become poor or sick? Laws provide, as much as is pellible, that the Goods and Health of Subjects be not injured by the Fraud or Violence of others; they do not guard them from the Negligence or Ill-husbandry of the Pollcilors themselves. No man can be forced to be Rich or Healthful, whether he will or no. Nay, God himself will not save men against their wills. Let us suppose, however, that some Prince were desirous to sorce his Subjects to accumulate Riches, or to preserve the Health and Strength of their Bodies. Shall it be provided by Law,

Law, that they must consult none but Roman Physicians, and shall every one be bound to live according to their Prescriptions? What, shall no Potion, no Broth, be taken, but what is prepared either in the *Vatican*, suppose, or in a Geneva Shop? Or, to make these Subjects rich, shall they all be obliged by Law to become Merchant; or Musicians? Or, shall every one turn Victualler, or Smith, because there are some that maintain their Families plentifully, and grow rich in those Professions? But it may be faid. There are a thousand ways to Wealth, but one only way to Heaven. 'Tis well faid indeed, especially by those that plead for compelling men into this or the other Wav. For if there were several ways that lead thither, there would not be so much as a pretence left for Compulsion. But now if I be marching on with my utmost Vigour, in that way which, according to the Sacred Geography, leads streight to Jerusalem; Why am I beaten and ill used by others, because, perhaps, I wear not Buskins; because my Hair is not of the right Cut; because perhaps I have not been dip't in the right Fashion; because I eat Flesh upon the Road, or some other Food which agrees with my Stomach; because I avoid certain Ey-ways, which seem unto me to lead into Briars or Precipices; because amongst the feveral Paths that are in the same Road, I choose that to walk in which feems to be the streightest and cleanest; because I avoid to keep company with some Travellers that are less grave, and others that are more sowre than they ought to be; or in fine, because I follow a Guide that either is, or is not, clothed in White, and crowned with a Miter? Certainly, if we confider right, we shall find that for the most part they are such frivolous things as these, that (without any prejudice to Religion or the Salvation of Souls, if not accompanied with Superstition or Hypocrisse) might either be observed or omitted; I say they are such like things as as thefe, which breed implacable Enmities amongft

amongst Christian Brethren, who are all agreed in the Substantial and truly Fundamental part of Religion.

But let us grant unto these Zealots, who condemn all things that are not of their Mode, that from these Circumstances arise different Ends. What shall we conclude from thence? There is only one of these which is the true way to Eternal Happiness. But in this great variety of ways that men follow, it is still doubted which is this right one. Now neither the care of the Commonwealth, nor the right of enacting Laws, does discover this way that leads to Heaven more certainly to the Magistrate, than every private mans Search and Study discovers it unto himself. I have a weak Body, funk under a languishing Disease, for which (Isuppose) there is one only Remedy, but that unknown. Does it therefore belong unto the Magistrate to prescribe me a Remedy, because there is but one, and because it is unknown? Because there is but one way for me to escape Death, will it therefore be safe for me to do whatfoever the Magistrate ordains? Those things that every man ought fincerely to enquire into himself, and by Meditation, Study, Search, and his own Endeavours, attain the Knowledge of, cannot be looked upon as the Peculiar Possession of any one fort of Men. Princes indeed are born Superior unto other men in Power, but in Nature equal. Neither the Right, nor the Art of Ruling, does necessarily carry along with it the certain Knowledge of other things; and least of all of the true Religion. For if it were so, how could it come to pass that the Lords of the Earth should differ so vastly as they do in Religious Matters? But let us grant that it is probable the way to Eternal Life may be better known by a Prince than by his Subjects; or at least, that in this incertitude of things, the fafest and most commodious way for private Persons is to follow his Dictates. You will say, what then? If he should bid you follow Merchandise for your Livelihood hood, would you decline that Course for sear it should not succeed? I answer: I would turn Merchant upon the Princes command, because in case I should have ill Success in Trade, he is abundantly able to make up my Loss some other way. If it be true, as he pretends, that he desired I should thrive and grow rich, he can set me up again when unsuccessful Voyages have broke me. But this is not the Case, in the things that regard the Life to come. If there I take a wrong Course, if in that respect I am once undone, it is not in the Magistrates Power to repair my Loss, to ease my Suffering, nor to restore me in any measure, much less entirely, to a good Estate. What Security can be given for the Kingdom of Heaven?

Perhaps some will say that they do not suppose this infallible Judgment, that all men are bound to follow in the Affairs of Religion, to be in the Civil Magistrate, but in the Church. What the Church has determined, that the Civil Magistrate orders to be observed; and he provides by his Authority that no body shall either act or believe, in the butiness of Religion, otherwise than the Church teaches. So that the Judgment of those things is in the Church. The Magistrate himself yields Obedience thereunto, and requires the like Obedience from others. I answer: Who sees not how frequently the Name of the Church, which was so venerable in the time of the Apoftles, has been made use of to throw Dust in Peoples Eyes, in following Ages? But however, in the present case it helps us not. The one only narrow way which leads to Heaven is not better known to the Magistrate than to private Persons, and therefore I cannot safely take him for my Guide, who may probably be as ignorant of the way as my felf, and who certainly is less concerned for my Salvation than Imy felf am. Amongst so many Kings of the Jews, how many of them were there whom any Ifraelia, thus thus blindly following, had not fall'n into Idolatry, and thereby into Destruction? Yet nevertheless, you bid me be of good Courage, and tell me that all is now fafe and fecure, because the Magistrate does not now enjoin the obfervance of his own Decrees in matters of Religion, but only the Decrees of the Church. Of what Church I befeech you? Of that certainly which likes him best. As if he that compells me by Laws and Penalties to enter into this or the other Church, did not interpose his own Judgment in the matter. What difference is there whether he lead me himself, or deliver me over to be led by others? I depend both ways upon his Will, and it is he that determines both ways of my eternal State. Would an I/2 raelite, that had worshipped Bad upon the Command of his King, have been in any better condition, because some body had told him that the King ordered nothing in Religion upon his own Head, nor commanded anything to be done by his Subjects in Divine Worthip, but what was approved by the Counsel of Priests, and declared to be of Divine Right by the Doctors of their Church? If the Religion of any Church become therefore true and faving, because the Head of that Sect, the Prelates and Priests, and those of that Tribe, do all of them, with all their might, extol and praise it; what Religion can ever be accounted erroneous, false and destructive? I am doubtful concerning the Doctrine of the Socinians, I am suspicious of the way of Worship practised by the Papists, or Lutherans; will it be ever a jot the fafer for me to join either unto the one or the other of those Churches, upon the Magistrates Command, because he commands nothing in Religion but by the Authority and Counsel of the Doctors of that Church?

But to speak the truth, we must acknowledge that the Church (if a Convention of Clergy-men, making Canons, must be called by that Name) is for the most part more apt

to be influenced by the Court, than the Court by the Church. How the Church was under the Vicifiitude of Orthodox and Arrian Emperors is very well known. Or if those things be too remote, our modern English History affords us fresh Examples, in the Reigns of Henry the 8th, Edward the 6th, Mary, and Elizabeth, how easily and smoothly the Clergy changed their Decrees, their Articles of Faith, their Form of Worship, everything, according to the inclination of those Kings and Queens. Yet were those Kings and Queens of such different minds, in point of Religion, and enjoined thereupon such different things that no man in his Wits (I had almost said none but an Atheist) will presume to say that any sincere and upright Worshipper of God could, with a safe Conscience, obey their several Decrees. To conclude. It is the same thing whether a King that prescribes Laws to another mans Religion pretend to do it by his own Judgment, or by the Ecclefiastical Authority and Advice of others. The Decisions of Church-men, whose Differences and Disputes are fufficiently known, cannot be any founder, or fafer than his: Nor can all their Suffrages joined together add any new strength unto the Civil Power. Tho this also must be taken notice of, that Princes feldom have any regard to the Suffrages of Ecclefiafticks that are not Favourers of their own Faith and way of Worship.

But after all, the principal Confideration, and which abfolutely determines this Controversie, is this. Although
the Magistrates Opinion in Religion be found, and the
way that he appoints be truly Evangelical, yet if I be not
thoroughly perswaded thereof in my own mind, there will
be no safety for me in following it. No way whatsoever
that I shall walk in, against the Distates of my Conscience,
will ever bring me to the Mansions of the Elested. I may
grow rich by an Art that I take not delight in 3 I may be
cured of some Disease by Remedies that I have not Faith

A Letter concerning Toleration.

in; but I cannot be faved by a Religion that I distrust. and by a Worship that I abhor. It is in vain for an Unbeliever to take up the outward shew of another mans Profession. Faith only, and inward Sincerity, are the things that procure acceptance with God. The most likely and most approved Remedy can have no effect upon the Patient, if his Stomach reject it as foon taken. And you will in vain cram a Medicine down a fick mans Throat, which his particular Constitution will be sure to turn into Poison. In a word. Whatfoever may be doubtful in Religion. yet this at least is certain, that no Religion, which I believe not to be true, can be either true, or profitable unto me. In vain therefore do Princes compel their Subjects to come into their Church-communion, under pretence of faving their Souls. If they believe, they will come of their own accord; if they believe not, their coming will nothing avail them. How great foever, in fine, may be the pretence of Good-will, and Charity, and concern for the Salvation of mens Souls, men cannot be forced to be faved whether they will or no. And therefore, when all is done, they must be left to their own Consciences.

Having thus at length freed men from all Dominion over one another in matters of Religion, let us now confider what they are to do. All men know and acknowledge that God ought to be publickly worshipped. Why otherwife do they compel one another unto the publick Affemblies? Men therefore constituted in this liberty are to enter into some Religious Society, that they may meet together, not only for mutual Edification, but to own to the world that they worthip God, and offer unto his divine Majesty such service as they themselves are not alliamed of. and fuch as they think not unworthy of him, nor unacceptable to him; and finally that by the purity of Doctrine, Holiness of Life, and Decent form of Worship, they may draw others unto the love of the true Religion, and per-E 2 form form such other things in Religion as cannot be done by

each private man apart.

These Religious Societies I call Churches: and these I say the Magistrate ought to tolerate. For the business of these Assemblies of the People is nothing but what is lawful for every man in particular to take care of; I mean the Salvation of their Souls: nor in this case is there any difference between the National Church, and other separated Congregations.

Eut as in every Church there are two things especially to be considered; The outward Form and Rites of Worship, And the Doctrines and Articles of Faith; these things must be handled each distinctly; that so the whole matter

of Toleration may the more clearly be understood.

Concerning outward Worship, I say (in the first place) that the Magistrate has no Power to enforce by Law, either in his own Church, or much less in another, the use of any Rites or Ceremonies whatfoever in the Worlhip of God. And this, not only because these Churches are free Societies, but because whatsoever is practised in the Worthip of God, is only fo far justifiable as it is believed by those that practise it to be acceptable unto him. Whatloever is not done with that affurance of Faith, is neither well in it felf, nor can it be acceptable to God. To inpose such things therefore upon any People, contrary to their own Judgment, is in effect to command them to effend God; which, confidering that the end of all Religion is to please him, and that Liberty is essentially necesfary to that End, appears to be abfurd beyond exprestion.

But perhaps it may be concluded from hence, that I deny unto the Magistrate all manner of Power about indifferent things; which if it be not granted, the whole Subject-matter of Law-making is taken away. No, I readily grant that Indifferent Things, and perhaps none but such

fuch, are subjected to the Legislative Power. But it does not therefore follow, that the Magistrate may ordain whatsoever he pleases concerning any thing that is indifferent. The Publick Good is the Rule and Measure of all Law-making. If a thing be not useful to the Commonwealth, tho it it be never so indifferent, it may not presently be established by Law.

And further: Things never to indifferent in their own nature, when they are brought into the Church and Worthip of God, are removed out of the reach of the Magistrate's Jurisdiction; because in that use they have no connection at all with Civil Affairs. The only business of the Church is the Salvation of Souls: and it no ways concerns the Common-wealth, or any Member of it, that this, or the other Cercinony be there made use of. Neither the Use, nor the Omission of any Ceremonies, in those Religious Assemblies, does either advantage or prejudice the Life, Liberty, or Estate of any man. For Example: Let it be granted, that the washing of an Infant with water is in it self an indifferent thing. Let it be granted also, that if the Magistrate understand such washing to be profitable to the curing or preventing of any Disease that Children are subject unto, and esteem the matter weighty enough to be taken care of by a Law, in that case he may order it to be done. But will any one therefore fay, that a Magistrate has the same Right to ordain, by Law, that all Children shall be baptized by Priests, in the facred Font; in order to the purification of their Souls? The extream difference of these two Cases is visible to every one at first fight. Or let us apply the last Case to the Child of a Jew, and the thing speaks it self. For what hinders but a Christian Magistrate may have Subjects that are Jens? Now if we acknowledge that fuch an Injury may not be doneunto a Jew, as to compel him, against his own Opinion, to practice in his Religion a thing that is in its nature indifferent .

ferent; how can we maintain that any thing of this kind

may be done to a Christian?

Again: Things in their own nature indifferent cannot. by any human Authority, he made any part of the Worthip of God; for this very reason; because they are indifferent. For fince indifferent things are not capable, by any Virtue of their own, to propitiate the Deity; no human Power or Authority can confer on them so much Dignity and Excellency as to enable them to do it. In the common Affairs of Life, that use of indifferent things which God has not forbidden, is free and lawful: and therefore in those things human Authority has place. But it is not so in matters of Religion. Things inditferent are not otherwise lawful in the Worship of God than as they are instituted by God himself; and as he, by some positive command, has ordain'd them to be made a part of that Worthip which he will vouchfafe to accept of at the hands of poor finful men. Nor when an incenfed Deity shall ask us, Who has required these, or such like things at our bands? will it be enough to answer him, that the Magistrate commanded them. If civil Jurisdiction extended thus far, what might not lawfully be introduced into Religion? What hodgepodge of Ceremonies, what superstitious Inventions, built upon the Magistrate's Authority, might not (against Conscience) be imposed upon the Worshippers of God? For the greatest part of these Ceremonies and Superstions consists in the Religious Use of such things as are in their own nature indifferent: nor are they finful upon any other account than because God is not the Author of them. The sprinkling of Water, and the use of Bread and Wine, are both in their own nature, and in the ordinary occasions of Life, altogether indifferent. Will any man therefore say that these things could have been introduced into Religion, and made a part of Divine Worship, if not by Divine Institution? If any Human Authority or Civil Power Power could have done this, why might it not also injoyn the eating of Fish, and drinking of Ale, in the holy Banquet, as a part of Divine Worship? Why not the sprinkling of the Blood of Beafts in Churches, and Expiations by Water or Fire, and abundance more of this kind? But these things, how indifferent soever they be in common uses, when they come to be annexed unto Divine Worfhip, without Divine Authority, they are as abominable to God, as the Sacrifice of a Dog. And why a Dog so abominable? What difference is there between a Dog and a Goat, in respect of the Divine Nature, equally and infinitely distant from all Affinity with Matter; unless it be that God required the use of the one in his Worship, and not of the other? We see therefore that indifferent things how much foever they be under the Power of the Civil Magistrate, yet cannot upon that pretence be introduced into Religion, and imposed upon Religious Assemblies; because in the Worship of God they wholly cease to be indifferent. He that worships God does it with design to please him and procure his favour. But that cannot be done by him, who, upon the command of another, offers unto God that which he knows will be displeasing to him, because not commanded by himself. This is not to please God, or appeale his Wrath, but willingly and knowingly to provoke him, by a manifest Contempt; which is a thing absolutely repugnant to the nature and end of Worship.

But it will here be asked: If nothing belonging to Divine Worship be left to human Discretion, how is it then that Churches themselves have the power of ordering any thing about the Time and Place of Worship, and the like? To this I answer; That in Religious Worship we must distinguish between what is part of the Worship it self, and what is but a Circumstance. That is a part of the Worship which is believed to be appointed by God, and to be well-pleasing to him; and therefore that is necessary.

Circuni-

Circumstances are such things which, tho' in general they cannot be separated from Worship, yet the particular infrances or modifications of them are not determined; and therefore they are indifferent. Of this fort are the Time and Place of Worship, the Habit and Posture of him that worthips. These are Circumstances, and perfectly indifferent, where God has not given any express Command about them. For example: Amongst the Jews, the Time and Place of their Worship, and the Habits of those that officiated in it, were not meer Circumstances, but a part of the Worship it self; in which if any thing were defective, or different from the Institution, they could not hope that it would be accepted by God. But these, to Christians under the liberty of the Gospel, are meer Circumstances of Worship, which the Prudence of every Church may bring into such use as shall be judged most subservient to the end of Order, Decency, and Edification. But, even under the Gospel, those who believe the First, or the Seventh Day to be fet apart by God, and confecrated still to his Worship, to them that portion of Time is not a simple Circumstance, but a Real Part of Divine Worship, which can neither be changed nor negleacd.

In the next place: As the Magistrate has no Power to impose by his Laws, the use of any Rites and Ceremonics in any Church, so neither has he any Power to forbid the use of such Rites and Ceremonies as are already received, approved, and practised by any Church: Because if he did so, he would destroy the Church it self; the end of whose Institution is only to worship God with freedom, after its own manner.

You will fay, by this Rule, if some Congregations should have a mind to sacrifice Infants, or (as the Primitive Christians were falsely accused) lustfully pollute themselves in promiseuous Uncleanness, or practise any other such heimous Enormities, is the Magistrate obliged to tolerate them, because

because they are committed in a Religious Assembly? I. answer, No. These things are not lawful in the ordinary course of life, nor in any private house; and therefore neither are they so in the Worship of God, or in any religious Meeting. But indeed if any People congregated upon account of Religion, should be desirous to sacrifice a Calf, I deny that That ought to be prohibited by a Law. Melibaus, whose Calf it is, may lawfully kill his Calf at home, and burn any part of it that he thinks fit. no Injury is thereby done to any one, no prejudice to another mans Goods. And for the same reason he may kill his Calf also in a religious Meeting. Whether the doing to be well-pleasing to God or no, it is their part to confider that do it. The part of the Magistrate is only to take care that the Commonwealth receive no prejudice, and that there be no Injury done to any man, either in Life or And thus what may be spent on a Feast, may be spent on a Sacrifice. But if peradventure such were the state of things, that the Interest of the Commonwealth required all flaughter of Beafts should be forborn for some while, in order to the increasing of the stock of Cattel, that had been destroyed by some extraordinary Murrain; Who sees not that the Magistrate, in such a case, may forbid all his Subjects to kill any Calves for any use whatsoever? Only tis to be observed, that in this case the Law is not made about a Religious, but a Political matter: nor is the Sacrifice, but the Slaughter of Calves thereby prohibited.

By this we see what difference there is between the. Church and the Commonwealth. Whatsoever is lawful in the Commonwealth, cannot be prohibited by the Magistrate in the Church. Whatsoever is permitted unto any of his Subjects for their ordinary use, neither can nor ought to be forbidden by him to any Sect of People for their religious Uses. If any man may lawfully take Bread or Wine, either sitting or kneeling, in his own house, the Law ought

not

worship; tho in the Church the use of Bread and Wine be very different, and be there applied to the Mysteries of Faith, and Rites of Divine Worship. But those things that are prejudicial to the Commonweal of a People in their ordinary use, and are therefore forbidden by Laws, those things ought not to be permitted to Churches in their facred Rites. Onely the Magistrate ought always to be very careful that he do not misuse his Authority, to the opptession of any Church, under presence of publick Good.

It may be faid; What if a Church be Idolatrous, is that also to be tolerated by the Magistrate? I answer. What Power can be given to the Magistrate for the suppression of an Idolatrous Church, which may not, in time and place, be made use of to the rume of an Orthodox one? For it must be remembred that the Civil Power is the same every where, and the Religion of every Prince is Orthodox to himfelf. If therefore such a Power be granted unto the Civil Magistrate in Spirituals, as that at Geneva (for Example) he may extirpate, by Violence and Blood, the Religion which is there reputed Idolatrous; by the fame Rule another Magistrate, in some neighbouring Country, may oppress the Reformed Religion 5 and, in India, the Christian. The Civil Power can either change every thing in Religion, according to the Prince's pleasure, or it can change nothing. If it be once permitted to introduce anything into Religion, by the means of Laws and Penalties, there can be no bounds put to it; but it will in the same manner be lawful to alter every thing, according to that Rule of Truth which the Magistrate has framed unto himself. whatfoever ought therefore to be depri-No man ved of his Terrestrial Enjoyments, upon account of his Religion. Not even Americans, subjected unto a Christian Prince, are to be punished either in Eody or Goods, for not imbracing our Faith and Worship. If they are perfwaded

swaded that they please God in observing the Rites of their own Country, and that they shall obtain Happiness by that means, they are to be left unto God and them-Let us trace this matter to the borrown. is. An inconfiderable and weak number of Christians, deflitute of every thing, arrive in a Pagan Country: These Foreigners befeech the Inhabitants, by the bowels of Humanity, that they would fuccour them with the necessa--ries of life: Those necessaries are given them; Habitations are granted; and they all joyn together, and grow up into one Body of People. The Christian Religion by this means takes root in that Countrey, and spreads it self; but does not fuddenly grow the strongest. While things are in this condition, Peace, Friendship, Faith and equal Justice, are preserved amongst them. At length the Magiftrate becomes a Christian, and by that means their Farry becomes the most powerful. Then immediately all Compacts are to be broken, all Civil Rights to be violated, that Idolatry may be extirpated: And unless there innocent Pagans, strict Observers of the Rules of Equity and the Law of Nature, and no ways offending against the Laws of the Society, I say unless they will forsake their ancient Religion, and embrace a new and strange one, they are to be turned out of the Lands and Pollessions of their Forefathers, and perhaps deprived of Life it felf. -Then at last it appears what Teal for the Church, joyned with the defire of Dominion, 4s capable to produce; and -how easily the pretence of Religion, and of the care of Souls, ferves for a Cloak to Covetoufnels, Rapine, and Ambition.

Now whosoever maintains that Idolatry is to be rooted out of any place by Laws, Punishments, the, and Sword, may apply this Story to himself. For the reason of the thing is equal, bothin America and Europe. And neither Pagans there, nor any Dissenting Christians here, can with F 2

any right be deprived of their worldly Goods, by the predominating Faction of a Court-Church: nor are any civil Rights to be either changed or violated upon account

of Religion in one place more than another.

But Idolatry (fay fome) is a fin, and therefore not to be tolerated. If they faid it were therefore to be avoided, the Inference were good. But it does not follow, that because it is a sin it ought therefore to be punished by the Magistrate. For it does not belong unto the Magiftrate to make use of his Sword in punishing every thing, indifferently, that he takes to be a fin against God. Covetousness, Uncharitableness, Idleness, and many other things are fins, by the confent of all men, which yet no man ever faid were to be punished by the Magistrate. The reason is, because they are not prejudicial to other mens Rights, nor do they break the publick Peace of Socieries. Nay, even the fins of Lying and Perjury, are no where punishable by Laws; unless in certain cases, in which the real Turpitude of the thing, and the offence against God, are not considered, but only the Injury done unto mens Neighbours, and to the Commonwealth. And what if in another Country, to a Mahumetan or a Pagan Prince, the Christian Religion seem false and offensive to God; may not the Christians for the same reason, and after the same manner, be extirpated there?

But it may be urged further, That by the Law of Mofes Idolaters were to be rooted out. True indeed, by the Law of Moses. But that is not obligatory to us Christians. No body pretends that every thing, generally, enjoyned by the Law of Moses, ought to be practised by Christians. But there is nothing more frivolous than that common distinction of Moral, Judicial, and Ceremonial Law, which men ordinarily make use of. For no positive Law whatsoever can oblige any People but those to whom it is given. Hear O Israel; sufficiently restrains

the



the Obligation of the Law of Moses only to that People. And this Consideration alone is Answer enough unto those that urge the Authority of the Law of Moses; for the inflicting of Capital Punishments upon Idolaters. But however, I will examine this Argument a little more particularly.

The Case of Idolaters, in respect of the Jewish Commonwealth, falls under a double confideration. The first is of those Who, being initiated in the Mosaical Rites, and made Citizens of that Commonwealth, did afterwards apostatise from the Worship of the God of Israel. These were proceeded against as Traytors and Rebels, guilty of no less than High-treason. For the Commonwealth of the Tens, different in that from all others, was an absolute Theocracy: nor was there, or could there be, any difference between that Commonwealth and the Church. The Laws established there concerning the Worship of One Invisible Deity, were the Civil Laws of that People, and a part of their Political Government; in which God himfelf was the Legislator. Now if any one can shew me where there is a Commonwealth, at this time, constituted upon that Foundation, I will acknowledge that the Ecclefialtical Laws do there unavoidably become a part of the Civil; and that the Subjects of that Government both may, and ought to be kept in strict conformity with that Church, by the Civil Power. But there is absolutely no fuch thing, under the Gospel, as a Christian Common-There are, indeed, many Cities and Kingdoms that have embraced the Faith of Christ; but they have retained their ancient Form of Government; with which the Law of Christ hath not at all medled. He, indeed, hath taught men how, by Faith and Good Works, they may attain Eternal Life. But he inflituted no Commonwealth. He prescribed unto his Followers no new and peculiar Form of Government; Nor put he the Sword into 20, 21.

into any Magistrate's Hand, with Commission to make use of it in forcing men to forfake their former Religion, and receive his.

Secondly. Foreigners, and fuch as were Strangers to the Commonwealth of Ifrael, were not compelled by force to observe the Rites of the Mosaical Law. But, on the contrary, in the very same place where it is ordered that an Exod. 22. Tiraclite that was an Idolater should be put to death, there it is provided that Strangers fould not be vexed nor appressed. I confess that the Seven Nations, that possess the Land which was promated to the Ifraelites, were unterly to be cut off. But this was not fingly because they were Idolaters. For, if that had been the Reason, why were the Moabites and other Nations to be spared? No; the Reafon is this. God being in a peculiar manner the King of the Jews, he could not suffer the Adoration of any other Deity (which was properly an Act of High-treason against himself) in the Land of Canaan, which was his Kingdom. For such a manifest Revolt could no ways consist with his Dominion, which was perfectly Political, in that Country. All Idolatry was therefore to be rooted out of the Bounds of his Kingdom; because it was an acknowledgment of another God, that is to fay, another King; against the Laws of Empire. The Inhabitants were also to be driven out, that the intire possession of the Land might be given to the Israelites. And for the like Reason the Emims and the Horims were driven out of their Countries, by the Children of Esau and Lot; and their Lands, upon the same grounds, given by God to the Invaders. But the all Idelatry was thus rooted out of the Land of Canaan, yet every Idolater was not brought to Execution. The whole Family of Rahab, the whole Nation of the Gibeonites, articled with Josuah, and were allowed by Treaty: and there were many Captives amongst the Jews, who were Idolaters. David and Solomon (ubdued

Deut. 2.

dued many Countries without the Confines of the Land of Promise, and carried their Conquests as far as Euphrates. Amongst so many Captives taken, so many Nations reduced under their Obedience, we find not one man forced into the Jewish Religion, and the Worship of the True God, and punished for Idolatry, tho all of them were certainly guilty of it. If any one indeed, becoming a Proselvte, desired to be made a Denison of their Commonwealth, he was obliged to submit unto their Laws; that is, to embrace their Religion. But this he did willingly, on his own accord, not by constraint. He did not unwillingly submit, to shew his Obedience; But he sought and follicited for it, as a Privilege. And as foon as he was admitted, he became subject to the Laws of the Commonwealth, by which all Idolatry was forbidden within the Borders of the Land of Canaan. But that Law (as I have faid) did not reach to any of those Regions, however subjected unto the Jems, that were situated without those Eounds.

Thus far concerning outward Worship. Let us now consider Articles of Faith.

The Articles of Religion are some of them Practical, and some Speculative. Now, tho both forts consist in the Knowledge of Truth, yet these terminate simply in the Understanding, Those influence the Will and Manners. Speculative Opinions, therefore, and Articles of Frith (as they are called) which are required only to be believed, cannot be imposed on any Church by the Law of the Land. For it is absurd that things should be enjoyned by Laws, which are not in mens power to perform. And to believe this or that to be true, does not depend upon our Will. But of this enough has been said already. But (will some say) let men at least profess that they believe. A sweet Religion indeed, that obliges men to dissemble, and tell Lies both to God and Man, for the Salvation of their Souls I If the Magi-strate

ftrate thinks to fave men thus, he seems to understand little of the way of Salvation. And if he does it not in order to save them, why is he so so sollicitous about the Articles of Faith as to enact them by a Law?

Further, The Magistrate ought not to forbid the Preaching or Profetling of any Speculative Opinions in any Church, because they have no manner of relation to the Civil Rights of the Subjects. If a Roman Catholick believe that to be really the Body of Christ, which another man calls Pread, he does no injury thereby to his Neighbour. If a Jew do not believe the New Testament to be the Word of God, he does not thereby alter any thing in mens Civil Rights. If a Heathen doubt of both Teftaments, he is not therefore to be punished as a pernicious Citizen. The Power of the Magistrate, and the Estates of the People, may be equally fecure, whether any man believe these things or no. I readily grant, that these O; inions are falle and abfurd. But the buliness of Laws is not to provide for the Truth of Opinions, but for the Safety and Security of the Commonwealth, and of every particular mans Goods and Person. And so it ought to be. For Truth certainly would do well enough, if the were once left to shift for her self. She seldom has received and I fear never will receive much Afliftance from the Power of Great men, to whom she is but rarely known, and more rarely welcome. She is not taught by Laws, nor has flie any need of Force to procure her entrance into the minds of men. Errors indeed prevail by the affiltance of forreign and borrowed Succours. But if Truth makes not her way into the Understanding by her own Light, the will be but the weaker for any borrowed force Violence can add to her. Thus much for Speculative Opinions. Let us now proceed to Practical ones.

A Good Life, in which confifts not the least part of Religion and true Picty, concerns also the Civil Government:



and in it lies the fafety both of Mens Souls, and of the Commonwealth. Moral Actions belong therefore to the Jurisdiction both of the outward and inward Court; both of the Civil and Domestick Governor; I mean, both of the Magistrate and Conscience. Here therefore is great danger, least one of these Jurisdictions intrench upon the other, and Discord arise between the Keeper of the publick Reace and the Overseers of Souls. But if what has been already said concerning the Limits of both these Governments be rightly considered, it will easily remove all difficulty in this matter.

Every man has an Immortal Soul, capable of Eternal Happiness or Misery; whose Happiness depending upon his believing and doing those things in this Life, which are neceffary to the obtaining of Gods Favour, and are preferi-Led by God to that end; it follows from thence, 1/t, That the observance of these things is the highest Obligation that lies upon Mankind, and that our utmost Care, Application, and Diligence, ought to be exercised in the Scarch and Performance of them; Because there is nothing in this World that is of any confideration in comparison with Eternity. 2dly, That seeing one Man does not violate the Right of another, by his Erroneous Opinions, and undue manner of Worship, nor is his Perdition any prejudice to another Mans Affairs; therefore the care of each Mans Salvation belongs only to himself. But I would not have this understood, as if I meant hereby to condemn all charitable Admonitions, and affectionate Endeavours to reduce Men from Errors; which are indeed the greatest Duty of a Christian. Any one may employ as many Exhortations and Arguments as he pleafes, towards the promoting of another man's Salvation. But all Force and Compultion are to be forborn. Nothing is to be done imperioufly. No body is obliged in that matter to yield Obedience unto the Admonitions or Injunctions of another, further than he him-G 101f solf is perswaded. Every man, in that, has the supreme and absolute Authority of judging for himself. And the Reation is, because no body else is concerned in it, nor can

receive any prejudice from his Conduct therein.

But besides their Souls, which are Immortal, Men have also their Temporal Lives here upon Earth; the State whereof being frail and flecting, and the duration uncertain; they have need of feveral outward Conveniences to the support thereof, which are to be procured or preferved by Pains and industry. For those things that are necessary to the comfortable support of our Lives are not the spontaneous Products of Nature, nor do offer themselves fivand prepared for our use. This part therefore draws on abother care, and necessarily gives another Imployment. But the pravity of Mankind being fuch, that they had rather injurioully prey upon the Fruits of other Mens Labours, than take pains to provide for themselves; the necessity of preferving Mcn in the Policilion of what houest industry has already acquired, and also of preserving their Liberty and strength, whereby they may acquire what they further wants obliges Men to enter into Society with one another; that by mutual Affiliance, and joint Force, they may fecure unto each other their Proprieties, in the things that contribute to the Comfort and Happiness of this Life 5 leaving in the mean while to every Man the case of his own Evernal Happineis, the attainment whereof can neither be facilitated by another Mans Industry. nor can the loss of it turn to another Mans Prejudice, nor the hope of it be forced from him by any external Violence. But forasmuch as Men thus entring into Societies, grounded upon their mutual Compacts of Assistance, for the Defence of their Temporal Goods, may nevertheless be deprived of them, either by the Rapine and Fraud of their Fellow-Citizens, or by the heitile Violence of Forreigners; the Remedy of this Evil confifts in Arms, Riches, and Multitude of Citizens:

rens; the Remedy of the other in Laws; and the Care of all things relating both to the one and the other, is committed by the Society to the Civil Magistrate. This is the Original, this is the Use, and these are the Bounds of the Legislative (which is the Supreme) Power, in every Commonwealth. I mean, that Provision may be made for the security of each Mans private Possessions; for the Peace, Riches, and publick Commodities of the whole People; and, as much as possible, for the Increase of their inward Strength, against Forreign Invasions.

These things being thus explain'd, it is case to understand to what end the Legislative Power ought to be directed, and by what Measures regulated; and that is the Temporal Good and outward Prosperity of the Society; which is the sole Reason of Mens entring into Society, and the only thing they seek and aim at in it. And it is also evident what Liberty remains to Men in reference to their eternal Salvation, and that is, that every one should do what he in his Conscience is perswaded to be acceptable to the Almighty, on whose good pleasure and acceptance depends their eternal Happiness. For Chedience is due in the first place to God, and afterwards to the Laws.

But some may ask, What if the Magistraie should enjoyn any thing by his Authority that appears unlawful to the Conscience of a private Person? I answer, That if Government be faithfully administred, and the Counsels of the Magistrate be indeed directed to the publick Good, this will seldom happen. But if perhaps it do so fail out; I say, that such a private Person is to abstain from the Action that he judges unlawful; and he is to undergo the Punishment, which it is not unlawful for him to bear. For the private Judgment of any Person concerning a Law chacked in Political Matters, for the publick Good, does not take a way the Obligation of that Law, nor deserve a Dispensation. But

 \mathbf{G} 2

1;

if the Law indeed be concerning things that lie not within the Verge of the Magistrate's Authority; (as for Example, that the People, or any Party amongst them, should be compell'd to embrace a strange Religion, and join in the Worthin and Ceremonies of another Church,) men are not in these cases obliged by that Law, against their Confeiences. For the Political Society is inflituted for no other end but only to fecure every mans Poliethon of the things of this life. The care of each mans Soul, and of the things of Heaven, which neither does belong to the Commonwealth, nor can be subjected to it, is left entirely to every mans felf. Thus the fafeguard of mens lives, and of the things that belong unto this life, is the butinessed the Commonwealth; and the preferring of those things unto their Owners is the Duty of the Magistrate. And therefore the Magnitrate cannot take away these worldly things from this man, or party, and give them to that; nor change Propriety amongst Fellow-Subjects, (no not even by a Law) for a cause that has no relation to the end of Civil Government; I mean, for their Religion; which whether it be true or falle, does no prejudice to the worldly concerns of their Fellow-Subjects, which are the things that only belong unto the care of the Commonwcalth.

But what if the Magistrate believe such a Law as this to be for the publick Good? I answer: As the private Judgment of any particular Person, if erroneous, does not exempt him from the obligation of Law, so the private judgment (as I may call it) of the Magistrate does not give him any new Right of imposing Laws upon his jects, which neither was in the Constitution of the Government granted him, nor ever was in the power of the reople to grant: much less, it he make it his business to enrich and advance his Followers and Fellow-sectaries, with the Spoils of others. But what if the Magistrate believe

believe that he has a Right to make such Laws, and that they are for the publick Good; and his Subjects believe the contrary? Who shall be Judge between them? I answer, God alone. For there is no Judge upon earth between the Supreme Magistrate and the People. God, I say, is the only Judge in this case, who will retribute unto every one at the last day according to his Deserts; that is, according to his sincerity and uprightness in endeavouring to promote Piety, and the publick Weal and Peace of Mankind. But what shall be done in the mean while? I answer: The principal and chief care of every one ought to be of his own Soul sust, and in the next place of the publick Peace: the yet there are very sew will think 'tis Peace there, where they see all laid waite.

There are two forts of Contests amongst men; the one managed by Law, the other by Force: and these are of that nature, that where the one ends, the other always begins. But it is not my business to inquire into the Power of the Magistrate in the different Constitutions of Nations. I only know what usually happens where Controversies arise, without a Judge to determine them. You will say then the Magistrate being the stronger will have his Will, and carry his point. Without doubt. But the Question is not here concerning the doubtsulness of the Event, but the Rule of Right.

Ent to come to particulars. I say, First, No Opinions contrary to human Society, or to those moral Rules which are necessary to the preservation of Civil Society, are to be tolerated by the Magistrate. But of these indeed Examples in any Church are rare. For no Sest can easily arrive to such a degree of madness, as that it should think sit to teach, for Dostrines of Religion, such things as monifessly undermine the Foundations of Society, and are therefore condemned by the Judgment of all Mankind: because their own Interest, Peace, Reputation, every Thing, would be thereby endangered.

Another

Another more secret Evil, but more dangerous to the Commonwealth, is, when men arrogate to themselves, and to those of their own Sect, some peculiar Prerogative, coyered over with a specious slaw or deceitful words, but in effect opposite to the Civil Right of the Community. For Example. We cannot find any Sect that teaches exprelly, and openly, that men are not obliged to keep their Promise; that Princes may be dechroned by those that differ from them in Religion; or that the Dominion of all things belongs only to themselves. For these things, proposed thus nakedly and plainly, would foon draw on them the Eye and Hand of the Magistrate, and awaken all the care of the Commonwealth to a watchfulness against the spreading of so dangerous an Evil. Ent nevertheless, we find those that fay the same things, in other words. What elfe do they mean, who teach that Faith is not to be kept with Hereticks? Their meaning, forfooth, is that the priviledge of breaking Faith belongs unto themselves: For they declare all that are not of their Communion to be Hereticks, or at least may declare them so whensoever they think fit. What can be the meaning of their afferting that Kings excommunicated forfeit their Cropps and Kingdoms? It is evident that they thereby arregate unto themtelves the Fower of deposing Kings: because they challenge the Power of Excommunication, as the peculiar Right of their Hierarchy. That Dominion is founded in Grace, is also an Affection by which those that maintain it do plain! lay claim to the polletion of all things. For they are not to wanting to themselves as not to believe, or at least as not to profess, themselves to be the truly proof and faithful. These therefore, and the like, who actribute unto the Faithful, Religious and Orthodox, that is, in plain terms, unto themselves, any peculiar Privi-Jedge or Power above other Mortals, in Civil Concernments 3 ments; or who, upon pretence of Religion, do challenge any manner of Authority over such, as are not associated with them in their Ecclesiastical Communion; I say these have no right to be tolerated by the Magnitrate; as neither those that will not own and teach the Duty of tolerating All men in matters of meer Religion. For what do all these and the like Dostrines signisse, but that they may, and are ready upon any occasion to seise the Government, and possess themselves of the Estates and Fortunes of their Fellow-Subjects; and that they only ask leave to be tolerated by the Magistrate so long until they find themselves strong enough to effect it?

Again: That Church can have no right to be tolerated by the Magistrate, which is constituted upon such a bottom, that all these who enter into it, do thereby, ipso sato, deliver themselves up to the Protection and Service of another Prince. For by this means the Magiftrate would give way to the fettling of a forrein Juriffliction in his own Country, and fuffer his own People to be listed, as it were, for Souldiers against his own Government. Nor does the frivolous and fallacious diffinction between the Court and the Church afford any remedy to this Inconvenience; especially when both the one and the other are equally subject to the absolute Autherity of the fame person; who has not only power to perswade the Members of his Church to whatsoever he lifts, either as purely Religious, or in order thereunto. but can also enjoyn it them on pain of Eternal Fire. It is ridiculous for any one to profess himself to be a Mahumetan only in his Religion, but in every thing elfe a faithful Subject to a Christian Magistrate, whilst at the tame time he acknowledges himself bound to yield blind obedience to the Mafri of Confiantmople; who himself is intirely obedient to the Ottoman Emperor, and frames the feigned Oracles of that Religion according to his pleatine. But

But this Mahumetan living amongst Christians, would yet more apparently renounce their Government, if he acknowledged the same Person to be Head of his Church

who is the Supreme Magistrate in the State.

Lailly. Those are not at all to be tolerated who deny the Eeing of a God. Fromises, Covenants, and Oaths, which are the Bonds of Humane Society, can have no hold upon an Atheist. The taking away of God, tho but even in thought, diffelves all. Belides also, those that by their Atheism undermine and destroy all Religion, can have no pretence of Religion whereupon to challenge the Privilege of a Toleration. As for other Practical Opinions, tho not absolutely free from all Error, if they do not tend to establish Domination over others, or Civil Impunity to the Church in which they are taught, there can be no Reason

why they should not be tolerated.

It remains that I say something concerning those Assemblies, which being vulgarly called, and perhaps having fometimes been Conventicles, and Murieries of Factions and Seditions, are thought to afford the ffrongest matter of Objection against this Doctrine of Toleration. But this has not hapned by any thing peculiar unto the Genius of fuch Atlemblies, but by the unhappy Circumstances of an oppressed or ill-seeded Liberty. These Accusations would foon ceale, if the Law of Toleration were once for feiled, that all Churches were obliged to lay down Toleration as the Foundation of their own Liberty; and teach that Liberty of Confedence is every mans natural Right, equally belonging to Differens as to themselves; and that no body ought to be compelled in matters of Religion, either by Law or Force. The Establishment of this one thing would take away all ground of Complaints and Tumults upon account of Conscience. And these Causes of Discontents and Animolities being once removed, there would remain nothing in these Assemblies that were not more more peaceable, and less apt to produce Disturbance of State, than in any other Meetings whatsoever. But let us examine particularly the Heads of these Accusations.

You'll fay, That Assemblies and Meetings endanger the Publick Peace, and threaten the Commonwealth. I anliver: If this be so, Why are there daily such numerous Meetings in Markets, and Courts of Judicature? Why are Crowds upon the Exchange, and a Concourse of People in Cities suffered? You'll reply; Those are Civil Assemblies; but These we object against, are Ecclesiastical. I an. fwer: Tis a likely thing indeed, that fuch Assemblies as are altogether remote from Civil Affairs, should be most apt to embroyl them. O, but Civil Assemblies are composed of men that differ from one another in matters of Religion; but these Ecclesiastical Meetings are of Persons that are all of one Opinion. As if an Agreement in matters of Religion, were in effect a Conspiracy against the Commonwealth; or as if men would not be so much the more warmly unanimous in Religion, the less liberty they had of Assembling. But it will be urged still, That Civil Assemblies are open, and free for any one to enter into; whereas Religious Conventicles are more private, and thereby give opportunity to Clandestine Machinations. I answer, That this is not strictly true: For many Civil Assemblies are not open to every one. And if some Religious Meetings be private, Who are they (I beleech you) that are to be blamed for it? those that defire, or those that forbid their being publick? Again; You'll fav, That Religious Communion does exceedingly unite mens Minds and Affections to one another, and is therefore the more dangerous. But if this be fo, Why is not the Magistrate afrail of his own Church; and why does he not forbid their Assemblies, as things dangerous to his Government? You'll fay, Because he himself is a Part, and even the

Head of them. As if he were not also a Part of the Commonwealth, and the Head of the whole People.

Let us therefore deal plainly. The Magistrate is afraid of other Churches, but not of his own; because he is kind and favourable to the one, but fevere and cruel to the other. These he treats like Children, and includes them even to Wantonness. Those he uses as Slaves; and how blamelefly foever they demean themselves, recompenses them no otherwise than by Gallies, Prisons, Confiscations, and Death. These he cherishes and desends: Those he continually scourges and oppresses. Let him turn the Tables: Or let those Diffenters enjoy but the same Privileges in Civils as his other Subjects, and he will quickly find that these Religious Meetings will be no longer dangerous. For if men enter into Seditious Conspiracies, 'tis not Religion inspires them to it in their Meetings; but their Sufferings and Oppressions that make them willing to ease themselves. Just and moderate Governments are every where quiet, every where fafe. But Oppression raises Ferments, and makes men struggle to cast off an uncasie and tyrannical Yoke. I know that Seditions are very frequently railed, upon pretence of Religion. But 'tis as true that, for Religion, Subjects are frequently ill treated, and live miserably. Believe me, the Stirs that are made, proceed not from any peculiar Temper of this or that Church or Religious Society; but from the common Disposition of all Mankind, who when they groan under any heavy Burthen, endeavour naturally to shake off the Yoke that galls their Necks. Suppose this Buliness of Religion were let alone, and that there were some other Distinction made between men and men, upon account of their different Complexions, Shapes, and Features, so that those who have black Hair (for example) or gray Eves, should not enjoy the same Privileges as other

other Citizens; that they should not be permitted either to buy or fell, or live by their Callings; that Parents should not have the Government and Education of their own Children; that all should either be excluded from the Benefit of the Laws, or meet with partial Judges; can it be doubted but thefe Persons, thus distinguished from others by the Colour of their Hair and Eyes, and united together by one common Persecution, would be as dangerous to the Magistrate, as any others that had associated themselves meerly upon the account of Religion? Some enter into Company for Trade and Profit: Others, for want of Business, have their Clubs for Clarret. Neighbourhood joyns some, and Religion others. But there is one only thing which gathers People into Seditious Commotions, and that is Oppression.

You'll fay; What, will you have People to meer at Divine Service against the Magistrates Will? I answer; Why, I pray against his Will? Is it not both lawful and necessary that they should meet? Against his Will, do you say? That's what I complain of. That is the very Root of all the Mischief. Why are Assemblies less sufferable in a Church than in a Theater or Market? Those that meet there are not either more vicious, or more turbulent, than those that meet elsewhere. The Business in that is, that they are ill used, and therefore they are not to be suffered. Take away the Partiality that is used towards them in matters of Common Right; change the Laws, take away the Penalties unto which they are subjected, and all things will immediately become fafe and peaceable; Nay, those that are averse to the Religion of the Magistrate, will think themselves so much the more bound to maintain the Peace of the Commonwealth, as their Condition is better in that H 2 place place than elfewhere; And all the feveral separate Congregations, like fo many Guardians of the Publick Peace, will watch one another, that nothing may be innovated or changed in the Form of the Government: Because they can hope for nothing better than what they already enjoy; that is, an equal Condition with their Fellow-Subjects, under a just and moderate Covernment. Now if that Church, which agrees in Religion with the Prince, be effected the chief Sugport of any Civil Government, and that for no other Reason (as has already been shewn) than because the Prince is kind, and the Laws are favourable to it: how much greater will be the Security of a Government. where all good Subjects, of whatfoever Church they be, without any Distinction upon account of Religion, enjoying the same Favour of the Prince, and the same Benefit of the Laws, shall become the common Support and Guard of it; and where none will have any occasion to sear the Severity of the Laws, but those that do Injuries to their Neighbours, and offend against the Civil Peace?

That we may draw towards a Conclusion. The Sum of all we drive at is, That every Man may exjoy the same Rights that are granted to others. Is it permitted to worship God in the Roman manner? Let it be permitted to do it in the Geneva Form also. Is it permitted to speak Latin in the Market-place? Let those that have a mind to it, be permitted to do it also in the Church. Is it lawfull for any man in his own House, to kneel, stand, sit, or use any other Posture; and to cloath himself in White or Black, in short or in long Garments? Let it not be made unlawful to cat Ercad, drink Wine, or wash with Water, in the Church. In a Word: Whatsoever things are left

left free by Law in the common occasions of Life, let them remain free unto every Church in Divine Worthip. Let no Mans Life, or Body, or House, or Estate, suffer any manner of Prejudice upon these Accounts. Can you allow of the Presbyterian Discipline? Why should not the Episcopal also have what they like? Ecclesiastical Authority, whether it be administred by the Hands of a single Person, or many, is every where the same; and neither has any Jurisdiction in things Civil, nor any manner of Power of Compulsion, nor any thing at all to do with Riches and Revenues.

· Ecclefiastical Assemblies, and Sermons, are justified by daily experience, and publick allowance. are allowed to People of some one Perswasion: Why not to all? If any thing pass in a Religious Meeting feditionly, and contrary to the publick Peace, it is to be punished in the same manner, and no otherwise, than as if it had happened in a Fair or Market. These Meetings ought not to be Sanctuaries for Factious and Flagitious Fellows: Nor ought it to be less lawful for Men to meet in Churches than in Halls: Nor are one part of the Subjects to be esteemed more blameable, for their meeting together, than others. Every one is to be accountable for his own Actions; and no Man is to be laid under a Suspition, or Odium, for the Fault of another. Those that are Seditious, Murderers, Thieves, Robbers, Adulterers, Slanderers, &c. of whatfoever Church, whether National or not, ought to be punished and suppressed. But those whose Doctrine is peaceable, and whose Manners are pure and blameless, ought to be upon equal Terms with their Fellow-Subjects. Thus if Solemn Assemblies, Observations of Festivals, publick Worship, be permitted

13.

mitted to any one fort of Professors; all these things ought to be permitted to the Presbyterians, Independents, Anabaptists, Arminians, Quakers, and others, with the same Liberty. Nay, if we may openly speak the Truth, and as becomes one Man to another, noither Pagan, nor Mahumetan, nor Jew, ought to be excluded from the Civil Rights of the Commonwealth. because of his Religion. The Gospel commands no such 1 Cor. 5. 12, thing. The Church, which judges not those that are without, wants it not. And the Commonwealth, which embraces indifferently all Men that are honest, peaceable and industrious, repuires it not. Shall we suffer a Pagan to deal and Trade with us, and shall we not fuffer him to pray unto and worlbip God? If we allow the Jews to have private Houses and Dwellings amongst us, Why should we not allow them to have Synagogues? Is their Doctrine more falle, their Worship more abominable, or is the Civil Peace more endangered, by their meeting in publick than in their private Houses? But if these things may be granted to Tems and Pagans, surely the condition of any Christians ought not to be worse than theirs in a Christian Commonwealth.

> You'll fay, perhaps, Yes, it ought to be: Because they are more inclinable to Factions, Tumults, and Civil Wars. I answer: Is this the fault of the Chriftirn Religion? If it be for truly the Christian Religion is the worst of all Religious, and ought neither to be embraced by any particular Person, nor tolerated by any Commonwealth. For if this be the Genius, this the Nature of the Christian Religion, to be turbulent, and destructive to the Civil Peace, that Church it felf which the Magistrate indulges will not always be innocent. But far be it from us to fay any fuch thing

thing of that Religion, which carries the greatest oppolition to Covetoutness, Ambition, Discord, Contention, and all manner of inordinate Defires; and is the most modest and peaceable Religion that ever was. We must therefore seek another Cause of those Evils that are charged upon Religion. And if we consider right, we shall find it to consist wholly in the Subject that I am treating of. It is not the diversity of Opinions, (which cannot be avoided) but the refusal of Toleration to those that are of different Opinions, (which might have been granted) that has produced all the Bustles and Wars, that have been in the Christian World, upon account of Religion. The Heads and Leaders of the Church, moved by Avarice and infatiable defire of Dominion, making use of the itnmoderate Ambition of Magistrates, and the credulous Superstition of the giddy Multitude, have incented and animated them against those that dissent from themselves; by preaching unto them, contrary to the Laws of the Gospel and to the Precepts of Charity, That Schismaticks and Hereticks are to be outed of their Possessions, and destroyed. And thus have they mixed together and confounded two things that are in themselves most different; the Church and the Commonwealth. Now as it is very difficult for men patiently to suffer themselves to be stript of the Goods, which they have got by their honest Industry; and contrary to all the Laws of Equity, both Humane and Divine, to be delivered up for a Prey to other mens Violence and Rapine; especially when they are otherwife altogether blameless; and that the Occasion for which they are thus treated does not at all belong to the Jurisdiction of the Magistrate, but intircly to the Conscience of every particular man; for the Conduct Q£.

of which he is accountable to God only 5. What elle can be expected, but that these men, growing weary of the Evils under which they labour, should in the end think it lawful for them to relift Force with Force, and to defend their natural Rights (which are not forfeitable upon account of Religion) with Arms as well as they can? That this has been higherto the ordinary Course of things, is abundantly evident in History: And that it will continue to be so hereafter, is but too apparent in Reason. It cannot indeed be otherwise, so long as the Principle of Persecution for Religion shall prevail, as it has done hitherto. with Magistrate and People; and so long as those that ought to be the Preachers of Peace and Concord, shall continue, with all their Art and Strength. to excite men to Arms, and found the Trumpet of War. But that Magistrates should thus suffer these Incendiaries, and Disturbers of the Publick Peace, might jultly be wondred at; if it did not appear that they have been invited by them unto a Participation of the Spoil, and have therefore thought fit to make use of their Covetousness and Pride as means whereby to increase their own Power. For who does not see that these Good Men are indeed more Ministers of the Government, than Ministers of the Gospel; and that by flattering the Ambilion, and favouring the Dominion of Princes and men in Authority, they endeavour with all their might to promote that Tvranny in the Commonwealth, which otherwise they should not be able to establish in the Church? This is the unhappy Agreement that we fee between the Church and State. Whereas if each of them would contain it felf within its own Bounds, the one attending to the worldly Welfare of the Commonwealth, the

the other to the Salvation of Souls, it is impossible that any Discord should ever have hapned between them. Sed, pudet bac opprobriu, &c. God Almighty grant. I beleech him, that the Gospel of Peace may at length be preached, and that Civil Magistrates growing more careful to conform their own Consciences to the Law of God, and less follicitous about the binding of other mens Consciences by Humane Laws, may, like Fathers of their Country, direct all their Counsels and Endeavours to promote universally the Civil Welfare of all their Children; except only of fuch as are arrogant, ungovernable, and injurious to their Brethren; and that all Ecclesiastical men, who boast themselves to be the Successors of the Apostles, walking peaceably and modefully in the Apostles steps, without intermedling with State-Affairs, may apply themselves wholly to promote the Salvation of Souls.

Farewell.

PErhaps it may not be amiss to add a few things concerning Heresy and Schism. A Turk is not, nor can be, either Heretick or Schismatick, to a Christian; and if any man fall off from the Christian Faith to Mahumetiun, he does not thereby become a Heretick or Schismatick, but an Apostate and an Insidel. This no body doubts of. And by this it appears that men of different Religious cannot be Hereticks or Schismaticks to one another.

We are to enquire therefore, what men are of the same Religion. Concerning which, it is manifest that those who have one and the same Rule of Faith and Worship, are of the same Religion: and those who have

have have not the same Rule of Faith and Worling are of different Religions. For fince all things than belong unto that Religion are contained in that Rule, it follows necessarily that those who agree in one Rule are of one and the fame Religion; and vice ver 1. This Turks and Christians are of different Religions: because thele take the Holy Scriptures to be the Rule of their Religion, and those the Alcoran. And for the same reason, there may be different Religions also even a-monat Christians. The Papists and the Lutheraus, tho both of them profess Faith in Christ, and are therefore called Christians, yet are not both of the same Religion: because These acknowledge nothing but the Holy Scriptures to be the Rule and Foundation of their Religion; Those take in also Traditions and the Decrees of Popes, and of these together make the Rule of their Religion. And thus the Christians of St. John (as they are called) and the Christians of Geneva are of different Religions: because These also take only the Scriptures; and Those I know not what Traditions, for the Rule of their Religion.

This being setted, it follows; First, that Heress is a Separation made in Ecclesiastical Communion between a men of the same Religion, for some Opinions no way contained in the Rule it self. And Secondly, that amongst those who acknowledge nothing but the Holy Scriptures to be their Rule of Faith, Heresy is a Separation made in their Christian Communion, for Opinions not contained in the express words of Scripture. Now this Separation may be made in a twofold manner.

r. When the greater part, or (by the Magistrates Patronage) the stronger part, of the Church separate it self from others, by excluding themous of her Communion, because they will not profess their Belief of certain

sertain Opinions which are not the express words of the Scripture. For it is not the pancity of those that are separated, nor the Authority of the Magistrate, that can make any man guilty of Heresy. But he only is an Heretick who divides the Church into parts, introduces Names and Marks of Distinction, and voluntarily makes a Separation because of such Opinions.

2. When any one separates himself from the Communion of a Church, because that Church does not publickly profess some certain Opinions which the Ho-

Iv Scriptures do not expresly teach.

Both these are Hereticks: because they err in Fundamentals, and they err obfinately against Knowledge. For when they have determined the Holy Scriptures to be the only Foundation of Faith, they nevertheless lay. down certain Propositions as fundamental, which are not in the Scripture; and because others will not acknowledge there additional Opinions of theirs, nor build upon them as if they were necessary and turdamental, they therefore make a Separation in the Church; either by withdrawing themselves from the others, or expelling the others from them. Nor does it fignific any thing for them to lay that their Confellions and Symboles are agreeable to Scripture, and to the Analogy of Faith. For if they be conceived in the express words of Scripture, there can be no question about thems because those things are acknowledged by all Christians to be of Divine Infritation, and therefore fundamental. But if they fay that the Articles which they require to be projess'd, are Consequences deduced from the Scripture; it is undoubtedly well done of them who believe and profess such things as seem unto them so agreeable to the Rule of Faith. But it would be very ill done to obtrude those things upon others, unto whom they do not teem to be the indubitable Doctrines

of

of the Scripture. And to make a Separation for such things as thefe, which neither are nor can be fundamental, is to become Hereticks. For I do not think there is any man arrived to that degree of madness, as that he dare give out his Consequences and Interpretations of Scripture as Divine Infpirations, and compare the Articles of Faith that he has framed according to his own Fancy with the Authority of the Scripture. I know there are some Propositions so evidently agreeable to Scripture, that no body can deny them to be drawn from thence: but about those therefore there can be no difference. This only I fay, that however clearly we may think this or the other Doctrine to be deduced from Scripture, we ought not therefore to impose it upon others, as a necessary Article of Faith, because we believe it to be agreeable to the Rule of Faith; unless we would be content also that other Do-Etrines should be imposed upon us in the same manner; and that we should be compelled to receive and profess all the different and contradictory Opinions of Lutherans, Calvinists, Remonstrants, Anabaptists, and other Sects, which the Contrivers of Symbols, Systems and Confessions, are accustomed to deliver unto their Followers as genuine and necessary Deductions from the Holy Scripture. I cannot but wonder at the extravagant acrogance of those men who think that they themselves can explain things necessary to Salvation more clearly than the Holy Ghost, the Eternal and Infinite Wildom of God.

Thus much concerning Heresy; which word in common use is applied only to the Dostrinal part of Religion. Let us now consider Schissin, which is a Crime near a-kin to it. For both those words seem unto me to signific an ill-grounded Separation in Ecclesiastical Communion, made about things not necessary. But since

since Use, which is the Supream Law in matter of Language, has determined that Heresy relates to Errors in Faith, and Schisin to those in Worship or Discipline, we must consider them under that Distinction.

Schism then, for the same reasons that have already been alledged, is nothing else but a Separation made in the Communion of the Church, upon account of something in Divine Worship, or Ecclesiastical Discipline, that is not any necessary part of it. Now nothing in Worship or Discipline can be necessary to Christian Communion, but what Christ our Legislator, or the Apostles, by Inspiration of the Holy Spirit, have communded in express words.

In a word: He that denies not any thing that the holy Scriptures teach in express words, nor makes a Separation upon occasion of any thing that is not manifestly contained in the Sacred Text; however he may be nick-named by any Sect of Christians, and declared by some, or all of them to be utterly void of true Christianity, yet indeed and in truth this man cannot be either a Heretick or Schismatick.

These things might have been explained more largely, and more advantageously: but it is enough to have hinted at them, thus briefly, to a Person of your parts.

FINIS.

Books lately Printed for Awnsham Churchill at the Black Swan at Amen-Corner.

A N Historical Account of Making the Penal Lame by the Papils I against the Protestants, and by the Protestants against the Papils. Wherein the true Ground and Reason of Making the Lames's given, the Papils most barbarous Usage of the Protestants here in England, under a Colour of Lam, set torth; and the Reformation Vinduated from the Imputation of being Cruel and Floody, unjustly cast upon it by those of the Romin Communion. By Samuel Blackerby, Barrifter of Grave-Inn. Fol.

A Modest Enquiry, Whether St. Perer were ever at Rome, and El-shop of that Church? Wherein, I. The Arguments of Cardinal Beltarmine and others, for the Ashrmative, are confidered. II. Some Confiderations taken Notice of, that render the Negative highly

Probable. Quarto.

.

- The Spirit of France, and the Politick Maxims of Lewis XIV. Isid

open to the World. Quarto.

Methorials of the Method and Manner of Proceedings in Parliament in Passing Bills: Together with several Rules and Cuttoms, which by long and constant Practice have obtained the Name of Orders of the House. Gathered by Observation, and out of the Journal-Books, from the time of Edward VI. Ottao.

Dr. Burnet's Tracts in Two Volumes. Vol. I. Containing, 1. His Travels into Switzerland, Italy and Germany; with an Appendix. 2. Animadvections on the Reflections upon the Travels-3. Three Letters of the Quietits, Inquition, and State of Italy-Vol. II. 4. His Translations of Latinatius of the Death of Perfecutors. 5. His Answers to Mr. Varillus: In Three Parts. Imelves.

A Collection of Texts of Scripture, with short Notes upon them And some other Observations against the Principal Popists Errors. Truelves.

The Fallibility of the Roman Church, Demonstrated from the Manisch Error of the Second Nicene and Trent Councils, which Assert, That the Veneration and Honorary Worship of Images, is a Tradition Primitive and Apostolical. Quarto.

A Du



Books lately Printed for A. Churchill.

į

A Demonstration that the Church of Rome, and her Councils, have Erred; by shewing, That the Councils of Constance, Bufil, and Trent, have, in all their Decrees touching Communion in one Kind, contradicted the Received Dectrine of the Church of Christ: with an Appendix, in Answer to the XXI. Chapter of the Author of A Papit Missipressented, and Represented. Quarto.

A Treatile of Traditions, Part I. Wherein it is proved, That we have Evidence fullicient from Tradition; 1. That the Scriptures are the Word of God. 2. That the Church of England owns the true Canon of the Books of the Old Teflament. 3. That the Capies of the Scripture have not been corrupted. 4. That the Romanifes have no fuch Evidence for their Traditions. 5. That the Testimony of the present Church of Rome can be no fure Evidence of Apostalical Tradition. 6. What Traditions may securely be relied upon, and what not. Quarto.

A Treatise of Traditions, Part II. Shewing the Nevelsy of the pretended Traditions of the Church of Rome; as being, 1. Not mentioned by the Ancients of their Discourses of Traditions Apostolical, truly so called, or so estremed by them. Nor, 2. In their Avowed Rule, or Symbol of Faith. Nor, 3. In the Instructions given to the Clergy, concerning all those things they were to teach tree People. Nor, 4. In the Examination of a history at his Ordination. Nor, 5. In the Ancient Treatises deligned to instruct Constitutes in all the Articles of their Faith. 6. From the Consessions of Roman Doctors: with an Answer to the Arguments of Mr. Monford for Traditions; And a Demonstration, That the Huthors made the same Plea from Tradition as the Komanits do; and that the Answer of the Fathers to it doth fully justific the Protestants. Quarts.

All thefe four Books Written by the Reverend D. Whitby, D. D.

An Exhortation to Charity (and a Word of Comfort) to the Isift Protestants: Being a Sermon Preached at Steeple in Durselphine, upon occasion of the Collection for Relias of the Poor Protestants in this Kingdom, lately fled from Ireland: By Samuel Boild, Rector of Sueple. Quarto.

Forer

Dech lately Printed for A. Churchill.

Taxes and Freshrands, or a Specimen of the Danger and Harringuy of Espery and Sourranos, Fig. 5, Second, and Third Parts. On W. Tompu's Observation on Holland.

Mr. Selden's Table-7 ab, or Discourses on various Subjection

A Litt of the present Parlament, Lords and Commons.

Prefent Cafe flated about Allegiance to King William and Caree May.

Deb tes of the late Oxford and B elimingter Parliament.

Montkon Timp's Accomplishment, Odico,

Seriedur-Propheles, Complete in 2 Vol. Office.

h New System of the Revelations. I welter.

Majorgis of Syum. Octavo.

Due lonce due to the present King, netwithstanding out Ou dis to the termer: By a Divine of the Church of England.

The late I and Russian's Cate, with Oblervations upon it. Write by the Right Hammad: Homy Lord Delances, Fel.

Considerations adapting council for taking the O. th of Alie Evantor

to King William and Queen Marc. Cour of Mr. Si fore of Submitting to Diline Providence.

Dr. Werkington of the Picturection. Office.

An Answer to billion Lage's (late of Chi beller) Declaration of his ding in belief of the Declaration of Paffine Obeds me, &c.

Dr. Carfael's Little-Sent in at Allingdon, Au 6, 1189.